Neutrino Mixing

With the possible exceptions of "short-baseline anomalies," such as LSND, all neutrino data can be described within the framework of a 3×3 mixing matrix between the mass eigenstates ν_1 , ν_2 , and ν_3 , leading to the flavor eigenstates ν_e , ν_μ , and ν_τ , as described in the review "Neutrino masses, mixing and oscillations."

The Listings are divided in the following sections:

- (A) Neutrino fluxes and event ratios: shows measurements which correspond to various oscillation tests for Accelerator, Reactor, Atmospheric, and Solar neutrino experiments. Typically, ratios involve a measurement in a realm sensitive to oscillations compared to one for which no oscillation effect is expected.
- (B) Neutrino mixing parameters: shows measurements of $\sin^2(\theta_{12})$, $\sin^2(\theta_{23})$, $\sin^2(\theta_{13})$, Δm_{21}^2 , Δm_{32}^2 , and δ_{CP} as extracted from the measured data in the quoted publications in the frame of the three-neutrino mixing scheme. The quoted averages are not the result of a global fit, as in the review "Neutrino masses, mixing, and oscillations," and, as a consequence, might slightly differ from them. In some cases, measurements depend on the mass order (normal when $\Delta m_{32}^2 > 0$ or inverted when $\Delta m_{32}^2 < 0$) or octant of θ_{23} (lower when $\theta_{23} < 45^\circ$ or upper when $\theta_{23} > 45^\circ$).

(C) Other neutrino mixing results:

The LSND anomaly [AGUILAR 01], reported a signal which is consistent with $\overline{\nu}_{\mu} \to \overline{\nu}_{e}$ oscillations. In a three neutrino framework, this would be a measurement of θ_{12} and Δm_{21}^{2} . This does not appear to be consistent with the interpretation of other neutrino data. It has been interpreted as evidence for a 4th "sterile" neutrino. The following listings include results

which might be relevant towards understanding this observation. They include searches for $\nu_{\mu} \to \nu_{e}$, $\overline{\nu}_{\mu} \to \overline{\nu}_{e}$, sterile neutrino oscillations, and others.

(A) Neutrino fluxes and event ratios

Events (observed/expected) from accelerator ν_{μ} experiments.

Some neutrino oscillation experiments compare the flux in two or more detectors. This is usually quoted as the ratio of the event rate in the far detector to the expected rate based on an extrapolation from the near detector in the absence of oscillations.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	DOCUMENT ID		COMMENT
ullet $ullet$ $ullet$ We do not use the	fits, lim	nits, etc. • • •		
1.01 ± 0.10	¹ ABE	14 B	T2K	$\nu_{\rm e}$ rate in T2K near detect.
0.71 ± 0.08	² AHN	06A	K2K	K2K to Super-K
0.64 ± 0.05	³ MICHAEL	06	MINS	All charged current events
$0.71^{+0.08}_{-0.09}$	⁴ ALIU	05	K2K	KEK to Super-K
$0.70^{igoplus 0.10}_{-0.11}$	⁵ AHN	03	K2K	KEK to Super-K

 $^{^1}$ The rate of $\nu_{\rm e}$ from μ decay was measured to be 0.68 \pm 0.30 compared to the predicted flux. From ${\cal K}$ decay 1.10 \pm 0.14 compared to the predicted flux. 2 Based on the observation of 112 events when $158.1^{+9.2}_{-8.6}$ were expected without os-

Events (observed/expected) from reactor $\overline{\nu}_e$ experiments.

The quoted values are the ratios of the measured reactor $\overline{\nu}_e$ event rate at the quoted distances, and the rate expected without oscillations. The expected rate is based on the experimental data for the most significant reactor fuels (235 U, 239 Pu, 241 Pu) and on calculations for 238 U.

A recent re-evaluation of the spectral conversion of electron to $\overline{\nu}_e$ in MUELLER 11 results in an upward shift of the reactor $\overline{\nu}_e$ spectrum by 3% and, thus, might require revisions to the ratios listed in this table.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT			
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • •							
$0.948 \pm 0.008 \pm 0.033$	¹ ALMAZAN	20	RHF	RHF reactor at ILL			
0.952 ± 0.027	² ADEY	19	DAYA	DayaBay, Ling Ao/Ao II reactors			
	³ AN	16	DAYA	DayaBay, Ling Ao/Ao II reactors			
$1.08 \pm 0.21 \pm 0.16$	⁴ DENIZ	10	TEXO	Kuo-Sheng reactor, 28 m			
$0.658 \pm 0.044 \pm 0.047$	⁵ ARAKI	05	KLND	Japanese react. \sim 180 km			
$0.611 \pm 0.085 \pm 0.041$	⁶ EGUCHI	03	KLND	Japanese react. \sim 180 km			
$1.01\ \pm0.024\pm0.053$	⁷ BOEHM	01		Palo Verde react. 0.75–0.89 km			
https://pdg.lbl.gov	Page	2		Created: 8/11/2022 09:39			

² Based on the observation of 112 events when $158.1^{+9.2}_{-8.6}$ were expected without oscillations. Including not only the number of events but also the shape of the energy distribution, the evidence for oscillation is at the level of about 4.3 σ . Supersedes ALIU 05.

 $^{^3}$ This ratio is based on the observation of 215 events compared to an expectation of 336 \pm 14 without oscillations. See also ADAMSON 08.

 $^{^4}$ This ratio is based on the observation of 107 events at the far detector 250 km away from KEK, and an expectation of 151^{+12}_{-10} .

 $^{^{5}}$ This ratio is based on the observation of 56 events with an expectation of $80.1^{+6.2}_{-5.4}$.

$1.01 \pm 0.028 \pm 0.027$	⁸ APOLLONIO	99	CHOZ	Chooz reactors 1 km
$0.987 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.037$	⁹ GREENWOOD	96		Savannah River, 18.2 m
$0.988 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.05$	ACHKAR	95	CNTR	Bugey reactor, 15 m
$0.994 \pm 0.010 \pm 0.05$	ACHKAR	95	CNTR	Bugey reactor, 40 m
$0.915 \!\pm\! 0.132 \!\pm\! 0.05$	ACHKAR	95	CNTR	Bugey reactor, 95 m
$0.987 \!\pm\! 0.014 \!\pm\! 0.027$	¹⁰ DECLAIS	94	CNTR	Bugey reactor, 15 m
$0.985 \pm 0.018 \pm 0.034$	KUVSHINN	91	CNTR	Rovno reactor
$1.05 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.05$	VUILLEUMIER	R82		Gösgen reactor
$0.955 \pm 0.035 \pm 0.110$	¹¹ KWON	81		$\overline{\nu}_e p \rightarrow e^+ n$
0.89 ± 0.15	¹¹ BOEHM	80		$\overline{\nu}_e p \rightarrow e^+ n$

- 1 ALMAZAN 20 use the RHF research reactor at ILL to compare their measured antineutrino event rate to the calculation by HUBER 11. Reported 0.948 \pm 0.008 \pm 0.023 \pm 0.023 measurement with uncertainties from statistics, systematic, and model. Note that this result is obtained for highly enriched 235 U reactor fuel while most other reactor experiments utilize a low-enrichment mix of fissile nuclides.
- 2 ADEY 19 present a re-analysis of 1230 days of Daya Bay near detector data with reduced systematic uncertainties on the neutron detection efficiency. Note that ADEY 19 report the measured to predicted antineutrino ratio using the reactor model of MUELLER 11 (Huber-Mueller model). The ratio using the older ILL-Vogel model is 1.001 \pm 0.015 \pm 0.027.
- 3 AN 16 use 217 days of data (338k events) to determine the neutrino flux ratio relative to the prediction of Mueller-Huber and ILL-Vogel models (see AN 16 for details). The reported flux ratios were corrected for θ_{13} oscillation effect. The flux measurement is consistent with results from previous short-baseline reactor experiments. The measured inverse beta decay yield is (1.55 \pm 0.04) \times 10 $^{-18}$ cm $^2/({\rm GW}$ day) or $\sigma_f=$ (5.92 \pm 0.14) \times 10 $^{-43}$ cm $^2/{\rm fission}$. About 4σ excess of events was observed in the 4–6 MeV prompt energy region.
- ⁴ DENIZ 10 observe reactor $\overline{\nu}_e e$ scattering with recoil kinetic energies 3–8 MeV using Csl(Tl) detectors. The observed rate is consistent with the Standard Model prediction, leading to a constraint on $\sin^2\!\theta_W = 0.251 \pm 0.031 (\text{stat}) \pm 0.024 (\text{sys})$.
- ⁵ Updated result of KamLAND, including the data used in EGUCHI 03. Note that the survival probabilities for different periods are not directly comparable because the effective baseline varies with power output of the reactor sources involved, and there were large variations in the reactor power production in Japan in 2003.
- $^6\,\text{EGUCHI}$ 03 observe reactor neutrino disappearance at $\sim 180\,\text{km}$ baseline to various _Japanese nuclear power reactors.
- ⁷ BOEHM 01 search for neutrino oscillations at 0.75 and 0.89 km distance from the Palo Verde reactors.
- ⁸ APOLLONIO 99, APOLLONIO 98 search for neutrino oscillations at 1.1 km fixed distance from Chooz reactors. They use $\overline{\nu}_e \, p \to e^+ \, n$ in Gd-loaded scintillator target. APOLLONIO 99 supersedes APOLLONIO 98. See also APOLLONIO 03 for detailed description.
- ⁹ GREENWOOD 96 search for neutrino oscillations at 18 m and 24 m from the reactor at Savannah River.
- ¹⁰ DECLAIS 94 result based on integral measurement of neutrons only. Result is ratio of measured cross section to that expected in standard V-A theory. Replaced by ACHKAR 95.
- 11 KWON 81 represents an analysis of a larger set of data from the same experiment as BOEHM 80.

Atmospheric neutrinos -

Neutrinos and antineutrinos produced in the atmosphere induce μ -like and e-like events in underground detectors. The ratio of the numbers of the two kinds of events is defined as μ/e . It has the advantage that systematic effects, such as flux uncertainty, tend to cancel, for both experimental and theoretical values of the ratio. The "ratio of the ratios" of experimental to theoretical μ/e , $R(\mu/e)$, or that of experimental to theoretical $\mu/{\rm total}$, $R(\mu/{\rm total})$ with total $=\mu+e$, is reported below. If the actual value is not unity, the value obtained in a given experiment may depend on the experimental conditions. In addition, the measured "up-down asymmetry" for μ (Nup(μ)/Ndown(μ)) or e (Nup(e)/Ndown(e)) is reported. The expected "up-down asymmetry" is nearly unity if there is no neutrino oscillation.

$R(\mu/e) = (Measured Ratio \mu/e) / (Expected Ratio \mu/e)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID)	TECN	COMMENT
ullet $ullet$ We do not use the following	lowing data for averag	es, fits,	limits, e	etc. • • •
$0.658\!\pm\!0.016\!\pm\!0.035$	¹ ASHIE	05	SKAM	sub-GeV
$0.702^{+0.032}_{-0.030}\pm0.101$	² ASHIE	05	SKAM	multi-GeV
$0.69 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.06$	³ SANCHEZ ⁴ FUKUDA	03 96в		Calorimeter raw data Water Cherenkov
$1.00 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.08$	⁵ DAUM	95	FREJ	Calorimeter
$0.60 \ ^{+ 0.06}_{- 0.05} \ \pm 0.05$	⁶ FUKUDA	94	KAMI	sub-GeV
$0.57 \ ^{+0.08}_{-0.07} \ \pm 0.07$	⁷ FUKUDA	94	KAMI	multi-Gev
	8 BECKER-SZ	92в	IMB	Water Cherenkov

- 1 ASHIE 05 results are based on an exposure of 92 kton yr during the complete Super-Kamiokande I running period. The analyzed data sample consists of fully-contained single-ring e-like events with 0.1 GeV/c < p_e and μ -like events 0.2 GeV/c < p_{μ} , both having a visible energy < 1.33 GeV. These criteria match the definition used by FUKUDA 94.
- ² ASHIE 05 results are based on an exposure of 92 kton yr during the complete Super-Kamiokande I running period. The analyzed data sample consists of fully-contained single-ring events with visible energy > 1.33 GeV and partially-contained events. All partially-contained events are classified as μ -like.
- ³SANCHEZ 03 result is based on an exposure of 5.9 kton yr, and updates ALLISON 99 result. The analyzed data sample consists of fully-contained e-flavor and μ -flavor events having lepton momentum > 0.3 GeV/c.
- ⁴ FUKUDA 96B studied neutron background in the atmospheric neutrino sample observed in the Kamiokande detector. No evidence for the background contamination was found.
- ⁵ DAUM 95 results are based on an exposure of 2.0 kton yr which includes the data used by BERGER 90B. This ratio is for the contained and semicontained events. DAUM 95 also report $R(\mu/e) = 0.99 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.08$ for the total neutrino induced data sample which includes upward going stopping muons and horizontal muons in addition to the contained and semicontained events.
- ⁶ FUKUDA 94 result is based on an exposure of 7.7 kton yr and updates the HIRATA 92 result. The analyzed data sample consists of fully-contained *e*-like events with 0.1 < $p_e < 1.33~{\rm GeV}/c$ and fully-contained μ -like events with 0.2 < $p_\mu < 1.5~{\rm GeV}/c$.
- ⁷ FUKUDA 94 analyzed the data sample consisting of fully contained events with visible energy > 1.33 GeV and partially contained μ -like events.
- 8 BECKER-SZENDY 92B reports the fraction of nonshowering events (mostly muons from atmospheric neutrinos) as 0.36 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.02, as compared with expected fraction 0.51 \pm

 0.01 ± 0.05 . After cutting the energy range to the Kamiokande limits, BEIER 92 finds $R(\mu/e)$ very close to the Kamiokande value.

$\mathsf{R}(u_{\mu}) = (\mathsf{Measured} \; \mathsf{Flux} \; \mathsf{of} \; u_{\mu}) \; / \; (\mathsf{Expected} \; \mathsf{Flux} \; \mathsf{of} \; u_{\mu})$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT				
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • •								
0.84 ± 0.12	¹ ADAMSON	06	MINS	MINOS atmospheric				
$0.72 \pm 0.026 \pm 0.13$	² AMBROSIO	01	MCRO	upward through-going				
$0.57 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.15$	³ AMBROSIO	00	MCRO	upgoing partially contained				
$0.71\pm0.05\ \pm0.19$	⁴ AMBROSIO	00	MCRO	downgoing partially contained + upgoing stopping				
$0.74 \pm 0.036 \pm 0.046$	⁵ AMBROSIO	98	MCRO	Streamer tubes				
	⁶ CASPER	91	IMB	Water Cherenkov				
	⁷ AGLIETTA	89	NUSX					
0.95 ± 0.22	⁸ BOLIEV	81		Baksan				
0.62 ± 0.17	CROUCH	78		Case Western/UCI				

 $^{^1}$ ADAMSON 06 uses a measurement of 107 total neutrinos compared to an expected rate of 127 \pm 13 without oscillations.

 $^{^2}$ AMBROSIO 01 result is based on the upward through-going muon tracks with $E_{\mu}>1$ GeV. The data came from three different detector configurations, but the statistics is largely dominated by the full detector run, from May 1994 to December 2000. The total live time, normalized to the full detector configuration, is 6.17 years. The first error is the statistical error, the second is the systematic error, dominated by the theoretical error in the predicted flux.

³ AMBROSIO 00 result is based on the upgoing partially contained event sample. It came from 4.1 live years of data taking with the full detector, from April 1994 to February 1999. The average energy of atmospheric muon neutrinos corresponding to this sample is 4 GeV. The first error is statistical, the second is the systematic error, dominated by the 25% theoretical error in the rate (20% in the flux and 15% in the cross section, added in quadrature). Within statistics, the observed deficit is uniform over the zenith angle.

⁴ AMBROSIO 00 result is based on the combined samples of downgoing partially contained events and upgoing stopping events. These two subsamples could not be distinguished due to the lack of timing information. The result came from 4.1 live years of data taking with the full detector, from April 1994 to February 1999. The average energy of atmospheric muon neutrinos corresponding to this sample is 4 GeV. The first error is statistical, the second is the systematic error, dominated by the 25% theoretical error in the rate (20% in the flux and 15% in the cross section, added in quadrature). Within statistics, the observed deficit is uniform over the zenith angle.

 $^{^5}$ AMBROSIO 98 result is for all nadir angles and updates AHLEN 95 result. The lower cutoff on the muon energy is 1 GeV. In addition to the statistical and systematic errors, there is a Monte Carlo flux error (theoretical error) of ± 0.13 . With a neutrino oscillation hypothesis, the fit either to the flux or zenith distribution independently yields $\sin^2\!2\theta{=}1.0$ and $\Delta(m^2)\sim a$ few times 10^{-3} eV 2 . However, the fit to the observed zenith distribution gives a maximum probability for χ^2 of only 5% for the best oscillation hypothesis.

⁶ CASPER 91 correlates showering/nonshowering signature of single-ring events with parent atmospheric-neutrino flavor. They find nonshowering ($\approx \nu_{\mu}$ induced) fraction is 0.41 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.02, as compared with expected 0.51 \pm 0.05 (syst).

 $^{^7}$ AGLIETTA 89 finds no evidence for any anomaly in the neutrino flux. They define $\rho=$ (measured number of ν_e 's)/(measured number of ν_μ 's). They report $\rho(\text{measured}){=}\rho(\text{expected})=0.96 {+}0.32$.

⁸ From this data BOLIEV 81 obtain the limit $\Delta(m^2) \leq 6 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$ for maximal mixing, $\nu_\mu \not\rightarrow \nu_\mu$ type oscillation.

$R(\mu/\text{total}) = (\text{Measured Ratio } \mu/\text{total}) / (\text{Expected Ratio } \mu/\text{total})$

ALUE <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$$1.1^{+0.07}_{-0.12} \pm 0.11$$
 CLARK 9

multi-GeV

Created: 8/11/2022 09:39

IMB

$N_{\rm up}(\mu)/N_{\rm down}(\mu)$

VALUEDOCUMENT IDTECNCOMMENT• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •0.71 ±0.06 1 ADAMSON12BMINScontained-vertex muons0.551 $^{+0.035}_{-0.033} \pm 0.004$ 2 ASHIE05SKAMmulti-GeV

$N_{\rm up}(e)/N_{\rm down}(e)$

VALUE <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>

ullet ullet We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ullet ullet

 $0.961^{+0.086}_{-0.079}\pm0.016$ ¹ ASHIE ⁰⁵ SKAM multi-GeV

R(up/down; μ) = (Measured up/down; μ) / (Expected up/down; μ)

 VALUE
 DOCUMENT ID
 TECN
 COMMENT

 • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

 0.62±0.05±0.02
 1 ADAMSON
 12B
 MINS
 contained-vertex muons

 0.62+0.19±0.02
 2 ADAMSON
 06
 MINS
 atmospheric ν with far detector

¹CLARK 97 obtained this result by an analysis of fully contained and partially contained events in the IMB water-Cherenkov detector with visible energy > 0.95 GeV.

¹ ADAMSON 12B reports the atmospheric neutrino results obtained with MINOS far detector in 2,553 live days (an exposure of 37.9 kton·yr). This result is obtained with a sample of high resolution contained-vertex muons. The quoted error is statistical only.

² ASHIE 05 results are based on an exposure of 92 kton yr during the complete Super-Kamiokande I running period. The analyzed data sample consists of fully-contained single-ring μ -like events with visible energy > 1.33 GeV and partially-contained events. All partially-contained events are classified as μ -like. Upward-going events are those with $-1 < \cos(\text{zenith angle}) < -0.2$ and downward-going events are those with 0.2< $\cos(\text{zenith angle}) < 1$. The μ -like up-down ratio for the multi-GeV data deviates from 1 (the expectation for no atmospheric ν_{μ} oscillations) by more than 12 standard deviations.

 $^{^1}$ ASHIE 05 results are based on an exposure of 92 kton yr during the complete Super-Kamiokande I running period. The analyzed data sample consists of fully-contained single-ring e-like events with visible energy >1.33 GeV. Upward-going events are those with $-1 < \cos(\text{zenith angle}) < -0.2$ and downward-going events are those with 0.2 $< \cos(\text{zenith angle}) < 1$. The e-like up-down ratio for the multi-GeV data is consistent with 1 (the expectation for no atmospheric ν_e oscillations).

¹ ADAMSON 12B reports the atmospheric neutrino results obtained with MINOS far detector in 2,553 live days (an exposure of 37.9 kton·yr). This result is obtained with a sample of high resolution contained-vertex muons. The expected ratio is calculated with no neutrino oscillation.

²ADAMSON 06 result is obtained with the MINOS far detector with an exposure of 4.54 kton yr. The expected ratio is calculated with no neutrino oscillation.

$N(\mu^+)/N(\mu^-)$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>		TECN	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the	following data for average	s, fits,	limits,	etc. • • •
$0.46 ^{+ 0.05}_{- 0.04}$	1,2 ADAMSON	12 B	MINS	contained-vertex muons
$0.63^{+0.09}_{-0.08}$	1,3 ADAMSON	12 B	MINS	u-induced rock-muons

¹ ADAMSON 12B reports the atmospheric neutrino results obtained with MINOS far detector in 2,553 live days (an exposure of 37.9 kton·yr). The muon charge ratio $N(\mu^+)/N(\mu^-)$ represents the $\overline{\nu}_{tt}/\nu_{tt}$ ratio.

$R(\mu^+/\mu^-) = (Measured N(\mu^+)/N(\mu^-)) / (Expected N(\mu^+)/N(\mu^-))$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		-	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the	following data for	averag	ges, fits,	limits, etc. • • •
$0.93\!\pm\!0.09\!\pm\!0.09$	1,2 ADAMSON	12 B	MINS	contained-vertex muons
$1.29^{+0.19}_{-0.17}\pm0.16$	^{1,3} ADAMSON	12 B	MINS	u-induced rock-muons
$1.03\!\pm\!0.08\!\pm\!0.08$	^{1,4} ADAMSON	12 B	MINS	contained
$1.39 {+0.35 +0.08 \atop -0.46 -0.14}$	⁵ ADAMSON	07	MINS	Upward and horizontal μ with far detector
$0.96^{+0.38}_{-0.27}{\pm}0.15$	⁶ ADAMSON	06	MINS	atmospheric ν with far detector

 $^{^1}$ ADAMSON 12B reports the atmospheric neutrino results obtained with MINOS far detector in 2,553 live days (an exposure of 37.9 kton·yr). The muon charge ratio N(μ^+)/N(μ^-) represents the $\overline{\nu}_\mu/\nu_\mu$ ratio. As far as the same oscillation parameters are used for νs and $\overline{\nu} s$, the expected $\overline{\nu}_\mu/\nu_\mu$ ratio is almost entirely independent of any input oscillations.

— Solar neutrinos -

Solar neutrinos are produced by thermonuclear fusion reactions in the Sun. Radiochemical experiments measure particular combinations of fluxes from various neutrino-producing reactions, whereas water-Cherenkov experiments mainly measure a flux of neutrinos from decay of $^8{\rm B}$. Solar neutrino fluxes are composed of all active neutrino species, $\nu_e,~\nu_\mu,~{\rm and}~\nu_\tau.$ In addition, some other mechanisms may cause antineutrino components in solar neutrino fluxes. Each measurement method is sensitive to a particular component or a combination of components of solar neutrino fluxes.

² This result is obtained with a charge-separated sample of high resolution contained-vertex muons. The quoted error is statistical only.

³ This result is obtained with a charge-separated sample of high resolution neutrino-induced rock-muons. The quoted error is statistical only.

² This result is obtained with a charge-separated sample of high resolution contained-vertex muons.

muons.

This result is obtained with a charge-separated sample of high resolution neutrino-induced rock-muons

⁴ The charge-separated samples of high resolution contained-vertex muons and neutrino-induced rock-muons are combined to obtain this result which is consistent with unity.

⁵ ADAMSON 07 result is obtained with the MINOS far detector in 854.24 live days, based on neutrino-induced upward-going and horizontal muons. This result is consistent with *CPT* conservation.

⁶ ADAMSON 06 result is obtained with the MINOS far detector with an exposure of 4.54 kton yr, based on contained events. The expected ratio is calculated by assuming the same oscillation parameters for neutrinos and antineutrinos.

ν_e Capture Rates from Radiochemical Experiments

1 SNU (Solar Neutrino Unit) = 10^{-36} captures per atom per second.

VALUE (SNU)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the	e following data fo	r aver	ages, fit	s, limits, etc. • • •
$73.4 \begin{array}{c} +6.1 \\ -6.0 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} +3.7 \\ -4.1 \end{array}$	$^{ m 1}$ KAETHER	10		GALX reanalysis
67.6 ±4.0 ±3.2	² KAETHER	10		GNO+GALX reanalysis combined
$65.4 \begin{array}{c} +3.1 & +2.6 \\ -3.0 & -2.8 \end{array}$	³ ABDURASHI	. 09	SAGE	$^{71}\text{Ga} ightarrow ^{71}\text{Ge}$
$62.9 \ ^{+5.5}_{-5.3} \ \pm 2.5$	⁴ ALTMANN	05	GNO	$^{71}\text{Ga} ightarrow ^{71}\text{Ge}$
69.3 \pm 4.1 \pm 3.6	⁵ ALTMANN	05	GNO	GNO + GALX combined
77.5 $\pm 6.2 {}^{+4.3}_{-4.7}$	⁶ HAMPEL	99	GALX	$^{71}\text{Ga} ightarrow ~^{71}\text{Ge}$
$2.56\!\pm\!0.16\!\pm\!0.16$	⁷ CLEVELAND	98	HOME	$^{37}CI \rightarrow ^{37}Ar$
	• • • We do not use th 73.4 +6.1 +3.7 -6.0 -4.1 67.6 ±4.0 ±3.2 65.4 +3.1 +2.6 -3.0 -2.8 62.9 +5.5 -5.3 ±2.5 69.3 ±4.1 ±3.6 77.5 ±6.2 +4.3 -4.7	• • • We do not use the following data form $73.4 \begin{array}{c} +6.1 \\ -6.0 \\ -4.1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} +3.7 \\ -6.0 \\ -4.1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \text{KAETHER} \end{array}$ $67.6 \begin{array}{c} \pm 4.0 \begin{array}{c} \pm 3.2 \\ -3.0 \\ -2.8 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \text{KAETHER} \end{array}$ $65.4 \begin{array}{c} +3.1 \\ -3.0 \\ -2.8 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 3 \\ \text{ABDURASHI} \end{array}$ $62.9 \begin{array}{c} +5.5 \\ -5.3 \\ \pm 2.5 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 4 \\ \text{ALTMANN} \end{array}$ $69.3 \begin{array}{c} \pm 4.1 \\ \pm 3.6 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 5 \\ \text{ALTMANN} \end{array}$ $60.3 \begin{array}{c} \pm 4.1 \\ \pm 3.6 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 5 \\ -4.7 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 6 \\ -4.7 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 6 \\ \text{HAMPEL} \end{array}$	• • • We do not use the following data for aver $73.4 \begin{array}{c} +6.1 \\ -6.0 \\ -4.1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} +3.7 \\ -6.0 \\ -4.1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \text{KAETHER} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 10 \\ \text{CF} \\ 10 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 67.6 \\ \pm 4.0 \\ \pm 3.1 \\ -3.0 \\ -2.8 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \text{CF} \\ 3 \\ \text{ABDURASHI} \begin{array}{c} 09 \\ \text{CF} \\ 10 \\ \text{CF} \\ $	• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fit: $73.4 \begin{array}{c} +6.1 \\ -6.0 \\ -4.1 \end{array}$ 1 KAETHER 10 $2 \\ 67.6 \begin{array}{c} \pm 4.0 \\ \pm 3.2 \end{array}$ 2 KAETHER 10 $2 \\ 65.4 \begin{array}{c} +3.1 \\ -3.0 \end{array}$ 2 KAETHER 10 $2 \\ 65.4 \begin{array}{c} +3.1 \\ -3.0 \end{array}$ 3 ABDURASHI 09 SAGE $2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{array}$ 3 ALTMANN 05 GNO $2 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 5 \end{array}$ 4 ALTMANN 05 GNO $2 \\ 6 \\ 6 \\ 6 \\ 6 \\ 6 \\ 6 \\ 6 \\ 6 \\ 6 \\ $

¹ KAETHER 10 reports the reanalysis results of a complete GALLEX data (GALLEX I+II+III+IV, reported in HAMPEL 99) based on the event selection with a new pulse shape analysis, which provides a better background reduction than the rise time analysis adopted in HAMPEL 99.

⁷CLEVELAND 98 is a detailed report of the ³⁷Cl experiment at the Homestake Mine. The average solar neutrino-induced ³⁷Ar production rate from 108 runs between 1970 and 1994 updates the DAVIS 89 result.

ϕ_{ES} (8B)

 ^8B solar-neutrino flux measured via $\nu\,e$ elastic scattering. This process is sensitive to all active neutrino flavors, but with reduced sensitivity to $\nu_\mu,\,\nu_\tau$ due to the cross-section difference, $\sigma(\nu_{\,\mu,\tau}\,e)\sim 0.16\sigma(\nu_e\,e).$ If the ^8B solar-neutrino flux involves nonelectron flavor active neutrinos, their contribution to the flux is ~ 0.16 times of $\nu_e.$

² Combined result of GALLEX I+II+III+IV reanalysis and GNO I+II+III (ALTMANN 05).

 $^{^3}$ ABDURASHITOV 09 reports a combined analysis of 168 extractions of the SAGE solar neutrino experiment during the period January 1990 through December 2007, and updates the ABDURASHITOV 02 result. The data are consistent with the assumption that the solar neutrino production rate is constant in time. Note that a $\sim 15\%$ systematic uncertainty in the overall normalization may be added to the ABDURASHITOV 09 result, because calibration experiments for gallium solar neutrino measurements using intense ^{51}Cr (twice by GALLEX and once by SAGE) and ^{37}Ar (by SAGE) result in an average ratio of 0.87 \pm 0.05 of the observed to calculated rates.

⁴ ALTMANN 05 reports the complete result from the GNO solar neutrino experiment (GNO I+II+III), which is the successor project of GALLEX. Experimental technique of GNO is essentially the same as that of GALLEX. The run data cover the period 20 May 1998 through 9 April 2003.

⁵ Combined result of GALLEX I+II+III+IV (HAMPEL 99) and GNO I+II+III.

 $^{^6}$ HAMPEL 99 report the combined result for GALLEX I+II+III+IV (65 runs in total), which update the HAMPEL 96 result. The GALLEX IV result (12 runs) is 118.4 \pm 17.8 \pm 6.6 SNU. (HAMPEL 99 discuss the consistency of partial results with the mean.) The GALLEX experimental program has been completed with these runs. The total run data cover the period 14 May 1991 through 23 January 1997. A total of 300 71 Ge events were observed. Note that a \sim 15% systematic uncertainty in the overall normalization may be added to the HAMPEL 99 result, because calibration experiments for gallium solar neutrino measurements using intense 51 Cr (twice by GALLEX and once by SAGE) and 37 Ar (by SAGE) result in an average ratio of 0.87 \pm 0.05 of the observed to calculated rates.

$VALUE (10^6 \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1})$	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use th	e following data fo	r aver	ages, fits	s, limits, etc. • • •
$2.57 \begin{array}{c} +0.17 & +0.07 \\ -0.18 & -0.07 \end{array}$	$^{ m 1}$ AGOSTINI	20A	BORX	average flux
$2.53 \begin{array}{c} +0.31 \\ -0.28 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} +0.13 \\ -0.10 \end{array}$	² ANDERSON	19	SNO+	Water phase; average flux
$2.57 \begin{array}{c} +0.17 & +0.07 \\ -0.18 & -0.07 \end{array}$	³ AGOSTINI	18 B	BORX	average flux
$2.345 \pm 0.014 \pm 0.036$	⁴ ABE	16 C	SKAM	SK-I+II+III+IV average flux
$2.308 \pm 0.020 { + 0.039 \atop -0.040 }$	⁵ ABE	16 C	SKAM	SK-IV average flux
$2.250^{+0.030}_{-0.029}{\pm}0.038$	⁵ ABE	16 C	SKAM	SK-IV day flux
$2.364 \pm 0.029 \pm 0.040$	⁵ ABE	16 C	SKAM	SK-IV night flux
$2.404 \pm 0.039 \pm 0.053$	⁶ ABE	16 C	SKAM	SK-III average flux
$2.41\ \pm0.05\ {}^{+0.16}_{-0.15}$	⁷ ABE	11	SKAM	SK-II average flux
$2.38 \ \pm 0.02 \ \pm 0.08$	⁸ ABE	11	SKAM	SK-I average flux
$2.77 \pm 0.26 \pm 0.32$	9 ABE	11 B		average flux
$2.4 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.1$	¹⁰ BELLINI	10A	BORX	average flux
$1.77 \begin{array}{c} +0.24 & +0.09 \\ -0.21 & -0.10 \end{array}$	¹¹ AHARMIM	80	SNO	Phase III
$2.38\ \pm0.05\ {}^{+0.16}_{-0.15}$	¹² CRAVENS	80	SKAM	average flux
$2.35 \ \pm 0.02 \ \pm 0.08$	¹³ HOSAKA	06	SKAM	average flux
$2.35 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.15$	¹⁴ AHARMIM	05A	SNO	Salty D ₂ O; ⁸ B shape not con- strained
$2.34\ \pm0.23\ ^{+0.15}_{-0.14}$	¹⁴ AHARMIM	05A	SNO	Salty D ₂ O; ⁸ B shape con- strained
$2.39 \ ^{+ 0.24}_{- 0.23} \ \pm 0.12$	¹⁵ AHMAD	02	SNO	average flux
$2.39\ \pm0.34\ ^{+0.16}_{-0.14}$	¹⁶ AHMAD	01	SNO	average flux
$2.80 \ \pm 0.19 \ \pm 0.33$	¹⁷ FUKUDA	96	KAMI	average flux
2.70 ± 0.27	¹⁷ FUKUDA	96	KAMI	day flux
$2.87 \begin{array}{l} +0.27 \\ -0.26 \end{array}$	¹⁷ FUKUDA	96	KAMI	night flux

 $^{^1}$ AGOSTINI 20A obtained this result from the $\nu_e\,e$ elastic scattering rate over the period between January 2008 and December 2016. Uses the same data as AGOSTINI 18B, but the analysis technique is significantly improved.

 $^{^2}$ ANDERSON 19 reports this result from the $\nu_e\,e$ elastic scattering rate using a 69.2 kton day (or 114.7 days) of exposure from May through December, 2017 during the SNO+ detector's water commissioning phase. The events over the reconstructed electron kinetic energy range of 5–15 MeV were analyzed.

 $^{^3}$ AGOSTINI 18B obtained this result from the $\nu_e\,e$ elastic scattering rate over the period between January 2008 and December 2016.

⁴ ABE 16C reports the combined results of the four phases of the Super-Kamiokande average flux measurements. Here the revised Super-Kamiokande-III result is used.

⁵ ABE 16C reports the Super-Kamiokande-IV results for 1664 live days from September 2008 to February 2014. The analysis threshold is total electron energy of 4.0 MeV.

⁶ ABE 16C revised the Super-Kamiokande-III average flux value reported in ABE 11. Super-Kamiokande-III results are for 548 live days from August 4, 2006 to August 18, 2008. The analysis threshold is 5.0 MeV, but the event sample in the 5.0–6.5 MeV total electron energy range has a total live time of 298 days.

- 7 ABE 11 recalculated the Super-Kamiokande-II results using 8 B spectrum of WINTER 06A.
- 8 ABE 11 recalculated the Super-Kamiokande-I results using 8B spectrum of WINTER 06A.
- 9 ABE 11B use a 123 kton-day exposure of the KamLAND liquid scintillation detector to measure the 8 B solar neutrino flux. They utilize $\nu-e$ elastic scattering above a reconstructed-energy threshold of 5.5 MeV, corresponding to 5 MeV electron recoil energy. 299 electron recoil candidate events are reported, of which 157 \pm 23.6 are assigned to background.
- ¹⁰ BELLINI 10A reports the Borexino result with 3 MeV energy threshold for scattered electrons. The data correspond to 345.3 live days with a target mass of 100 t, between July 15, 2007 and August 23, 2009.
- ¹¹ AHARMIM 08 reports the results from SNO Phase III measurement using an array of ³He proportional counters to measure the rate of NC interactions in heavy water, over the period between November 27, 2004 and November 28, 2006, corresponding to 385.17 live days. A simultaneous fit was made for the number of NC events detected by the proportional counters and the numbers of NC, CC, and ES events detected by the PMTs, where the spectral distributions of the ES and CC events were not constrained to the ⁸B shape.
- 12 CRAVENS 08 reports the Super-Kamiokande-II results for 791 live days from December 2002 to October 2005. The photocathode coverage of the detector is 19% (reduced from 40% of that of Super-Kamiokande-I due to an accident in 2001). The analysis threshold for the average flux is 7 MeV.
- ¹³ HOSAKA 06 reports the final results for 1496 live days with Super-Kamiokande-I between May 31, 1996 and July 15, 2001, and replace FUKUDA 02 results. The analysis threshold is 5 MeV except for the first 280 live days (6.5 MeV).
- ¹⁴ AHARMIM 05A measurements were made with dissolved NaCl (0.195% by weight) in heavy water over the period between July 26, 2001 and August 28, 2003, corresponding to 391.4 live days, and update AHMED 04A. The CC, ES, and NC events were statistically separated. In one method, the ⁸B energy spectrum was not constrained. In the other method, the constraint of an undistorted ⁸B energy spectrum was added for comparison with AHMAD 02 results.
- 15 AHMAD 02 reports the 8 B solar-neutrino flux measured via $\nu\,e$ elastic scattering above the kinetic energy threshold of 5 MeV. The data correspond to 306.4 live days with SNO between November 2, 1999 and May 28, 2001, and updates AHMAD 01 results.
- 16 AHMAD 01 reports the 8 B solar-neutrino flux measured via $\nu\,e$ elastic scattering above the kinetic energy threshold of 6.75 MeV. The data correspond to 241 live days with SNO between November 2, 1999 and January 15, 2001.
- $^{17}\,\text{FUKUDA}$ 96 results are for a total of 2079 live days with Kamiokande II and III from January 1987 through February 1995, covering the entire solar cycle 22, with threshold $\text{E}_e>9.3\,\text{MeV}$ (first 449 days), $>7.5\,\text{MeV}$ (middle 794 days), and $>7.0\,\text{MeV}$ (last 836 days). These results update the HIRATA 90 result for the average ^8B solar-neutrino flux and HIRATA 91 result for the day-night variation in the ^8B solar-neutrino flux. The total data sample was also analyzed for short-term variations: within experimental errors, no strong correlation of the solar-neutrino flux with the sunspot numbers was found.

ϕ_{CC} (8B)

⁸B solar-neutrino flux measured with charged-current reaction which is sensitive exclusively to $\nu_{\rm p}$.

$VALUE (10^6 \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1})$	DOCUMENT ID			COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following	limits,	etc. • • •		
$1.67 \!+\! 0.05 + \! 0.07 \\ -0.04 - \! 0.08$	¹ AHARMIM	80	SNO	Phase III
$1.68 \pm 0.06 ^{+0.08}_{-0.09}$	² AHARMIM	05A	SNO	Salty D ₂ O; ⁸ B shape not const.
https://pdg.lbl.gov	Page 10		Crea	ted: 8/11/2022 09:39

$1.72 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.11$	² AHARMIM	05A	SNO	Salty D ₂ O; ⁸ B shape constrained
$1.76^{igoplus 0.06}_{-0.05}\!\pm\!0.09$	³ AHMAD	02	SNO	average flux
$1.75 \pm 0.07 ^{+0.12}_{-0.11} \pm 0.05$	⁴ AHMAD	01	SNO	average flux

 $^{
m 1}$ AHARMIM 08 reports the results from SNO Phase III measurement using an array of ³He proportional counters to measure the rate of NC interactions in heavy water, over the period between November 27, 2004 and November 28, 2006, corresponding to 385.17 live days. A simultaneous fit was made for the number of NC events detected by the proportional counters and the numbers of NC, CC, and ES events detected by the PMTs, where the spectral distributions of the ES and CC events were not constrained to the $^8\mathrm{B}$

 2 AHARMIM 05A measurements were made with dissolved NaCl (0.195% by weight) in heavy water over the period between July 26, 2001 and August 28, 2003, corresponding to 391.4 live days, and update AHMED 04A. The CC, ES, and NC events were statistically separated. In one method, the ⁸B energy spectrum was not constrained. In the other method, the constraint of an undistorted ⁸B energy spectrum was added for comparison with AHMAD 02 results.

3 AHMAD 02 results.

8 B solar-neutrino flux measured with chargedcurrent reaction on deuterium, $\nu_e d \to ppe^-$, above the kinetic energy threshold of 5 MeV. The data correspond to 306.4 live days with SNO between November 2, 1999 and May 28, 2001, and updates AHMAD 01 results. The complete description of the SNO Phase I data set is given in AHARMIM 07.

⁴AHMAD 01 reports the first SNO result of the ⁸B solar-neutrino flux measured with the charged-current reaction on deuterium, $\nu_e d \to ppe^-$, above the kinetic energy threshold of 6.75 MeV. The data correspond to 241 live days with SNO between November 2, 1999 and January 15, 2001.

 ϕ_{NC} (8B) ⁸B solar neutrino flux measured with neutral-current reaction, which is equally sensitive .

φ,				
$VALUE (10^6 \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1})$	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
ullet $ullet$ We do not use the	following data fo	r aver	ages, fit	s, limits, etc. • • •
$5.25\ \pm0.16\ ^{+0.11}_{-0.13}$	¹ AHARMIM	13	SNO	All three phases combined
$5.140 ^{+ 0.160 + 0.132}_{- 0.158 - 0.117}$	² AHARMIM	10	SNO	Phase I+II, low threshold
$5.54 \begin{array}{l} +0.33 \\ -0.31 \end{array} \begin{array}{l} +0.36 \\ -0.34 \end{array}$	³ AHARMIM	80	SNO	Phase III, prop. counter $+\ PMT$
$4.94 \ \pm 0.21 \ ^{+ 0.38}_{- 0.34}$	⁴ AHARMIM	05A	SNO	Salty D ₂ O; ⁸ B shape not const.
$4.81\ \pm0.19\ ^{+0.28}_{-0.27}$	⁴ AHARMIM	05A	SNO	Salty D ₂ O; ⁸ B shape constrained
$5.09 \begin{array}{c} +0.44 & +0.46 \\ -0.43 & -0.43 \end{array}$	⁵ AHMAD	02	SNO	average flux; ⁸ B shape const.
$6.42\ \pm 1.57\ ^{+ 0.55}_{- 0.58}$	⁵ AHMAD	02	SNO	average flux; $^8\mathrm{B}$ shape not const.
0.50				

 $^{^{}m 1}$ AHARMIM 13 obtained this result from a combined analysis of the data from all three phases, SNO-I, II, and III. The measurement of the ⁸B flux mostly comes from the NC signal, however, CC contribution is included in the fit.

 $^{^2}$ AHARMIM 10 reports this result from a joint analysis of SNO Phase I+II data with the "effective electron kinetic energy" threshold of 3.5 MeV. This result is obtained with a "binned-histogram unconstrained fit" where binned probability distribution functions of the neutrino signal observables were used without any model constraints on the shape of the neutrino spectrum.

- ³ AHARMIM 08 reports the results from SNO Phase III measurement using an array of ³He proportional counters to measure the rate of NC interactions in heavy water, over the period between November 27, 2004 and November 28, 2006, corresponding to 385.17 live days. A simultaneous fit was made for the number of NC events detected by the proportional counters and the numbers of NC, CC, and ES events detected by the PMTs, where the spectral distributions of the ES and CC events were not constrained to the ⁸B shape.
- ⁴ AHARMIM 05A measurements were made with dissolved NaCl (0.195% by weight) in heavy water over the period between July 26, 2001 and August 28, 2003, corresponding to 391.4 live days, and update AHMED 04A. The *CC*, *ES*, and *NC* events were statistically separated. In one method, the ⁸B energy spectrum was not constrained. In the other method, the constraint of an undistorted ⁸B energy spectrum was added for comparison with AHMAD 02 results.
- 5 AHMAD 02 results. 8 B solar-neutrino flux measured with the neutral-current reaction on deuterium, $\nu_{\ell} d \rightarrow n p \nu_{\ell}$, above the neutral-current reaction threshold of 2.2 MeV. The data correspond to 306.4 live days with SNO between November 2, 1999 and May 28, 2001. The complete description of the SNO Phase I data set is given in AHARMIM 07.

$\phi_{ u_{\mu}+ u_{ au}}$ (8B)

Nonelectron-flavor active neutrino component (ν_{μ} and $\nu_{ au}$) in the ⁸B solar-neutrino flux.

$VALUE (10^6 \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1})$	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the f	following data for	avera	ges, fits,	limits, etc. • • •
$3.26 \pm 0.25 {+0.40 \atop -0.35}$	¹ AHARMIM	05A	SNO	From ϕ_{NC} , ϕ_{CC} , and ϕ_{ES} ; ⁸ B shape not const.
$3.09 \pm 0.22 {+0.30 \atop -0.27}$	¹ AHARMIM	05A	SNO	From ϕ_{NC} , ϕ_{CC} , and ϕ_{ES} ; 8B shape constrained
$3.41 \pm 0.45 {+0.48 \atop -0.45}$	² AHMAD	02	SNO	From ϕ_{NC} , ϕ_{CC} , and ϕ_{ES}
3.69 ± 1.13	³ AHMAD	01		Derived from SNO+SuperKam, water Cherenkov

- ¹ AHARMIM 05A measurements were made with dissolved NaCl (0.195% by weight) in heavy water over the period between July 26, 2001 and August 28, 2003, corresponding to 391.4 live days, and update AHMED 04A. The *CC*, *ES*, and *NC* events were statistically separated. In one method, the ⁸B energy spectrum was not constrained. In the other method, the constraint of an undistorted ⁸B energy spectrum was added for comparison with AHMAD 02 results.
- 2 AHMAD 02 deduced the nonelectron-flavor active neutrino component (ν_{μ} and ν_{τ}) in the 8 B solar-neutrino flux, by combining the charged-current result, the νe elastic-scattering result and the neutral-current result. The complete description of the SNO Phase I data set is given in AHARMIM 07.
- 3 AHMAD 01 deduced the nonelectron-flavor active neutrino component (ν_{μ} and ν_{τ}) in the 8 B solar-neutrino flux, by combining the SNO charged-current result (AHMAD 01) and the Super-Kamiokande νe elastic-scattering result (FUKUDA 01).

Total Flux of Active pp Solar Neutrinos

Total flux of active neutrinos $(\nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau)$.

$VALUE (10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1})$	DOCUMENT ID	TECN COMMENT
• • • We do not use the follow	ing data for average	es, fits, limits, etc. • • •
$6.1 \pm 0.5 ^{+0.3}_{-0.5}$	¹ AGOSTINI	18B BORX Use ν_ee scattering rate
https://pdg.lbl.gov	Page 12	Created: 8/11/2022 09:39

 1 AGOSTINI 18B obtained this result from the measured $\nu_e\,e$ elastic scattering rate over the period between December 2011 and May 2016, assuming the MSW-LMA oscillation parameters derived by ESTEBAN 17. Assuming a high-metalicity standard solar model, the electron neutrino survival probability for the $p\,p$ solar neutrino is calculated to be 0.57 \pm 0.09.

Total Flux of Active ⁷Be Solar Neutrinos

Total flux of active neutrinos $(\nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau)$.

VALUE (10⁹ cm⁻²s⁻¹) DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • •

 $4.99 \pm 0.11 ^{+0.06}_{-0.08}$

 $^{
m 1}$ AGOSTINI

18B BORX Use $\nu_e\,e$ scattering rate

Total Flux of Active pep Solar Neutrinos

Total flux of active neutrinos $(\nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau)$.

VALUE (10⁸ cm⁻²s⁻¹) DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • •

 $1.27\pm0.19^{+0.08}_{-0.12}$

¹ AGOSTINI

18B BORX Use $\nu_e\,e$ scattering rate

Created: 8/11/2022 09:39

Total Flux of Active ⁸B Solar Neutrinos

Total flux of active neutrinos (ν_e , ν_μ , and ν_τ).

$VALUE (10^6 \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1})$	DOCUMENT ID		TECN COMMENT
ullet $ullet$ We do not use the	following data fo	r aver	erages, fits, limits, etc. • • •
$5.95 \begin{array}{l} +0.75 \\ -0.71 \end{array} \begin{array}{l} +0.28 \\ -0.30 \end{array}$	¹ ANDERSON	19	${\rm SNO+\ Water\ phase;\ } \nu_{\it e} \it e {\rm scattering rate}$
$5.68 \begin{array}{l} +0.39 \\ -0.41 \end{array} \begin{array}{l} +0.03 \\ -0.03 \end{array}$	² AGOSTINI	18 B	BORX From $\nu_e e$ scattering rate
$5.25\ \pm0.16\ ^{+0.11}_{-0.13}$	³ AHARMIM	13	SNO All three phases combined
$5.046 {}^{+ 0.159}_{- 0.152} {}^{+ 0.107}_{- 0.123}$	⁴ AHARMIM	10	SNO From ϕ_{NC} in Phase I+II, low threshold
$5.54 \begin{array}{l} +0.33 \\ -0.31 \end{array} \begin{array}{l} +0.36 \\ -0.34 \end{array}$	⁵ AHARMIM	80	SNO ϕ_{NC} in Phase III
$4.94 \ \pm 0.21 \ ^{+0.38}_{-0.34}$	⁶ AHARMIM	05A	SNO From ϕ_{NC} ; ⁸ B shape not const.
$4.81 \ \pm 0.19 \ ^{+0.28}_{-0.27}$	⁶ AHARMIM	05A	SNO From ϕ_{NC} ; ⁸ B shape constrained
$5.09 \begin{array}{c} +0.44 & +0.46 \\ -0.43 & -0.43 \end{array}$	⁷ AHMAD	02	SNO Direct measurement from $\phi_{\it NC}$

 $^{^1}$ AGOSTINI 18B obtained this result from the measured $\nu_e\,e$ elastic scattering rate over the period between December 2011 and May 2016, assuming the MSW-LMA oscillation parameters derived by ESTEBAN 17. Assuming a high-metalicity standard solar model, the electron neutrino survival probability for the 7 Be solar neutrino is calculated to be $0.53\pm0.05.$

 $^{^1}$ AGOSTINI 18B obtained this result from the measured $\nu_{\rm e}\,e$ elastic scattering rate over the period between December 2011 and May 2016, assuming the MSW-LMA oscillation parameters derived by ESTEBAN 17 and a high-metalicity standard solar model. The electron neutrino survival probability for the pep solar neutrino is calculated to be 0.43 \pm 0.11.

5.44 ± 0.99 8 AHMAD 01 Derived from SNO+SuperKam, water Cherenkov

 1 ANDERSON 19 reports this result from the measured $\nu_e\,e$ elastic scattering rate using a 69.2 kton·day (or 114.7 days) of exposure from May through December, 2017 during the SNO+ detector's water commissioning phase, assuming the neutrino mixing parameters given in PDG 16 and a standard solar model given in BAHCALL 05.

 2 AGOSTINI 18B obtained this result from the measured $\nu_e\,e$ elastic scattering rate over the period between January 2008 and December 2016, assuming the MSW-LMA oscillation parameters derived by ESTEBAN 17. Assuming a high-metalicity standard solar model, the electron neutrino survival probability for the 8 B solar neutrino is calculated to be $_0.37\,\pm\,0.08.$

3 AHARMIM 13 obtained this result from a combined analysis of the data from all three phases, SNO-I, II, and III. The measurement of the ⁸B flux mostly comes from the NC

signal, however, CC contribution is included in the fit.

⁴AHARMIM 10 reports this result from a joint analysis of SNO Phase I+II data with the "effective electron kinetic energy" threshold of 3.5 MeV. This result is obtained with the assumption of unitarity, which relates the NC, CC, and ES rates. The data were fit with the free parameters directly describing the total 8 B neutrino flux and the energy-dependent ν_e survival probability.

- ⁵ AHARMIM 08 reports the results from SNO Phase III measurement using an array of ³He proportional counters to measure the rate of NC interactions in heavy water, over the period between November 27, 2004 and November 28, 2006, corresponding to 385.17 live days. A simultaneous fit was made for the number of NC events detected by the proportional counters and the numbers of NC, CC, and ES events detected by the PMTs, where the spectral distributions of the ES and CC events were not constrained to the ⁸B shape.
- ⁶ AHARMIM 05A measurements were made with dissolved NaCl (0.195% by weight) in heavy water over the period between July 26, 2001 and August 28, 2003, corresponding to 391.4 live days, and update AHMED 04A. The CC, ES, and NC events were statistically separated. In one method, the ⁸B energy spectrum was not constrained. In the other method, the constraint of an undistorted ⁸B energy spectrum was added for comparison with AHMAD 02 results.

AHMAD 02 determined the total flux of active 8 B solar neutrinos by directly measuring the neutral-current reaction, $\nu_\ell \, d \to n p \nu_\ell$, which is equally sensitive to ν_e , ν_μ , and ν_τ . The complete description of the SNO Phase I data set is given in AHARMIM 07.

 8 AHMAD 01 deduced the total flux of active 8 B solar neutrinos by combining the SNO charged-current result (AHMAD 01) and the Super-Kamiokande νe elastic-scattering result (FUKUDA 01).

Total Flux of Active CNO Solar Neutrinos

Total flux of active neutrinos $(\nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_ au)$.

 VALUE (10⁸ cm⁻² s⁻¹)
 CL%
 DOCUMENT ID
 TECN
 COMMENT

 • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc.
 • • •

 <7.9</td>
 95
 1 AGOSTINI
 18B
 BORX
 Use $\nu_e e$ scattering rate

Total Flux of Active hep Solar Neutrinos

Total flux of active neutrinos $(\nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau)$.

https://pdg.lbl.gov Page 14 Created: 8/11/2022 09:39

 $^{^1}$ AGOSTINI 18B obtained this result from an upper limit of the $\nu_e\,e$ elastic scattering rate for the CNO neutrinos over the period between December 2011 and May 2016, assuming the MSW-LMA oscillation parameters derived by ESTEBAN 17.

< 0.3	90	² AHARMIM	20	SNO	CC, NC, $\nu_e e$ scattering
< 2.2	90	³ AGOSTINI	18B	BORX	Use $\nu_a e$ scattering rate

 $^{^1}$ AGOSTINI 20A obtained this result from an upper limit of the $\nu_e\,e$ elastic scattering rate and NC-mediated inelastic scattering on carbon nuclei with 15.1 MeV deexcitation γ -ray for the hep neutrino. The dataset corresponds to an effective exposure of 0.745 kt·yr from November 2009 to October 2017. A FADC DAQ system, optimized for the acquisition of high-energy events was used for data collection. The MSW-LMA oscillation parameters derived by ESTEBAN 17 were assumed.

Day-Night Asymmetry (8B)

$$A = (\phi_{\mathsf{night}} - \phi_{\mathsf{day}}) / \phi_{\mathsf{average}}$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$0.033 \pm 0.010 \pm 0.005$	¹ ABE	16 C	SKAM	SK combined; Based on ϕ_{ES}
• • • We do not use the fo	llowing data for a	verage	es, fits, l	imits, etc. • • •
$0.036\!\pm\!0.016\!\pm\!0.006$	² ABE	16 C	SKAM	SK-IV; Based on ϕ_{ES}
$0.032 \!\pm\! 0.011 \!\pm\! 0.005$	³ RENSHAW	14	SKAM	Based on ϕ_{ES}
$0.063\!\pm\!0.042\!\pm\!0.037$	⁴ CRAVENS	80	SKAM	Based on ϕ_{ES}
$0.021\!\pm\!0.020\!+\!0.012\\-0.013$	⁵ HOSAKA	06	SKAM	Based on ϕ_{ES}
$0.017\!\pm\!0.016\!+\!0.012\atop-0.013$	⁶ HOSAKA	06	SKAM	Fitted in the LMA region
$-0.056\!\pm\!0.074\!\pm\!0.053$	⁷ AHARMIM	05A	SNO	From salty SNO ϕ_{CC}
$-0.037 \pm 0.063 \pm 0.032$	⁷ AHARMIM	05A		From salty SNO ϕ_{CC} ; const. of no ϕ_{NC} asymmetry
$0.14\ \pm0.063{}^{+0.015}_{-0.014}$	⁸ AHMAD	02 B	SNO	Derived from SNO $\phi_{\it CC}$
$0.07\ \pm0.049 {+0.013\atop -0.012}$	⁹ AHMAD	02 B	SNO	Const. of no $\phi_{\mbox{\it NC}}$ asymmetry

¹ ABE 16C reports the combined day-night flux asymmetry results of the four phases of the Super-Kamiokande measurements. Amplitude fit method is used. See footnote to RENSHAW 14.

²AHARMIM 20 uses the entire SNO dataset, corresponding to 2.47 kton·yrs of exposure after fiducialization. With the D₂O target, SNO was sensitive to charged current, neutral current, and elastic scattering channels.

 $^{^3}$ AGOSTINI 18B obtained this result from an upper limit of the $\nu_e\,e$ elastic scattering rate for the hep neutrino using the dataset corresponding to an exposure of 0.8 kt·yr and assuming the MSW-LMA oscillation parameters derived by ESTEBAN 17.

² ABE 16C reports the Super-Kamiokande-IV results for 1664 live days from September 2008 to February 2014. The analysis threshold for day-night flux asymmetry is recoil electron energy of 4.49 MeV (total electron energy of 5.0 MeV). Amplitude fit method is used. See footnote to RENSHAW 14.

 $^{^3}$ RENSHAW 14 obtains this result by using the "amplitude fit" introduced in SMY 04. The data from the Super-Kamiokande(SK)-I, -II, -III, and 1306 live days of the SK-IV measurements are used. The analysis threshold is recoil-electron kinetic energy of 4.5 MeV for SK-III, and SK-IV except for 250 live days in SK-III (6.0 MeV). The analysis threshold for SK-I and SK-II is the same as in the previous reports. (Note that in the previous SK solar-neutrino results, the analysis threshold is quoted as recoil-electron total energy.) This day-night asymmetry result is consistent with neutrino oscillations for $4\times 10^{-5}~{\rm eV}^2~<\Delta m_{21}^2~<7\times 10^{-5}~{\rm eV}^2$ and large mixing values of θ_{12} at the 68% CL.

⁴ CRAVENS 08 reports the Super-Kamiokande-II results for 791 live days from December 2002 to October 2005. The photocathode coverage of the detector is 19% (reduced from 40% of that of Super-Kamiokande-I due to an accident in 2001). The analysis threshold for the day and night fluxes is 7.5 MeV except for the first 159 live days (8.0 MeV).

- ⁵ HOSAKA 06 reports the final results for 1496 live days with Super-Kamiokande-I between May 31, 1996 and July 15, 2001, and replace FUKUDA 02 results. The analysis threshold is 5 MeV except for the first 280 live days (6.5 MeV).
- ⁶ This result with reduced statistical uncertainty is obtained by assuming two-neutrino oscillations within the LMA (large mixing angle) region and by fitting the time variation of the solar neutrino flux measured via $\nu_{\rm e}$ elastic scattering to the variations expected from neutrino oscillations. For details, see SMY 04. There is an additional small systematic error of ± 0.0004 coming from uncertainty of oscillation parameters.
- ⁷ AHARMIM 05A measurements were made with dissolved NaCl (0.195% by weight) in heavy water over the period between July 26, 2001 and August 28, 2003, with 176.5 days of the live time recorded during the day and 214.9 days during the night. This result is obtained with the spectral distribution of the CC events not constrained to the ⁸B shape.
- ⁸ AHMAD 02B results are based on the charged-current interactions recorded between November 2, 1999 and May 28, 2001, with the day and night live times of 128.5 and 177.9 days, respectively. The complete description of the SNO Phase I data set is given in AHARMIM 07.
- 9 AHMAD 02B results are derived from the charged-current interactions, neutral-current interactions, and νe elastic scattering, with the total flux of active neutrinos constrained to have no asymmetry. The data were recorded between November 2, 1999 and May 28, 2001, with the day and night live times of 128.5 and 177.9 days, respectively. The complete description of the SNO Phase I data set is given in AHARMIM 07.

ϕ_{ES} (⁷Be)

 ^7Be solar-neutrino flux measured via ν_e elastic scattering. This process is sensitive to all active neutrino flavors, but with reduced sensitivity to ν_μ , ν_τ due to the cross-section difference, $\sigma(\nu_{\,\mu,\tau}\,e)\sim$ 0.2 $\sigma(\nu_e\,e).$ If the ^7Be solar-neutrino flux involves nonelectron flavor active neutrinos, their contribution to the flux is \sim 0.2 times that of ν_e .

$VALUE (10^9 \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1})$	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following	ig data for average	s, fits,	limits, e	etc. • • •
3.26 ± 0.52	¹ GANDO	15	KLND	average flux
3.10 ± 0.15	² BELLINI	11A	BORX	average flux

 $^{^1}$ GANDO 15 uses 165.4 kton·day exposure of the KamLAND liquid scintillator detector to measure the 862 keV 7 Be solar neutrino flux via $\nu-e$ elastic scattering

ϕ_{ES} (pep)

 $p\,e\,p$ solar-neutrino flux measured via ν_e elastic scattering. This process is sensitive to all active neutrino flavors, but with reduced sensitivity to $\nu_\mu,\,\nu_\tau$ due to the cross section difference, $\sigma(\nu_{\mu,\tau}\,\,e)\sim\,0.2\,\,\sigma(\nu_e\,e).$ If the $p\,e\,p$ solar-neutrino flux involves non-electron flavor active neutrinos, their contribution to the flux is $\sim\,0.2$ times that of ν_e .

```
    VALUE (10^8 cm^{-2}s^{-1})
    DOCUMENT ID
    TECN
    COMMENT

    • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • •
    1.0±0.2
    BELLINI
    12A
    BORX average flux
```

 $^{^2}$ BELLINI 11A reports the 7 Be solar neutrino flux measured via $\nu-e$ elastic scattering. The data correspond to 740.7 live days between May 16, 2007 and May 8, 2010, and also correspond to 153.6 ton-year fiducial exposure. BELLINI 11A measured the 862 keV 7 Be solar neutrino flux, which is an 89.6% branch of the 7 Be solar neutrino flux, to be $(2.78\pm0.13)\times10^9~{\rm cm}^{-2}~{\rm s}^{-1}$. Supercedes ARPESELLA 08A.

 1 BELLINI 12A reports 1.44 MeV $p\,e\,p$ solar-neutrino flux measured via ν_e elastic scattering. The data were collected between January 13, 2008 and May 9, 2010, corresponding to 20,4009 ton·day fiducial exposure. The listed flux value is calculated from the observed rate of $p\,e\,p$ solar neutrino interactions in Borexino (3.1 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.3 counts/(day·100 ton)) and the corresponding rate expected for no neutrino flavor oscillations (4.47 \pm 0.05 counts/(day·100 ton)), using the SSM prediction for the $p\,e\,p$ solar neutrino flux of (1.441 \pm 0.012) \times 10 8 cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$.

ϕ_{ES} (CNO)

CNO solar-neutrino flux measured via ν_e elastic scattering. This process is sensitive to all active neutrino flavors, but with reduced sensitivity to ν_{μ} , ν_{τ} due to the cross section difference, $\sigma(\nu_{\mu,\tau}~e)\sim~0.2~\sigma(\nu_e\,e)$. If the CNO solar-neutrino flux involves non-electron flavor active neutrinos, their contribution to the flux is $\sim~0.2$ times that of ν_e .

VALUE ($10^8 \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$) CL% DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<7.7 90 1 BELLINI 12A BORX MSW-LMA solution assumed

 1 BELLINI 12A reports an upper limit of the CNO solar neutrino flux measured via ν_e elastic scattering. The data were collected between January 13, 2008 and May 9, 2010, corresponding to 20,409 ton·day fiducial exposure.

$\phi_{ES}(pp)$

pp solar-neutrino flux measured via $\nu \, e$ elastic scattering. This process is sensitive to all active neutrino flavors, but with reduced sensitivity to $\nu_{\mu}, \ \nu_{\tau}$ due to the cross section difference, $\sigma(\nu_{\mu,\tau} \ e) \sim 0.3 \ \sigma(\nu_e \, e)$. If the pp solar-neutrino flux involves nonelectron flavor active neutrinos, their contribution to the flux is ~ 0.3 times of ν_e .

 $VALUE (10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1})$ DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

 1 BELLINI 14A BORX average flux

 1 BELLINI 14A reports pp solar-neutrino flux measured via νe elastic scattering. The data were collected between January 2012 and May 2013, corresponding to 408 days of data. The pp neutrino interaction rate in Borexino is measured to be 144 \pm 13 \pm 10 counts/(day·100 ton) by fitting the measured energy spectrum of events in the 165–590 keV recoil electron kinetic energy window with the expected signal + background spectrum. The listed flux value $\phi_{ES}(pp)$ is calculated from the observed rate and the number of $(3.307\pm0.003)\times10^{31}$ electrons for 100 tons of the Borexino scintillator, and the $\nu_e\,e$ integrated cross section over the pp neutrino spectrum, $\sigma(\nu_e\,e)=11.38\times10^{-46}~{\rm cm}^2$.

$\phi_{CC}(pp)$

pp solar-neutrino flux measured with charged-current reaction which is sensitive exclusively to $\nu_{\it e}$.

 $VALUE (10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1})$ DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

 3.38 ± 0.47 ABDURASHI... 09 FIT Fit existing solar- ν data

¹ ABDURASHITOV 09 reports the *pp* solar-neutrino flux derived from the Ga solar neutrino capture rate by subtracting contributions from ⁸B, ⁷Be, *pep* and CNO solar neutrino fluxes determined by other solar neutrino experiments as well as neutrino oscillation parameters determined from available world neutrino oscillation data.

ϕ_{ES} (hep)

hep solar-neutrino flux measured via $\nu\,e$ elastic scattering. This process is sensitive to all active neutrino flavors, but with reduced sensitivity to ν_{μ} , ν_{τ} due to the cross-section difference, $\sigma(\nu_{\,\mu,\tau}\,e)\sim 0.16\sigma(\nu_{e}\,e)$. If the hep solar-neutrino flux involves nonelectron flavor active neutrinos, their contribution to the flux is ~ 0.16 times of ν_{e} .

<73 90 ¹ HOSAKA 06 SKAM

$\phi_{\overline{ u}_e}$ (8B)

Searches are made for electron antineutrino flux from the Sun. Flux limits listed here are derived relative to the BS05(OP) Standard Solar Model $^8\mathrm{B}$ solar neutrino flux (5.69 \times 10 6 cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$), with an assumption that solar $\overline{\nu}_e\mathrm{s}$ follow an unoscillated $^8\mathrm{B}$ neutrino spectrum.

VALUE (%)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the	following	data for averages	s, fits,	limits, e	etc. • • •
< 0.0072	90	¹ AGOSTINI	21	BORX	$E_{\overline{ u}_{p}} > 1.8 \; MeV$
< 0.013	90	² BELLINI	11	BORX	$E_{\overline{\mathcal{U}}_{e}}^{c} > 1.8 \; MeV$
<1.9	90	³ BALATA	06		$1.8 < E_{\overline{\nu}_{P}} < 20.0 \; MeV$
< 0.72	90	AHARMIM			$4.0 < E_{\overline{\mathcal{V}}_{P}}^{C} < 14.8 \; MeV$
< 0.022	90	EGUCHI	04	KLND	$8.3 < E_{\overline{\nu}_{o}} < 14.8 \text{ MeV}$
< 0.7	90	GANDO	03	SKAM	$8.0 < E_{\overline{ u}_e}^e < 20.0 \text{ MeV}$
<1.7	90	AGLIETTA	96	LSD	$7 < E_{\overline{\nu}_{A}} < 17 \; MeV$

 $^{^1}$ AGOSTINI 21 derived this result relative to the Standard Solar Model 8 B solar neutrino flux, under an assumption of high solar metallicity, of 5.46 (1 \pm 0.12) \times 10 6 cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$ (see VINYOLES 17).

(B) Three-neutrino mixing parameters

$\sin^2(\theta_{12})$

If an experiment reports $\sin^2(2\theta_{12})$ we convert the value to $\sin^2(\theta_{12})$.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$0.307 {+0.013 \atop -0.012}$	¹ ABE	16 C	FIT	KamLAND+global solar; 3ν
• • • We do not use the	following data for	avera	ges, fits,	, limits, etc. • • •
0.318 ± 0.016	² SALAS	21	FIT	global fit
0.304 ± 0.012	³ ESTEBAN	20A	FIT	Global fit
$0.320 ^{+ 0.020}_{- 0.016}$	DE-SALAS	18	FIT	Global fit
0.310 ± 0.014	⁴ ABE	16 C	FIT	SKAM+SNO; 3ν

¹HOSAKA 06 result is obtained from the recoil electron energy window of 18–21 MeV, and updates FUKUDA 01 result.

²Superseded by AGOSTINI 21.

³ BALATA 06 obtained this result from the search for $\overline{\nu}_e$ interactions with Counting Test Facility (the prototype of the Borexino detector).

$0.334^{+0.027}_{-0.023}$	⁵ ABE	16 C	FIT	SK-I+II+III+IV; 3ν
$0.327 ^{+ 0.026}_{- 0.031}$	⁶ ABE	16 C	FIT	SK-IV; 3ν
0.323 ± 0.016	⁷ FORERO	14	FIT	3ν
$0.304 ^{+ 0.013}_{- 0.012}$	⁸ GONZALEZ	14	FIT	Either mass ordering; global fit
$0.299^{+0.014}_{-0.014}$	9,10 AHARMIM	13	FIT	global solar: 2ν
$0.307 ^{+ 0.016}_{- 0.013}$	10,11 AHARMIM	13	FIT	global solar: 3ν
$0.304^{+0.022}_{-0.018}$	^{10,12} AHARMIM	13	FIT	KamLAND $+$ global solar: $3 u$
$0.304 ^{+ 0.014}_{- 0.013}$	¹³ GANDO	13	FIT	KamLAND $+$ global solar $+$ SBL $+$ accelerator: 3ν
$0.304 ^{+ 0.014}_{- 0.013}$	¹⁴ GANDO	13	FIT	KamLAND + global solar: 3ν
$0.325 ^{+ 0.039}_{- 0.039}$	¹⁵ GANDO	13	FIT	KamLAND: 3ν
$0.30 \begin{array}{l} +0.02 \\ -0.01 \end{array}$	¹⁶ ABE	11	FIT	$KamLAND + global \; solar :\; 2\nu$
$0.30 \begin{array}{l} +0.02 \\ -0.01 \end{array}$	¹⁷ ABE	11	FIT	global solar: 2ν
$0.31 \begin{array}{l} +0.03 \\ -0.02 \end{array}$	¹⁸ ABE	11	FIT	KamLAND $+$ global solar: $3 u$
$0.31 \begin{array}{l} +0.03 \\ -0.03 \end{array}$	¹⁹ ABE	11	FIT	global solar: $3 u$
$0.314 ^{+ 0.015}_{- 0.012}$	²⁰ BELLINI	11A	FIT	KamLAND $+$ global solar: 2ν
$0.319 ^{+0.017}_{-0.015}$	²¹ BELLINI	11 A	FIT	global solar: 2ν
$0.311^{+0.016}_{-0.016}$	²² GANDO	11	FIT	KamLAND $+$ solar: 3ν
$0.304 ^{+ 0.046}_{- 0.042}$	²³ GANDO	11	FIT	KamLAND: 3ν
$0.314 ^{+ 0.018}_{- 0.014}$	^{24,25} AHARMIM	10	FIT	KamLAND $+$ global solar: 2ν
$0.314 ^{+ 0.017}_{- 0.020}$	^{24,26} AHARMIM	10	FIT	global solar: 2ν
$0.319^{+0.019}_{-0.016}$	^{24,27} AHARMIM	10	FIT	KamLAND $+$ global solar: 3ν
$0.319^{+0.023}_{-0.024}$	^{24,28} AHARMIM	10	FIT	global solar: 3ν
$0.36 \begin{array}{l} +0.05 \\ -0.04 \end{array}$	²⁹ ABE	A80	FIT	KamLAND
0.32 ± 0.03	³⁰ ABE		FIT	KamLAND + global fit
0.32 ± 0.02	31 AHARMIM	80	FIT	$KamLAND + global \; solar$
$0.31 \begin{array}{c} +0.04 \\ -0.04 \end{array}$	³² HOSAKA	06	FIT	$KamLAND + global \; solar$
$0.31 \begin{array}{c} +0.04 \\ -0.03 \end{array}$	³³ HOSAKA	06	FIT	SKAM + SNO + KamLAND
$0.31 \begin{array}{l} +0.03 \\ -0.04 \end{array}$	³⁴ HOSAKA	06	FIT	SKAM+SNO
$0.31 \begin{array}{c} +0.02 \\ -0.03 \end{array}$	³⁵ AHARMIM		FIT	$KamLAND + global \; solar$
0.25-0.39	36 AHARMIM		FIT	global solar
0.29 ± 0.03	37 ARAKI	05	FIT	$KamLAND + global \ solar$
$0.29 \begin{array}{l} +0.03 \\ -0.02 \end{array}$	³⁸ AHMED	04A	FIT	$KamLAND + global \; solar$

0.23-0.37	³⁹ AHMED	04A	FIT	global solar
$0.31 \begin{array}{l} +0.04 \\ -0.04 \end{array}$	⁴⁰ SMY	04	FIT	${\sf KamLAND} + {\sf global} \ {\sf solar}$
$0.29 \begin{array}{l} +0.04 \\ -0.04 \end{array}$	⁴¹ SMY	04	FIT	global solar
$0.32 \begin{array}{l} +0.06 \\ -0.05 \end{array}$	⁴² SMY	04	FIT	SKAM + SNO
0.19-0.33	⁴³ AHMAD	02 B	FIT	global solar
0.19-0.39	⁴⁴ FUKUDA	02	FIT	global solar

- 1 ABE 16C obtained this result by a three-neutrino oscillation analysis, with a constraint of $\sin^2(\theta_{13})=0.0219\pm0.0014$ coming from reactor neutrino experiments, using all solar data and KamLAND data. *CPT* invariance is assumed.
- ²SALAS 21 reports results of a global fit to neutrino oscillation data available at the time of the Neutrino 2020 conference.
- ³ ESTEBAN 20A reports results of a global fit to neutrino oscillation data available at the time of the Neutrino2020 conference.
- 4 ABE 16C obtained this result by a three-neutrino oscillation analysis, with a constraint of $\sin^2(\theta_{13})=0.0219\pm0.0014$ coming from reactor neutrino experiments, using Super-Kamiokande (I+II+III+IV) and SNO data.
- 5 ABE 16C obtained this result by a three-neutrino oscillation analysis, with a constraint of $\sin^2(\theta_{13})=0.0219\pm0.0014$ coming from reactor neutrino experiments, by combining the four phases of the Super-Kamiokande solar data.
- ⁶ ABE 16C obtained this result by a three-neutrino oscillation analysis, with a constraint of $\sin^2(\theta_{13})=0.0219\pm0.0014$ coming from reactor neutrino experiments, using the Super-Kamiokande-IV data.
- ⁷ FORERO 14 performs a global fit to neutrino oscillations using solar, reactor, long-baseline accelerator, and atmospheric neutrino data.
- 8 GONZALEZ-GARCIA 14 result comes from a frequentist global fit. The corresponding Bayesian global fit to the same data results are reported in BERGSTROM 15 as $0.304 \, ^{+0.013}_{-0.012}$ for normal and $0.305 \, ^{+0.012}_{-0.013}$ for inverted mass ordering.
- ⁹AHARMIM 13 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using global solar neutrino data.
- 10 AHARMIM 13 global solar neutrino data include SNO's all-phases-combined analysis results on the total active 8 B neutrino flux and energy-dependent ν_{e} survival probability parameters, measurements of CI (CLEVELAND 98), Ga (ABDURASHITOV 09 which contains combined analysis with GNO (ALTMANN 05 and Ph.D. thesis of F. Kaether)), and 7 Be (BELLINI 11A) rates, and 8 B solar-neutrino recoil electron measurements of SK-I (HOSAKA 06) zenith, SK-II (CRAVENS 08) and SK-III (ABE 11) day/night spectra, and Borexino (BELLINI 10A) spectra.
- 11 AHARMIM 13 obtained this result by a three-neutrino oscillation analysis with the value of Δm^2_{32} fixed to 2.45 \times 10 $^{-3}$ eV², using global solar neutrino data.
- 12 AHARMIM 13 obtained this result by a three-neutrino oscillation analysis with the value of Δm_{32}^2 fixed to 2.45 \times 10 $^{-3}$ eV 2 , using global solar neutrino and KamLAND (GANDO 11) data. CPT invariance is assumed.
- ¹³ GANDO 13 obtained this result by a three-neutrino oscillation analysis using KamLAND, global solar neutrino, short-baseline (SBL) reactor, and accelerator data, assuming CPT invariance. Supersedes GANDO 11.
- ¹⁴ GANDO 13 obtained this result by a three-neutrino oscillation analysis using KamLAND and global solar neutrino data, assuming CPT invariance. Supersedes GANDO 11.
- ¹⁵ GANDO 13 obtained this result by a three-neutrino oscillation analysis using KamLAND data. Supersedes GANDO 11.
- ABE 11 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using solar neutrino data including Super-Kamiokande, SNO, Borexino (ARPESELLA 08A), Homestake, GALLEX/GNO, SAGE, and KamLAND data. CPT invariance is assumed.

- ¹⁷ ABE 11 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using solar neutrino data including Super-Kamiokande, SNO, Borexino (ARPESELLA 08A), Homestake, GALLEX/GNO, and SAGE data.
- 18 ABE 11 obtained this result by a three-neutrino oscillation analysis with the value of Δm_{32}^2 fixed to $2.4 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$, using solar neutrino data including Super-Kamiokande, SNO, Borexino (ARPESELLA 08A), Homestake, GALLEX/GNO, SAGE, and KamLAND data. The normal neutrino mass ordering and CPT invariance are assumed.
- 19 ABE 11 obtained this result by a three-neutrino oscillation analysis with the value of Δm_{32}^2 fixed to $2.4 \times 10^{-3} \ \text{eV}^2$, using solar neutrino data including Super-Kamiokande, SNO, Borexino (ARPESELLA 08A), Homestake, and GALLEX/GNO data. The normal neutrino mass ordering is assumed.
- ²⁰ BELLINI 11A obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using KamLAND, Homestake, SAGE, Gallex, GNO, Kamiokande, Super-Kamiokande, SNO, and Borexino (BELLINI 11A) data and the SSM flux prediction in SERENELLI 11 (Astrophysical Journal **743** 24 (2011)) with the exception that the ⁸B flux was left free. CPT invariance is assumed.
- ²¹ BELLINI 11A obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using Homestake, SAGE, Gallex, GNO, Kamiokande, Super-Kamiokande, SNO, and Borexino (BELLINI 11A) data and the SSM flux prediction in SERENELLI 11 (Astrophysical Journal **743** 24 (2011)) with the exception that the ⁸B flux was left free.
- 22 GANDO 11 obtain this result with three-neutrino fit using the KamLAND + solar data. Superseded by GANDO 13.
- 23 GANDO 11 obtain this result with three-neutrino fit using the KamLAND data only. Superseded by GANDO 13.
- ²⁴ AHARMIM 10 global solar neutrino data include SNO's low-energy-threshold analysis survival probability day/night curves, SNO Phase III integral rates (AHARMIM 08), CI (CLEVELAND 98), SAGE (ABDURASHITOV 09), Gallex/GNO (HAMPEL 99, ALT-MANN 05), Borexino (ARPESELLA 08A), SK-I zenith (HOSAKA 06), and SK-II day/night spectra (CRAVENS 08).
- ²⁵ AHARMIM 10 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using global solar neutrino data and KamLAND data (ABE 08A). *CPT* invariance is assumed.
- ²⁶ AHARMIM 10 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using global solar neutrino data.
- AHARMIM 10 obtained this result by a three-neutrino oscillation analysis with the value of Δm_{31}^2 fixed to $2.3 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$, using global solar neutrino data and KamLAND data (ABE 08A). *CPT* invariance is assumed.
- ²⁸ AHARMIM 10 obtained this result by a three-neutrino oscillation analysis with the value of Δm_{31}^2 fixed to 2.3×10^{-3} eV², using global solar neutrino data.
- 29 ABE 08A obtained this result by a rate + shape + time combined geoneutrino and reactor two-neutrino fit for Δm^2_{21} and $\tan^2\!\theta_{12}$, using KamLAND data only. Superseded by GANDO 11.
- 30 ABE 08A obtained this result by means of a two-neutrino fit using KamLAND, Homestake, SAGE, GALLEX, GNO, SK (zenith angle and E-spectrum), the SNO χ^2 -map, and solar flux data. *CPT* invariance is assumed. Superseded by GANDO 11.
- ³¹ The result given by AHARMIM 08 is $\theta=(34.4^{+1.3}_{-1.2})^{\circ}$. This result is obtained by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using solar neutrino data including those of Borexino (ARPESELLA 08A) and Super-Kamiokande-I (HOSAKA 06), and KamLAND data (ABE 08A). *CPT* invariance is assumed.
- ³² HOSAKA 06 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using SK ν_e data, CC data from other solar neutrino experiments, and KamLAND data (ARAKI 05). *CPT* invariance is assumed.
- ³³ HOSAKA 06 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using the data from Super-Kamiokande, SNO (AHMAD 02 and AHMAD 02B), and KamLAND (ARAKI 05) experiments. *CPT* invariance is assumed.

- 34 HOSAKA 06 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using the Super-Kamiokande and SNO (AHMAD 02 and AHMAD 02B) solar neutrino data.
- 35 The result given by AHARMIM 05A is $\theta=(33.9\pm1.6)^\circ$. This result is obtained by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using SNO pure deuteron and salt phase data, SK ν_e data, Cl and Ga CC data, and KamLAND data (ARAKI 05). *CPT* invariance is assumed. AHARMIM 05A also quotes $\theta=(33.9^{+2.4}_{-2.2})^\circ$ as the error enveloping the 68% CL two-dimensional region. This translates into $\sin^2\!2$ $\theta=0.86^{+0.05}_{-0.06}$.
- 36 AHARMIM 05A obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using the data from all solar neutrino experiments. The listed range of the parameter envelops the 95% CL two-dimensional region shown in figure 35a of AHARMIM 05A. AHARMIM 05A also quotes $\tan^2\theta=0.45^{+0.09}_{-0.08}$ as the error enveloping the 68% CL two-dimensional region. This translates into $\sin^22~\theta=0.86^{+0.05}_{-0.07}$.
- 37 ARAKI 05 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using KamLAND and solar neutrino data. *CPT* invariance is assumed. The 1σ error shown here is translated from the number provided by the KamLAND collaboration, $\tan^2\theta=0.40^{+0.07}_{-0.05}$. The corresponding number quoted in ARAKI 05 is $\tan^2\theta=0.40^{+0.10}_{-0.07}$ (sin²2 $\theta=0.82\pm0.07$), which envelops the 68% CL two-dimensional region.
- 38 The result given by AHMED 04A is $\theta=(32.5^{+1.7}_{-1.6})^{\circ}$. This result is obtained by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using solar neutrino and KamLAND data (EGUCHI 03). *CPT* invariance is assumed. AHMED 04A also quotes $\theta=(32.5^{+2.4}_{-2.3})^{\circ}$ as the error enveloping the 68% CL two-dimensional region. This translates into $\sin^2 2 \theta=0.82 \pm 0.06$.
- 39 AHMED 04A obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using the data from all solar neutrino experiments. The listed range of the parameter envelops the 95% CL two-dimensional region shown in Fig. 5(a) of AHMED 04A. The best-fit point is $\Delta(m^2)=6.5\times 10^{-5}~\text{eV}^2,\, \tan^2\theta=0.40~(\sin^22~\theta=0.82).$
- ⁴⁰ The result given by SMY 04 is $\tan^2\theta=0.44\pm0.08$. This result is obtained by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using solar neutrino and KamLAND data (IANNI 03). *CPT* invariance is assumed.
- 41 SMY 04 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using the data from all solar neutrino experiments. The 1σ errors are read from Fig. 6(a) of SMY 04.
- 42 SMY 04 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using the Super-Kamiokande and SNO (AHMAD 02 and AHMAD 02B) solar neutrino data. The 1σ errors are read from Fig. 6(a) of SMY 04.
- 43 AHMAD 02B obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using the data from all solar neutrino experiments. The listed range of the parameter envelops the 95% CL two-dimensional region shown in Fig. 4(b) of AHMAD 02B. The best fit point is $\Delta(m^2) = 5.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2$ and $\tan\theta = 0.34 \ (\sin^2 2\theta = 0.76)$.
- FUKUDA 02 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using the data from all solar neutrino experiments. The listed range of the parameter envelops the 95% CL two-dimensional region shown in Fig. 4 of FUKUDA 02. The best fit point is $\Delta(m^2) = 6.9 \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2$ and $\tan^2\theta = 0.38$ ($\sin^2\theta = 0.80$).

Δm_{21}^2

VALUE (
$$10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2$$
)DOCUMENT IDTECNCOMMENT7.53 ± 0.181 GANDO13 FITKamLAND + global solar + SBL
+ accelerator: 3ν

• •	•	We do not	use the	following	data for	averages.	fits,	limits,	etc.	• •	•	
-----	---	-----------	---------	-----------	----------	-----------	-------	---------	------	-----	---	--

	•		•	
$7.50^{+0.22}_{-0.20}$	² SALAS	21	FIT	global fit
$7.42^{igoplus 0.21}_{-0.20}$	³ ESTEBAN	20A	FIT	Global fit
$7.55 ^{+0.20}_{-0.16}$	DE-SALAS	18	FIT	Global fit
$7.49 {+0.19 \atop -0.18}$	⁴ ABE	16 C	FIT	KamLAND+global solar; 3ν
$4.8 \begin{array}{l} +1.3 \\ -0.6 \end{array}$	⁵ ABE	16 C	FIT	SKAM+SNO; 3ν
$4.8 \begin{array}{c} +1.5 \\ -0.8 \end{array}$	⁶ ABE	16 C	FIT	SK-I+II+III+IV; 3ν
$3.2 \begin{array}{c} +2.8 \\ -0.2 \end{array}$	⁷ ABE	16 C	FIT	SK-IV; 3ν
$7.6 \begin{array}{c} +0.19 \\ -0.18 \end{array}$	⁸ FORERO	14	FIT	3ν
$7.50^{+0.19}_{-0.17}$	⁹ GONZALEZ	14	FIT	Either mass ordering; global fit
$5.13^{+1.29}_{-0.96}$	10,11 AHARMIM	13	FIT	global solar: 2ν
$5.13^{+1.49}_{-0.98}$	$^{11,12}\mathrm{AHARMIM}$	13	FIT	global solar: $3 u$
$7.46^{+0.20}_{-0.19}$	11,13 AHARMIM	13	FIT	KamLAND $+$ global solar: $3 u$
$7.53^{igoplus 0.19}_{-0.18}$	¹⁴ GANDO	13	FIT	KamLAND $+$ global solar: $3 u$
$7.54 ^{igoplus 0.19}_{-0.18}$	¹⁵ GANDO	13	FIT	KamLAND: 3ν
7.6 ± 0.2	¹⁶ ABE	11	FIT	$KamLAND + global \; solar \colon \; 2 \nu$
$6.2 \begin{array}{c} +1.1 \\ -1.9 \end{array}$	¹⁷ ABE	11	FIT	global solar: 2ν
7.7 ± 0.3	¹⁸ ABE	11	FIT	KamLAND $+$ global solar: 3ν
$6.0 \begin{array}{l} +2.2 \\ -2.5 \end{array}$	¹⁹ ABE	11	FIT	global solar: 3ν
$7.50^{+0.16}_{-0.24}$	²⁰ BELLINI	11A	FIT	${\sf KamLAND + global\ solar:\ } 2\nu$
$5.2 \begin{array}{c} +1.5 \\ -0.9 \end{array}$	²¹ BELLINI	11A	FIT	global solar: $2 u$
$7.50 {}^{+ 0.19}_{- 0.20}$	²² GANDO	11	FIT	$KamLAND + solar \colon 3\nu$
7.49 ± 0.20	²³ GANDO	11	FIT	KamLAND: $3 u$
$7.59^{+0.20}_{-0.21}$	^{24,25} AHARMIM	10	FIT	$KamLAND + global \; solar : \; \; 2\nu$
$5.89 ^{ ext{+2.13}}_{ ext{-2.16}}$	24,26 AHARMIM	10	FIT	global solar: 2ν
7.59 ± 0.21	^{24,27} AHARMIM	10	FIT	KamLAND $+$ global solar: 3ν
$6.31^{+2.49}_{-2.58}$	^{24,28} AHARMIM	10	FIT	global solar: $3 u$
$7.58^{+0.14}_{-0.13}{\pm}0.15$	²⁹ ABE	08A	FIT	KamLAND
7.59 ± 0.21	³⁰ ABE	08A	FIT	$KamLAND + global \; solar$
$7.59 {}^{+ 0.19}_{- 0.21}$	³¹ AHARMIM	80	FIT	$KamLAND + global \; solar$
8.0 ± 0.3	³² HOSAKA	06	FIT	$KamLAND + global \; solar$
8.0 ± 0.3	³³ HOSAKA	06	FIT	SKAM + SNO + KamLAND
$6.3 \begin{array}{c} +3.7 \\ -1.5 \end{array}$	³⁴ HOSAKA	06	FIT	SKAM+SNO

5–12	³⁵ HOSAKA	06	FIT	SKAM day/night in the LMA region
$8.0 \begin{array}{c} +0.4 \\ -0.3 \end{array}$	³⁶ AHARMIM	05A	FIT	$KamLAND + global \; solar \; LMA$
3.3-14.4	³⁷ AHARMIM	05A	FIT	global solar
$7.9 \begin{array}{c} +0.4 \\ -0.3 \end{array}$	³⁸ ARAKI	05	FIT	$KamLAND + global \; solar$
$7.1 \begin{array}{c} +1.0 \\ -0.3 \end{array}$	³⁹ AHMED	04A	FIT	$KamLAND + global \; solar$
3.2-13.7	⁴⁰ AHMED	04A	FIT	global solar
$7.1 \begin{array}{c} +0.6 \\ -0.5 \end{array}$	⁴¹ SMY	04	FIT	$KamLAND + global \; solar$
$6.0 \begin{array}{c} +1.7 \\ -1.6 \end{array}$	⁴² SMY	04	FIT	global solar
$6.0 \begin{array}{l} +2.5 \\ -1.6 \end{array}$	⁴³ SMY	04	FIT	SKAM + SNO
2.8–12.0 3.2–19.1	⁴⁴ AHMAD ⁴⁵ FUKUDA	02в 02	FIT FIT	global solar global solar

¹ GANDO 13 obtained this result by a three-neutrino oscillation analysis using KamLAND, global solar neutrino, short-baseline (SBL) reactor, and accelerator data, assuming CPT invariance. Supersedes GANDO 11.

² SALAS 21 reports results of a global fit to neutrino oscillation data available at the time of the Neutrino 2020 conference.

³ESTEBAN 20A reports results of a global fit to neutrino oscillation data available at the time of the Neutrino2020 conference.

 $^{^4}$ ABE 16C obtained this result by a three-neutrino oscillation analysis, with a constraint of $\sin^2(\theta_{13})=0.0219\pm0.0014$ coming from reactor neutrino experiments, using all solar data and KamLAND data. *CPT* invariance is assumed.

data and KamLAND data. *CPT* invariance is assumed. 5 ABE 16C obtained this result by a three-neutrino oscillation analysis, with a constraint of $\sin^2(\theta_{13}) = 0.0219 \pm 0.0014$ coming from reactor neutrino experiments, using Super-Kamiokande (I+II+III+IV) and SNO data.

⁶ ABE 16C obtained this result by a three-neutrino oscillation analysis, with a constraint of $\sin^2(\theta_{13}) = 0.0219 \pm 0.0014$ coming from reactor neutrino experiments, by combining the four phases of the Super-Kamiokande solar data.

 $^{^7}$ ABE 16C obtained this result by a three-neutrino oscillation analysis, with a constraint of $\sin^2(\theta_{13})=0.0219\pm0.0014$ coming from reactor neutrino experiments, using the Super-Kamiokande-IV data.

⁸ FORERO 14 performs a global fit to Δm_{21}^2 using solar, reactor, long-baseline accelerator, and atmospheric neutrino data.

 $^{^9}$ GONZALEZ-GARCIA 14 result comes from a frequentist global fit. The corresponding Bayesian global fit to the same data results are reported in BERGSTROM 15 as $(7.50^{+0.19}_{-0.17})\times 10^{-5}$ eV 2 for normal and $(7.50^{+0.18}_{-0.17})\times 10^{-5}$ eV 2 for inverted mass ordering.

¹⁰ AHARMIM 13 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using global solar neutrino data.

 $^{^{11}}$ AHARMIM 13 global solar neutrino data include SNO's all-phases-combined analysis results on the total active 8 B neutrino flux and energy-dependent ν_{e} survival probability parameters, measurements of CI (CLEVELAND 98), Ga (ABDURASHITOV 09 which contains combined analysis with GNO (ALTMANN 05 and Ph.D. thesis of F. Kaether)), and 7 Be (BELLINI 11A) rates, and 8 B solar-neutrino recoil electron measurements of SK-I (HOSAKA 06) zenith, SK-II (CRAVENS 08), and SK-III (ABE 11) day/night spectra, and Borexino (BELLINI 10A) spectra.

 $^{^{12}}$ AHARMIM 13 obtained this result by a three-neutrino oscillation analysis with the value of Δm^2_{31} fixed to 2.45 \times 10 $^{-3}$ eV 2 , using global solar neutrino data.

- 13 AHARMIM 13 obtained this result by a three-neutrino oscillation analysis with the value of Δm_{31}^2 fixed to 2.45×10^{-3} eV², using global solar neutrino and KamLAND data (GANDO 11). CPT invariance is assumed.
- 14 GANDO 13 obtained this result by a three-neutrino oscillation analysis using KamLAND and global solar neutrino data, assuming CPT invariance. Supersedes GANDO 11.
- ¹⁵ GANDO 13 obtained this result by a three-neutrino oscillation analysis using KamLAND data. Supersedes GANDO 11.
- ¹⁶ ABE 11 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using solar neutrino data including Super-Kamiokande, SNO, Borexino (ARPESELLA 08A), Homestake, GALLEX/GNO, SAGE, and KamLAND data. CPT invariance is assumed.
- ¹⁷ ABE 11 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using solar neutrino data including Super-Kamiokande, SNO, Borexino (ARPESELLA 08A), Homestake, GALLEX/GNO, and SAGE data.
- 18 ABE 11 obtained this result by a three-neutrino oscillation analysis with the value of Δm^2_{32} fixed to $2.4\times 10^{-3}~\text{eV}^2$, using solar neutrino data including Super-Kamiokande, SNO, Borexino (ARPESELLA 08A), Homestake, GALLEX/GNO, SAGE, and KamLAND data. The normal neutrino mass ordering and CPT invariance are assumed.
- 19 ABE 11 obtained this result by a three-neutrino oscillation analysis with the value of Δm_{32}^2 fixed to $2.4 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$, using solar neutrino data including Super-Kamiokande, SNO, Borexino (ARPESELLA 08A), Homestake, and GALLEX/GNO data. The normal neutrino mass ordering is assumed.
- ²⁰ BELLINI 11A obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using KamLAND, Homestake, SAGE, Gallex, GNO, Kamiokande, Super-Kamiokande, SNO, and Borexino (BELLINI 11A) data and the SSM flux prediction in SERENELLI 11 (Astrophysical Journal **743** 24 (2011)) with the exception that the ⁸B flux was left free. CPT invariance is assumed.
- ²¹ BELLINI 11A obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using Home-stake, SAGE, Gallex, GNO, Kamiokande, Super-Kamiokande, SNO, and Borexino (BELLINI 11A) data and the SSM flux prediction in SERENELLI 11 (Astrophysical Journal **743** 24 (2011)) with the exception that the ⁸B flux was left free.
- 22 GANDO 11 obtain this result with three-neutrino fit using the KamLAND + solar data. Superseded by GANDO 13.
- ²³ GANDO 11 obtain this result with three-neutrino fit using the KamLAND data only. Supersedes ABE 08A.
- ²⁴ AHARMIM 10 global solar neutrino data include SNO's low-energy-threshold analysis survival probability day/night curves, SNO Phase III integral rates (AHARMIM 08), CI (CLEVELAND 98), SAGE (ABDURASHITOV 09), Gallex/GNO (HAMPEL 99, ALT-MANN 05), Borexino (ARPESELLA 08A), SK-I zenith (HOSAKA 06), and SK-II day/night spectra (CRAVENS 08).
- ²⁵ AHARMIM 10 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using global solar neutrino data and KamLAND data (ABE 08A). *CPT* invariance is assumed.
- ²⁶ AHARMIM 10 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using global solar neutrino data.
- AHARMIM 10 obtained this result by a three-neutrino oscillation analysis with the value of Δm_{31}^2 fixed to $2.3 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$, using global solar neutrino data and KamLAND data (ABE 08A). *CPT* invariance is assumed.
- ²⁸ AHARMIM 10 obtained this result by a three-neutrino oscillation analysis with the value of Δm_{31}^2 fixed to 2.3×10^{-3} eV², using global solar neutrino data.
- ²⁹ ABE 08A obtained this result by a rate + shape + time combined geoneutrino and reactor two-neutrino fit for Δm_{21}^2 and $\tan^2\theta_{12}$, using KamLAND data only. Superseded by GANDO 11.
- 30 ABE 08A obtained this result by means of a two-neutrino fit using KamLAND, Homestake, SAGE, GALLEX, GNO, SK (zenith angle and E-spectrum), the SNO χ^2 -map, and solar flux data. *CPT* invariance is assumed. Superseded by GANDO 11.

- 31 AHARMIM 08 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using all solar neutrino data including those of Borexino (ARPESELLA 08A) and Super-Kamiokande-I (HOSAKA 06), and KamLAND data (ABE 08A). CPT invariance is assumed.
- 32 HOSAKA 06 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using solar neutrino and KamLAND data (ARAKI 05). *CPT* invariance is assumed.
- ³³ HOSAKA 06 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using the data from Super-Kamiokande, SNO (AHMAD 02 and AHMAD 02B), and KamLAND (ARAKI 05) experiments. *CPT* invariance is assumed.
- ³⁴ HOSAKA 06 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using the Super-Kamiokande and SNO (AHMAD 02 and AHMAD 02B) solar neutrino data.
- 35 HOSAKA 06 obtained this result from the consistency between the observed and expected day-night flux asymmetry amplitude. The listed 68% CL range is derived from the 1σ boundary of the amplitude fit to the data. Oscillation parameters are constrained to be in the LMA region. The mixing angle is fixed at $\tan^2\theta=0.44$ because the fit depends only very weekly on it.
- 36 AHARMIM 05A obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using solar neutrino and KamLAND data (ARAKI 05). *CPT* invariance is assumed. AHARMIM 05A also quotes $\Delta(m^2)=(8.0^{+0.6}_{-0.4})\times 10^{-5}~\text{eV}^2$ as the error enveloping the 68% CL two-dimensional region.
- 37 AHARMIM 05A obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using the data from all solar neutrino experiments. The listed range of the parameter envelops the 95% CL two-dimensional region shown in figure 35a of AHARMIM 05A. AHARMIM 05A also quotes $\Delta(m^2)=(6.5^{+4.4}_{-2.3})\times 10^{-5}~{\rm eV}^2$ as the error enveloping the 68% CL two-dimensional region.
- 38 ARAKI 05 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using KamLAND and solar neutrino data. *CPT* invariance is assumed. The 1σ error shown here is provided by the KamLAND collaboration. The error quoted in ARAKI 05, $\Delta(m^2) = (7.9^{+0.6}_{-0.5}) \times 10^{-5}$, envelops the 68% CL two-dimensional region.
- 39 AHMED 04A obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using solar neutrino and KamLAND data (EGUCHI 03). *CPT* invariance is assumed. AHMED 04A also quotes $\Delta(m^2)=(7.1^{+1.2}_{-0.6})\times 10^{-5}~\text{eV}^2$ as the error enveloping the 68% CL two-dimensional region.
- 40 AHMED 04A obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using the data from all solar neutrino experiments. The listed range of the parameter envelops the 95% CL two-dimensional region shown in Fig. 5(a) of AHMED 04A. The best-fit point is $\Delta(m^2)=6.5\times 10^{-5}~{\rm eV}^2,\, \tan^2\!\theta=0.40~(\sin^2\!2~\theta=0.82).$
- 41 SMY 04 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using solar neutrino and KamLAND data (IANNI 03). *CPT* invariance is assumed.
- 42 SMY 04 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using the data from all solar neutrino experiments. The 1σ errors are read from Fig. 6(a) of SMY 04.
- $^{43}\,\text{SMY}$ 04 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using the Super-Kamiokande and SNO (AHMAD 02 and AHMAD 02B) solar neutrino data. The 1σ errors are read from Fig. 6(a) of SMY 04.
- 44 AHMAD 02B obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using the data from all solar neutrino experiments. The listed range of the parameter envelops the 95% CL two-dimensional region shown in Fig. 4(b) of AHMAD 02B. The best fit point is $\Delta(m^2)=5.0\times 10^{-5}~\text{eV}^2$ and $\tan\theta=0.34~(\sin^22~\theta=0.76).$
- ⁴⁵ FUKUDA 02 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using the data from all solar neutrino experiments. The listed range of the parameter envelops the 95% CL two-dimensional region shown in Fig. 4 of FUKUDA 02. The best fit point is $\Delta(m^2)$ = 6.9×10^{-5} eV² and $\tan^2\theta = 0.38$ ($\sin^2\theta = 0.80$).

$\sin^2(\theta_{23})$

The reported limits below correspond to the projection onto the $\sin^2(\theta_{23})$ axis of the 90% CL contours in the $\sin^2(\theta_{23}) - \Delta m_{32}^2$ plane presented by the authors. Unless otherwise specified, the limits are 90% CL and the reported uncertainties are 68% CL.

If an experiment reports $\sin^2(2\,\theta_{23})$ we convert the value to $\sin^2(\,\theta_{23}).$

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT					
0.539±0.022 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1. Assuming inverted mass order-					
ing 0.546±0.021 OUR FIT Assuming normal mass ordering					
$0.53 \begin{array}{l} +0.03 \\ -0.04 \end{array}$	¹ ABE	20F		Both mass orderings	
$0.43 \begin{array}{l} -0.04 \\ +0.20 \\ -0.04 \end{array}$	² ADAMSON	20A	MINS	Normal mass ordering	
$0.42 \begin{array}{c} +0.07 \\ -0.03 \end{array}$	² ADAMSON	20A	MINS	Inverted mass ordering	
$0.56 \begin{array}{l} +0.04 \\ -0.03 \end{array}$	³ ACERO	19	NOVA	Normal mass order; octant II for θ_{23}	
$0.56 \begin{array}{l} +0.04 \\ -0.03 \end{array}$	^{3,4} ACERO	19	NOVA	Inverted mass order; octant II for θ_{23}	
$0.51 \begin{array}{l} +0.07 \\ -0.09 \end{array}$	⁵ AARTSEN	18A	ICCB	Normal mass ordering	
$0.588 ^{\displaystyle +0.031}_{\displaystyle -0.064}$	⁶ ABE	18 B	SKAM	Normal mass ordering, θ_{13} constrained	
$0.575 ^{+ 0.036}_{- 0.073}$	⁶ ABE	18 B	SKAM	Inverted mass ordering, θ_{13} constrained	
• • • We do no	ot use the following o	data f	or avera	ges, fits, limits, etc. • • •	
$0.51 \begin{array}{l} +0.06 \\ -0.07 \end{array}$	⁷ ABE	21A	T2K	$ u_{\mu}$ disappearance	
$0.43 \begin{array}{l} +0.21 \\ -0.05 \end{array}$	⁷ ABE	21A	T2K	$\overline{ u}_{\mu}$ disappearance	
0.574 ± 0.014	⁸ SALAS	21	FIT	Normal mass ordering, global fit	
$0.578 ^{+ 0.010}_{- 0.017}$	⁸ SALAS	21	FIT	Inverted mass ordering, global fit	
0.455	⁹ AARTSEN	20	ICCB	For both mass orderings	
$0.573^{\begin{subarray}{c} +0.016 \\ -0.020 \end{subarray}}$	¹⁰ ESTEBAN	20A	FIT	Normal mass ordering, global fit	
$0.575 ^{+ 0.016}_{- 0.019}$	¹⁰ ESTEBAN	20A	FIT	Inverted mass ordering, global fit	
$0.58 \begin{array}{l} +0.04 \\ -0.13 \end{array}$	¹¹ AARTSEN	19 C	ICCB		
$0.48 \begin{array}{l} +0.04 \\ -0.03 \end{array}$	^{3,4} ACERO	19	NOVA	Normal mass order; octant I for θ_{23}	
$0.47 \begin{array}{l} +0.04 \\ -0.03 \end{array}$	^{3,4} ACERO	19	NOVA	Inverted mass order; octant I for θ_{23}	
$0.49 \begin{array}{l} +0.30 \\ -0.28 \end{array}$	AGAFONOVA	19	OPER		
$0.50 \begin{array}{l} +0.20 \\ -0.19 \end{array}$	¹² ALBERT	19	ANTR	Atmospheric ν , deep sea telescope	
$0.587 {+ 0.036 \atop - 0.069}$	¹³ ABE	18 B	SKAM	3ν osc: normal mass ordering, θ_{13} free	
$0.551 \! \begin{array}{l} +0.044 \\[-4pt] -0.075\end{array}$	¹³ ABE	18 B	SKAM	3ν osc: inverted mass ordering, θ_{13} free	
$0.526 ^{+ 0.032}_{- 0.036}$	¹⁴ ABE	18 G	T2K	Normal mass ordering, θ_{13} constrained	
$0.530^{+0.030}_{-0.034}$	¹⁴ ABE	18G	T2K	Inverted mass ordering, θ_{13} constrained	
0.56 ± 0.04	¹⁵ ACERO	18	NOVA	Normal mass order; octant II for θ_{23}	
https://pdg.l	bl.gov	Pag	e 27	Created: 8/11/2022 09:39	

0.47 ± 0.04	¹⁵ ACERO	18	NOVA	Normal mass order; octant I for $ heta_{23}$
$0.547^{igoplus 0.020}_{igoplus 0.030}$	DE-SALAS	18	FIT	Normal mass ordering, global fit
$0.551^{+0.018}_{-0.030}$	DE-SALAS	18	FIT	Inverted mass order, global fit
$0.532^{+0.061}_{-0.087}$	¹⁶ ABE	17A	T2K	Normal mass ordering
$0.534^{+0.061}_{-0.087}$	¹⁶ ABE	17A	T2K	Inverted mass ordering
$0.51 \begin{array}{l} +0.08 \\ -0.07 \end{array}$	ABE	17 C	T2K	Normal mass ordering with neutrinos
$0.42 \begin{array}{l} +0.25 \\ -0.07 \end{array}$	ABE	17 C	T2K	Normal mass ordering with antineutrinos
$0.52 \ ^{+0.075}_{-0.09}$	ABE	17 C	T2K	normal mass ordering with neutrinos and antineutrinos
$0.55 \begin{array}{l} +0.05 \\ -0.09 \end{array}$	¹⁶ ABE	17F	T2K	Normal mass ordering
$0.55 \begin{array}{l} +0.05 \\ -0.08 \end{array}$	¹⁶ ABE	17F	T2K	Inverted mass ordering
$0.404 ^{+ 0.022}_{- 0.030}$	¹⁷ ADAMSON	17A	NOVA	Normal mass ordering; octant I for θ_{23}
$0.624 ^{+ 0.022}_{- 0.030}$	¹⁷ ADAMSON	17A	NOVA	Normal mass ordering; octant II for θ_{23}
$0.398 ^{+ 0.030}_{- 0.022}$	¹⁷ ADAMSON	17A	NOVA	Inverted mass ordering; octant I for θ_{23}
$0.618 ^{+ 0.022}_{- 0.030}$	¹⁷ ADAMSON	17A	NOVA	Inverted mass ordering; octant II for θ_{23}
$0.45 \begin{array}{l} +0.19 \\ -0.07 \end{array}$	¹⁸ ABE	16 D	T2K	$3 u$ osc; normal mass ordering; $\overline{ u}$ beam
0.38 to 0.65	¹⁹ ADAMSON	16A	NOVA	normal mass ordering
0.37 to 0.64	¹⁹ ADAMSON	16A	NOVA	Inverted mass ordering
$0.53 \begin{array}{l} +0.09 \\ -0.12 \end{array}$	²⁰ AARTSEN	15A	ICCB	Normal mass ordering
$0.51 \ ^{+0.09}_{-0.11}$	²⁰ AARTSEN	15A	ICCB	Inverted mass ordering
$0.514 ^{\displaystyle +0.055}_{\displaystyle -0.056}$	²¹ ABE	14	T2K	3ν osc.; normal mass ordering
0.511 ± 0.055	²¹ ABE	14	T2K	3ν osc.; inverted mass ordering
$0.41 \begin{array}{l} +0.23 \\ -0.06 \end{array}$	²² ADAMSON	14	MINS	Normal mass ordering
$0.41 \begin{array}{l} +0.26 \\ -0.07 \end{array}$	²² ADAMSON	14	MINS	Inverted mass ordering
$0.567 ^{+ 0.032}_{- 0.128}$	²³ FORERO	14	FIT	Normal mass ordering
$0.573^{igoplus 0.025}_{igoplus 0.043}$	²³ FORERO	14	FIT	Inverted mass ordering
$0.452 ^{+ 0.052}_{- 0.028}$	²⁴ GONZALEZ	14	FIT	Normal mass ordering; global fit
$0.579^{+0.025}_{-0.037}$	²⁴ GONZALEZ	14	FIT	Inverted mass ordering; global fit
0.24 to 0.76	²⁵ AARTSEN	13 B	ICCB	DeepCore, 2ν oscillation
0.514 ± 0.082	²⁶ ABE	13 G	T2K	3 u osc.; normal mass ordering
$0.388 ^{+ 0.051}_{- 0.053}$	²⁷ ADAMSON	13 B	MINS	$Beam + Atmospheric; identical \nu \& \overline{\nu}$
0.3 to 0.7	²⁸ ABE		T2K	Off-axis beam
0.28 to 0.72	²⁹ ADAMSON	12	MINS	$\overline{ u}$ beam
0.25 to 0.75	30,31 ADAMSON	12 B	MINS	MINOS atmospheric
0.27 to 0.73	30,32 ADAMSON	12 B	MINS	MINOS pure atmospheric $ u$

```
0.21 to 0.79 <sup>30,32</sup> ADAMSON
                                       12B MINS MINOS pure atmospheric \overline{\nu}
                   <sup>33</sup> ADRIAN-MAR..12
0.15 to 0.85
                                             ANTR Atmospheric \nu with deep see telescope
                   <sup>34</sup> ABE
0.39 to 0.61
                                            SKAM Super-Kamiokande
                      ADAMSON
0.34 to 0.66
                                             MINS
                                                     2\nu osc.; maximal mixing
     +0.10
                   <sup>35</sup> ADAMSON
                                       11B MINS \overline{\nu} beam
0.31
      -0.07
                   <sup>36</sup> WENDELL
                                             SKAM 3\nu osc. with solar terms; \theta_{13}{=}0
0.41 to 0.59
0.39 to 0.61
                   <sup>37</sup> WENDELL
                                       10
                                             SKAM 3\nu osc.; normal mass ordering
                   <sup>38</sup> WENDELL
                                       10
                                             SKAM 3\nu osc.; inverted mass ordering
0.37 to 0.63
                      ADAMSON
0.31 to 0.69
                                       08A
                                            MINS
                                                     MINOS
                   <sup>39</sup> ADAMSON
0.05 to 0.95
                                       06
                                             MINS
                                                     Atmospheric \nu with far detector
                   <sup>40</sup> AHN
0.18 to 0.82
                                       06A
                                            K2K
                                                     KEK to Super-K
                   <sup>41</sup> MICHAEL
0.23 to 0.77
                                             MINS
                                       06
                                                     MINOS
                   <sup>42</sup> ALIU
0.18 to 0.82
                                       05
                                             K2K
                                                     KEK to Super-K
                   <sup>43</sup> ALLISON
0.18 to 0.82
                                       05
                                             SOU<sub>2</sub>
                   44 ASHIE
                                       05
                                            SKAM Super-Kamiokande
0.36 to 0.64
                   <sup>45</sup> AMBROSIO
0.28 to 0.72
                                       04
                                             MCRO MACRO
                   <sup>46</sup> ASHIE
0.34 to 0.66
                                       04
                                            SKAM L/E distribution
                   <sup>47</sup> AHN
0.08 to 0.92
                                       03
                                            K2K
                                                     KEK to Super-K
                   <sup>48</sup> AMBROSIO
0.13 to 0.87
                                       03
                                             MCRO MACRO
                   <sup>49</sup> AMBROSIO
0.26 to 0.74
                                       03
                                            MCRO MACRO
                   <sup>50</sup> SANCHEZ
                                       03
                                            SOU2 Soudan-2 Atmospheric
0.15 to 0.85
                   <sup>51</sup> AMBROSIO
                                       01
                                             MCRO Upward \mu
0.28 to 0.72
                   <sup>52</sup> AMBROSIO
                                       01
                                             MCRO Upward \mu
0.29 to 0.71
                   <sup>53</sup> FUKUDA
0.13 to 0.87
                                       99C SKAM Upward \mu
                   <sup>54</sup> FUKUDA
                                       99D SKAM Upward \mu
0.23 to 0.77
                   <sup>55</sup> FUKUDA
                                       99D SKAM Stop \mu / through
0.08 to 0.92
                   <sup>56</sup> FUKUDA
0.29 to 0.71
                                            SKAM Super-Kamiokande
                   <sup>57</sup> HATAKEYAMA98
0.08 to 0.92
                                             KAMI Kamiokande
                   <sup>58</sup> HATAKEYAMA98
0.24 to 0.76
                                             KAMI
                                                     Kamiokande
                   <sup>59</sup> FUKUDA
0.20 to 0.80
                                       94
                                             KAMI
                                                     Kamiokande
```

 $^{^1}$ ABE 20F results are based on data collected between 2009 and 2018 in (anti)neutrino mode and include a neutrino beam exposure of 1.49 \times 10 21 (1.64 \times 10 21) protons on target. Supersedes ABE 18G.

 $^{^2}$ ADAMSON 20A uses the complete dataset from MINOS and MINOS+ experiments. The data were collected using a total exposure of 23.76 \times 10 20 protons on target and 60.75 kton yr exposure to atmospheric neutrinos. Supersedes ADAMSON 14.

 $^{^3}$ ACERO 19 is based on a sample size of 12.33×10^{20} protons on target. The fit combines both antineutrino and neutrino data to extract the oscillation parameters. The results favor the normal mass ordering by 1.9 σ and θ_{23} values in octant II by 1.6 σ . Supersedes ACERO 18.

 $^{^4}$ Errors are from normal mass ordering and θ_{13} octant II fits.

 $^{^5}$ AARTSEN 18A uses three years (April 2012 - May 2015) of neutrino data from full sky with reconstructed energies between 5.6 and 56 GeV, measured with the low-energy subdetector DeepCore of the IceCube neutrino telescope. AARTSEN 18A also reports the best fit result for the inverted mass ordering as $\Delta m_{32}^2 = -2.32 \times 10^{-3} \ \text{eV}^2$ and $\sin^2(\theta_{23}) = 0.51$. Uncertainties for the inverted mass ordering fits were not provided. Supersedes AARTSEN 15A.

⁶ ABE 18B uses 328 kton-years of Super-Kamiokande I-IV atmospheric neutrino data to obtain this result. The fit is performed over the three parameters, Δm_{32}^2 , $\sin^2(\theta_{23})$, and δ , while the solar parameters and $\sin^2(\theta_{13})$ are fixed to $\Delta m_{21}^2 = (7.53 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-5}$ eV², $\sin^2(\theta_{12}) = 0.304 \pm 0.014$, and $\sin^2(\theta_{13}) = 0.0219 \pm 0.0012$.

- 7 ABE 21A results are based on 1.49×10^{21} POT in neutrino mode and 1.64×10^{21} POT in antineutrino mode.
- ⁸ SALAS 21 reports results of a global fit to neutrino oscillation data available at the time of the Neutrino 2020 conference.
- ⁹AARTSEN 20 uses the data taken between May 2012 and April 2014 with the low-energy subdetector DeepCore of the IceCube neutrino telescope. The reconstructed energy range is between 4 (5) and 90 (80) GeV for the main (confirmatory) analysis. Though the observed best-fit is in the lower octant for both mass orderings, a substantial range of $\sin^2(\theta_{23}) > 0.5$ is still compatible with the observed data for both mass orderings.
- 10 ESTEBAN 20A reports results of a global fit to neutrino oscillation data available at the time of the Neutrino2020 conference.
- 11 AARTSEN 19C uses three years (April 2012 May 2015) of neutrino data from full sky with reconstructed energies between 5.6 and 56 GeV, measured with the low-energy subdetector DeepCore of the IceCube neutrino telescope. AARTSEN 19C adopts looser event selection criteria to prioritize the efficiency of selecting neutrino events, different from tighter event selection criteria which closely follow the criteria used by AARTSEN 18A to measure the ν_{μ} disappearance.
- ¹² ALBERT 19 measured the oscillation parameters of atmospheric neutrinos with the ANTARES deep sea neutrino telescope using the data taken from 2007 to 2016 (2830 days of total live time). Supersedes ADRIAN-MARTINEZ 12.
- ¹³ ABE 18B uses 328 kton-years of Super-Kamiokande I-IV atmospheric neutrino data to obtain this result. The fit is performed over the four parameters, Δm_{32}^2 , $\sin^2\theta_{23}$, $\sin^2\theta_{13}$, and δ , while the solar parameters are fixed to $\Delta m_{21}^2 = (7.53 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-5}$ eV² and $\sin^2\theta_{12} = 0.304 \pm 0.014$.
- ¹⁴ ABE 18G data prefers normal mass ordering is with a posterior probability of 87%. Supersedes ABE 17F.
- 15 ACERO 18 performs a joint fit to the data for ν_{μ} disappearance and ν_{e} appearance. The overall best fit favors normal mass ordering and θ_{23} in octant II. No 1σ confidence intervals are presented for the inverted mass ordering scenarios. Superseded by ACERO 19.
- 16 Errors are from the projections of the 68% contour on 2D plot of Δm^2 versus $\sin^2(\theta_{23})$. ABE 17F supersedes ABE 17A. Superseded by ABE 18G.
- ¹⁷ Superseded by ACERO 18.
- $^{18}\,\mathrm{ABE}$ 16D reports oscillation results using $\overline{\nu}_{\mu}$ disappearance in an off-axis beam.
- 19 ADAMSON 16A obtains $\sin^2(\theta_{23})$ in the 68% C.L. range [0.38, 0.65] ([0.37, 0.64]), with two statistically degenerate best-fit values of 0.44 and 0.59 (0.44 and 0.59) for normal (inverted) mass ordering. Superseded by ADAMSON 17A.
- ²⁰ AARTSEN 15A obtains this result by a three-neutrino oscillation analysis using 10–100 GeV muon neutrino sample from a total of 953 days of measurement with the low-energy subdetector DeepCore of the IceCube neutrino telescope. Superseded by AARTSEN 18A.
- 21 ABE 14 results are based on ν_{μ} disappearance using three-neutrino oscillation fit. The confidence intervals are derived from one dimensional profiled likelihoods. Superseded by ABE 17A.
- ²² ADAMSON 14 uses a complete set of accelerator and atmospheric data. The analysis combines the ν_{μ} disappearance and ν_{e} appearance data using three-neutrino oscillation fit. The fit results are obtained for normal and inverted mass ordering assumptions. The best fit is for first θ_{23} octant and inverted mass ordering.
- ²³ FORERO 14 performs a global fit to neutrino oscillations using solar, reactor, long-baseline accelerator, and atmospheric neutrino data.
- ²⁴ GONZALEZ-GARCIA 14 result comes from a frequentist global fit. The corresponding Bayesian global fit to the same data results are reported in BERGSTROM 15 as 68% CL intervals of 0.433–0.496 or 0.530–0.594 for normal and 0.514–0.612 for inverted mass ordering.

- ²⁵ AARTSEN 13B obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using 20–100 GeV muon neutrino sample from a total of 318.9 days of live-time measurement with the low-energy subdetector DeepCore of the IceCube neutrino telescope.
- 26 The best fit value is $\sin^2(heta_{23})=0.514\pm0.082.$ Superseded by ABE 14.
- 27 ADAMSON 13B obtained this result from ν_{μ} and $\overline{\nu}_{\mu}$ disappearance using ν_{μ} (10.71 \times 10 20 POT) and $\overline{\nu}_{\mu}$ (3.36 \times 10 20 POT) beams, and atmospheric (37.88kton-years) data from MINOS The fit assumed two-flavor neutrino hypothesis and identical ν_{μ} and $\overline{\nu}_{\mu}$ oscillation parameters. Superseded by ADAMSON 14.
- ²⁸ ABE 12A obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis. The best-fit point is $\sin^2(2\theta_{23}) = 0.98$.
- 29 ADAMSON 12 is a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using antineutrinos. The best fit value is $\sin^2(2\theta_{23})=0.95^{+0.10}_{-0.11}\pm0.01.$
- ³⁰ ADAMSON 12B obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis of the L/E distribution using 37.9 kton·yr atmospheric neutrino data with the MINOS far detector.
- ³¹ The best fit point is $\Delta m^2 = 0.0019 \text{ eV}^2$ and $\sin^2 2\theta = 0.99$. The 90% single-parameter confidence interval at the best fit point is $\sin^2 2\theta > 0.86$.
- The data are separated into pure samples of νs and $\overline{\nu} s$, and separate oscillation parameters for νs and $\overline{\nu} s$ are fit to the data. The best fit point is $(\Delta m^2, \sin^2 2\theta) = (0.0022 \text{ eV}^2, 0.99)$ and $(\Delta \overline{m}^2, \sin^2 2\overline{\theta}) = (0.0016 \text{ eV}^2, 1.00)$. The quoted result is taken from the 90% C.L. contour in the $(\Delta m^2, \sin^2 2\theta)$ plane obtained by minimizing the four parameter log-likelihood function with respect to the other oscillation parameters.
- 33 ADRIAN-MARTINEZ 12 measured the oscillation parameters of atmospheric neutrinos with the ANTARES deep sea neutrino telescope using the data taken from 2007 to 2010 (863 days of total live time). Superseded by ALBERT 19.
- ³⁴ ÅBE 11C obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using the Super-Kamiokande-I+II+III atmospheric neutrino data. ABE 11C also reported results under a two-neutrino disappearance model with separate mixing parameters between ν and $\overline{\nu}$, and obtained $\sin^2 2\theta > 0.93$ for ν and $\sin^2 2\theta > 0.83$ for $\overline{\nu}$ at 90% C.L.
- 35 ADAMSON 11B obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis of antineutrinos in an antineutrino enhanced beam with 1.71×10^{20} protons on target. This results is consistent with the neutrino measurements of ADAMSON 11 at 2% C.L.
- consistent with the neutrino measurements of ADAMSON 11 at 2% C.L. 36 WENDELL 10 obtained this result ($\sin^2\theta_{23} = 0.407$ –0.583) by a three-neutrino oscillation analysis using the Super-Kamiokande-I+II+III atmospheric neutrino data, assuming $\theta_{13} = 0$ but including the solar oscillation parameters Δm_{21}^2 and $\sin^2\theta_{12}$ in the fit.
- 37 WENDELL 10 obtained this result (sin $^2\theta_{23}=0.43$ –0.61) by a three-neutrino oscillation analysis with one mass scale dominance ($\Delta m_{21}^2=0$) using the Super-Kamiokande-I+II+III atmospheric neutrino data, and updates the HOSAKA 06A result.
- 38 WENDELL 10 obtained this result (sin $^2\theta_{23}=0.44-0.63$) by a three-neutrino oscillation analysis with one mass scale dominance ($\Delta m_{21}^2=0$) using the Super-Kamiokande-I+II+III atmospheric neutrino data, and updates the HOSAKA 06A result.
- 39 ADAMSON 06 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis of the L/E distribution using 4.54 kton yr atmospheric neutrino data with the MINOS far detector.
- ⁴⁰ Supercedes ALIU 05.
- 41 MICHAEL 06 best fit is for maximal mixing. See also ADAMSON 08.
- ⁴² The best fit is for maximal mixing.
- ⁴³ ALLISON 05 result is based upon atmospheric neutrino interactions including upward-stopping muons, with an exposure of 5.9 kton yr. From a two-flavor oscillation analysis the best-fit point is $\Delta m^2 = 0.0017 \text{ eV}^2$ and $\sin^2(2\theta) = 0.97$.
- ⁴⁴ ASHIE 05 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using 92 kton yr atmospheric neutrino data from the complete Super-Kamiokande I running period.
- ⁴⁵ AMBROSIO 04 obtained this result, without using the absolute normalization of the neutrino flux, by combining the angular distribution of upward through-going muon tracks

- with $E_{\mu} > 1$ GeV, N_{low} and N_{high} , and the numbers of InDown + UpStop and InUp events. Here, N_{low} and N_{high} are the number of events with reconstructed neutrino energies < 30 GeV and > 130 GeV, respectively. InDown and InUp represent events with downward and upward-going tracks starting inside the detector due to neutrino interactions, while UpStop represents entering upward-going tracks which stop in the detector. The best fit is for maximal mixing.
- 46 ASHIE 04 obtained this result from the L(flight length)/E(estimated neutrino energy) distribution of ν_{μ} disappearance probability, using the Super-Kamiokande-I 1489 live-day atmospheric neutrino data.
- ⁴⁷ There are several islands of allowed region from this K2K analysis, extending to high values of Δm^2 . We only include the one that overlaps atmospheric neutrino analyses. The best fit is for maximal mixing.
- 48 AMBROSIO 03 obtained this result on the basis of the ratio R = N $_{low}/{\rm N}_{high}$, where N $_{low}$ and N $_{high}$ are the number of upward through-going muon events with reconstructed neutrino energy < 30 GeV and > 130 GeV, respectively. The data came from the full detector run started in 1994. The method of FELDMAN 98 is used to obtain the limits.
- ⁴⁹ AMBROSIO 03 obtained this result by using the ratio R and the angular distribution of the upward through-going muons. R is given in the previous note and the angular distribution is reported in AMBROSIO 01. The method of FELDMAN 98 is used to obtain the limits. The best fit is to maximal mixing.
- ⁵⁰SANCHEZ 03 is based on an exposure of 5.9 kton yr. The result is obtained using a likelihood analysis of the neutrino L/E distribution for a selection μ flavor sample while the *e*-flavor sample provides flux normalization. The method of FELDMAN 98 is used to obtain the allowed region. The best fit is $\sin^2(2\theta) = 0.97$.
- 51 AMBROSIO 01 result is based on the angular distribution of upward through-going muon tracks with $E_{\mu} > 1$ GeV. The data came from three different detector configurations, but the statistics is largely dominated by the full detector run, from May 1994 to December 2000. The total live time, normalized to the full detector configuration is 6.17 years. The best fit is obtained outside the physical region. The method of FELDMAN 98 is used to obtain the limits. The best fit is for maximal mixing.
- 52 AMBROSIO 01 result is based on the angular distribution and normalization of upward through-going muon tracks with $E_{\mu}~>1$ GeV. See the previous footnote.
- 53 FUKUDA 99C obtained this result from a total of 537 live days of upward through-going muon data in Super-Kamiokande between April 1996 to January 1998. With a threshold of $E_{\mu} > 1.6$ GeV, the observed flux is $(1.74 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-13} \ \rm cm^{-2} s^{-1} sr^{-1}$. The best fit is $\sin^2(2\theta) = 0.95$.
- 54 FUKUDA 99D obtained this result from a simultaneous fitting to zenith angle distributions of upward-stopping and through-going muons. The flux of upward-stopping muons of minimum energy of 1.6 GeV measured between April 1996 and January 1998 is (0.39 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.02) \times 10 $^{-13}$ cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$ sr $^{-1}$. This is compared to the expected flux of (0.73 \pm 0.16 (theoretical error)) \times 10 $^{-13}$ cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$ sr $^{-1}$. The best fit is to maximal mixing.
- ⁵⁵ FUKUDA 99D obtained this result from the zenith dependence of the upward-stopping/through-going flux ratio. The best fit is to maximal mixing.
- ⁵⁶ FUKUDA 98C obtained this result by an analysis of 33.0 kton yr atmospheric neutrino data. The best fit is for maximal mixing.
- 57 HATAKEYAMA 98 obtained this result from a total of 2456 live days of upward-going muon data in Kamiokande between December 1985 and May 1995. With a threshold of $E_{\mu} > 1.6$ GeV, the observed flux of upward through-going muons is $(1.94\pm0.10^{+0.07}_{-0.06})\times 10^{-13}$ cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$ sr $^{-1}$. This is compared to the expected flux of (2.46 \pm 0.54 (theoretical error)) \times 10 $^{-13}$ cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$ sr $^{-1}$. The best fit is for maximal mixing.

⁵⁹ FUKUDA 94 obtained the result by a combined analysis of sub- and multi-GeV atmospheric neutrino events in Kamiokande. The best fit is for maximal mixing.

Δm_{32}^2

https://pdg.lbl.gov

The sign of Δm_{32}^2 is not known at this time. If given, values are shown separately for the normal and inverted mass ordering. Unless otherwise specified, the ranges below correspond to the projection onto the Δm_{32}^2 axis of the 90% CL contours in the $\sin^2(2\theta_{23}) - \Delta m_{32}^2$ plane presented by the authors. If uncertainties are reported with the value, they correspond to one standard deviation uncertainty.

$VALUE (10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2)$	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
-2.536±0.034 OUR FIT	Assuming inverted			
2.453±0.033 OUR FIT	Assuming normal		_	
2.45 ± 0.07	¹ ABE	20F	T2K	Normal mass ordering, θ_{13} constrained
-2.51 ± 0.07	^{1,2} ABE	20F	T2K	Inverted mass ordering, θ_{13} constrained
$2.40 \begin{array}{l} +0.08 \\ -0.09 \end{array}$	³ ADAMSON	20A	MINS	Accel., atmospheric, normal mass ordering
$-2.45 \begin{array}{l} +0.08 \\ -0.07 \end{array}$	³ ADAMSON	20A	MINS	Accel., atmospheric, inverted mass ordering
$2.48 \begin{array}{l} +0.11 \\ -0.06 \end{array}$	⁴ ACERO	19	NOVA	Normal mass ordering, octant II for θ_{23}
$-2.54 \begin{array}{l} +0.06 \\ -0.11 \end{array}$	⁴ ACERO	19	NOVA	Inverted mass ordering, octant II for θ_{23}
$2.31 \begin{array}{l} +0.11 \\ -0.13 \end{array}$	⁵ AARTSEN	18A	ICCB	Normal mass ordering
$2.50 \begin{array}{l} +0.13 \\ -0.20 \end{array}$	⁶ ABE	18 B	SKAM	Normal mass ordering, θ_{13} constrained
$-2.58 \begin{array}{l} +0.08 \\ -0.37 \end{array}$	⁶ ABE	18 B	SKAM	Inverted mass ordering, θ_{13} constrained
$2.471 ^{igoplus 0.068}_{-0.070}$	⁷ ADEY	18A	DAYA	Normal mass ordering
$-2.575 ^{+ 0.068}_{- 0.070}$	⁷ ADEY	18A	DAYA	Inverted mass ordering
2.63 ± 0.14	⁸ BAK	18	RENO	Normal mass ordering
-2.73 ± 0.14	⁸ BAK	18	RENO	Inverted mass ordering
• • • We do not use the	following data for a	verage	es, fits, l	imits, etc. • • •
$2.47 \begin{array}{l} +0.08 \\ -0.09 \end{array}$	⁹ ABE	21A	T2K	$ u_{\mu}$ disappearance
$2.50 \begin{array}{l} +0.18 \\ -0.13 \end{array}$	⁹ ABE	21A	T2K	$\overline{ u}_{\mu}$ disappearance
$2.517 {+ 0.026 \atop - 0.028}$	¹⁰ ESTEBAN	20A	FIT	Normal mass ordering, global fit
$-2.498 {}^{+ 0.028}_{- 0.028}$	¹⁰ ESTEBAN	20A	FIT	Inverted mass ordering, global fit
$2.55 \begin{array}{l} +0.12 \\ -0.11 \end{array}$	¹¹ AARTSEN	19 C	ICCB	
< 4.1 at 90% CL	AGAFONOVA	19	OPER	
$2.0 \begin{array}{c} +0.4 \\ -0.3 \end{array}$	¹² ALBERT	19	ANTR	Atmospheric ν , deep sea telescope

Page 33

⁵⁸ HATAKEYAMA 98 obtained this result from a combined analysis of Kamiokande contained events (FUKUDA 94) and upward going muon events. The best fit is $\sin^2(2\theta) = 0.95$.

$2.50 \begin{array}{l} +0.13 \\ -0.31 \end{array}$	¹³ ABE	18 B	SKAM	3ν osc: normal mass ordering, θ_{13} free
$-2.28 \begin{array}{l} +0.33 \\ -0.13 \end{array}$	¹³ ABE	18 B	SKAM	3ν osc: inverted mass ordering, θ_{13} free
$2.463^{igoplus 0.071}_{igoplus 0.070}$	¹⁴ ABE	18G	T2K	Normal mass ordering, θ_{13}
-2.507 ± 0.070	^{14,15} ABE	18 G	T2K	constrained Inverted mass ordering, θ_{13} constrained
$2.44 \begin{array}{c} +0.08 \\ -0.07 \end{array}$	¹⁶ ACERO	18	NOVA	Normal mass order, octant II for θ_{23}
$2.45 \begin{array}{l} +0.07 \\ -0.08 \end{array}$	16,17 ACERO	18	NOVA	Normal mass order; octant I for θ_{23}
$\begin{array}{cc} 2.7 & +0.7 \\ -0.6 \end{array}$	¹⁸ AGAFONOVA	18	OPER	OPERA $\nu_{ au}$ appearance
2.42 ±0.03	DE-SALAS	18	FIT	Normal mass ordering, global fit
$-2.50 \begin{array}{l} +0.03 \\ -0.04 \end{array}$	DE-SALAS	18	FIT	Inverted mass order, global fit
$2.57 \begin{array}{c} +0.21 \\ -0.23 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} +0.12 \\ -0.13 \end{array}$	¹⁹ SEO	18	RENO	Normal mass ordering
$-2.67 \begin{array}{l} +0.23 \\ -0.21 \end{array} \begin{array}{l} +0.13 \\ -0.12 \end{array}$	¹⁹ SEO	18	RENO	Inverted mass ordering
$2.53 \begin{array}{l} +0.15 \\ -0.13 \end{array}$	ABE	17 C	T2K	Normal mass ordering with neutrinos
$2.55 \begin{array}{l} +0.33 \\ -0.27 \end{array}$	ABE	17 C	T2K	Normal mass ordering with antineutrinos
$2.55 \begin{array}{l} +0.08 \\ -0.08 \end{array}$	ABE	17 C	T2K	Normal mass ordering with neutrinos and antineutrinos
$-2.63 \begin{array}{l} +0.08 \\ -0.08 \end{array}$	ABE	17 C	T2K	Inverted mass ordering with
2.54 ±0.08	²⁰ ABE	17F	T2K	neutrinos and antineutrinos Normal mass ordering; $\nu + \overline{\nu}$
$-2.51\ \pm0.08$	²⁰ ABE	17F	T2K	Inverted mass ordering; $\nu + \overline{\nu}$
2.67 ± 0.11	²¹ ADAMSON	17A	NOVA	3 u osc; normal mass ordering
-2.72 ± 0.11	²¹ ADAMSON	17A	NOVA	
$2.45 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.06$	²² AN	17A	DAYA	Normal mass ordering
$-2.56 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.06$	²² AN	17A	DAYA	Inverted mass ordering
$2.51 \begin{array}{c} +0.29 \\ -0.25 \end{array}$	²³ ABE	16 D	T2K	$3 u$ osc.; normal mass ordering; $\overline{ u}$ beam
$2.52 \begin{array}{l} +0.20 \\ -0.18 \end{array}$	²⁴ ADAMSON	16A	NOVA	3 u osc; normal mass ordering
-2.56 ± 0.19	²⁴ ADAMSON	16A	NOVA	3 u osc; inverted mass ordering
$2.56 \begin{array}{c} +0.21 & +0.12 \\ -0.23 & -0.13 \end{array}$	²⁵ CHOI	16	RENO	3 u osc; normal mass ordering
$-2.69 \begin{array}{l} +0.23 \\ -0.21 \end{array} \begin{array}{l} +0.13 \\ -0.12 \end{array}$	²⁵ CHOI	16	RENO	3ν osc; inverted mass ordering
$2.72 \begin{array}{l} +0.19 \\ -0.20 \end{array}$	²⁶ AARTSEN	15A	ICCB	Normal mass ordering
$-2.73 \begin{array}{l} +0.21 \\ -0.18 \end{array}$	²⁶ AARTSEN	15A	ICCB	Inverted mass ordering
2.0-5.0	²⁷ AGAFONOVA	15A	OPER	90% CL, 5 events
$2.37\ \pm0.11$	²⁸ AN	15	DAYA	3 u osc.; normal mass ordering
$-2.47\ \pm0.11$	²⁸ AN	15	DAYA	3ν osc.; inverted mass ordering
2.51 ± 0.10	²⁹ ABE	14	T2K	3ν osc.; normal mass ordering
-2.56 ± 0.10	²⁹ ABE	14	T2K	3ν osc.; inverted mass ordering

2.37 ± 0.09	³⁰ ADAMSON	14	MINS	Accel., atmospheric, normal mass ordering
$-2.41 \begin{array}{l} +0.09 \\ -0.12 \end{array}$	³⁰ ADAMSON	14	MINS	Accel., atmsopheric, inverted mass ordering
$2.54 \begin{array}{l} +0.19 \\ -0.20 \end{array}$	³¹ AN	14	DAYA	3ν osc.; normal mass ordering
$-2.64 \begin{array}{l} +0.20 \\ -0.19 \end{array}$	³¹ AN	14	DAYA	3ν osc.; inverted mass ordering
$2.48 \begin{array}{l} +0.05 \\ -0.07 \end{array}$	³² FORERO	14	FIT	3ν ; normal mass ordering
$-2.38 \begin{array}{l} +0.06 \\ -0.05 \end{array}$	³² FORERO	14	FIT	3ν ; inverted mass ordering
2.457 ± 0.047	33,34 GONZALEZ	14	FIT	Normal mass ordering; global fit
$-2.449 {+0.047 \atop -0.048}$	33 GONZALEZ	14	FIT	Inverted mass ordering; global fit
$\begin{array}{cc} 2.3 & +0.6 \\ -0.5 \end{array}$	³⁵ AARTSEN	13 B	ICCB	DeepCore, 2ν oscillation
$2.44 \begin{array}{l} +0.17 \\ -0.15 \end{array}$	³⁶ ABE	13 G	T2K	3ν osc.; normal mass ordering
$2.41 \begin{array}{l} +0.09 \\ -0.10 \end{array}$	³⁷ ADAMSON	13 B	MINS	2ν osc.; beam + atmospheric; identical ν & $\overline{\nu}$
2.2-3.1	³⁸ ABE	12A	T2K	off-axis beam
$2.62 \ ^{+0.31}_{-0.28} \ \pm 0.09$	³⁹ ADAMSON	12	MINS	$\overline{ u}$ beam
1.35-2.55	40,41 ADAMSON	12 B	MINS	MINOS atmospheric
1.4-5.6	40,42 ADAMSON	12 B	MINS	MINOS pure atmospheric $ u$
0.9–2.5	40,42 ADAMSON	12 B	MINS	MINOS pure atmospheric $\overline{ u}$
1.8–5.0	⁴³ ADRIAN-MAR	12	ANTR	Atmospheric ν with deep sea telescope
1.3-4.0	⁴⁴ ABE	11 C	SKAM	atmospheric $\overline{\nu}$
$2.32 \begin{array}{c} +0.12 \\ -0.08 \end{array}$	ADAMSON	11	MINS	2ν oscillation; maximal mixing
$3.36 \begin{array}{l} +0.46 \\ -0.40 \end{array}$	⁴⁵ ADAMSON	11 B	MINS	$\overline{ u}$ beam
< 3.37	46 ADAMSON	11 C	MINS	MINOS
1.9–2.6	47 WENDELL	10	SKAM	,
-1.72.7	47 WENDELL	10		3ν osc.; inverted mass ordering
2.43 ± 0.13	ADAMSON 48 ADAMSON		MINS	MINOS
0.07–50	⁴⁸ ADAMSON	06	MINS	atmospheric ν with far detector
1.9-4.0	^{49,50} AHN		K2K	KEK to Super-K
2.2–3.8	⁵¹ MICHAEL	06	MINS	MINOS
1.9–3.6	49 ALIU	05	K2K	KEK to Super-K
0.3–12	⁵² ALLISON ⁵³ ASHIE	05 05	SOU2	
1.5–3.4 0.6–8.0	⁵⁴ AMBROSIO	05 04		atmospheric neutrino MACRO
1.9 to 3.0	55 ASHIE	04		L/E distribution
1.5–3.9	⁵⁶ AHN	03	K2K	KEK to Super-K
0.25–9.0	⁵⁷ AMBROSIO	03		MACRO
0.6–7.0	⁵⁸ AMBROSIO	03		MACRO
0.15-15	⁵⁹ SANCHEZ	03		Soudan-2 Atmospheric
0.6–15	60 AMBROSIO	01		upward μ
1.0-6.0	61 AMBROSIO	01		upward μ
1.0-50	⁶² FUKUDA	99c	SKAM	upward μ

1.5-15.0	⁶³ FUKUDA	99 D	SKAM	upward μ
0.7–18	⁶⁴ FUKUDA	99 D	SKAM	stop μ / through
0.5-6.0	⁶⁵ FUKUDA			Super-Kamiokande
0.55-50	⁶⁶ HATAKEYAM			
4–23	⁶⁷ HATAKEYAM			
5-25	⁶⁸ FUKUDA	94	KAMI	Kamiokande

 $^{^1}$ ABE 20F results are based on data collected between 2009 and 2018 in (anti)neutrino mode and include a neutrino beam exposure of 1.49 \times 10 21 (1.64 \times 10 21) protons on target. Supersedes ABE 18G.

- ²ABE 20F reports $\Delta m_{13}^2 = (2.43 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$ for inverted mass ordering. We convert to Δm_{32}^2 using PDG 20 value of $\Delta m_{21}^2 = (7.53 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2$.
- 3 ADAMSON 20A uses the complete dataset from MINOS and MINOS+ experiments. The data were collected using a total exposure of 23.76×10^{20} protons on target and 60.75 kton·yr exposure to atmospheric neutrinos. Supersedes ADAMSON 14.
- 4 ACERO 19 is based on a sample size of 12.33×10^{20} protons on target. The fit combines both antineutrino and neutrino data to extract the oscillation parameters. The results favor the normal mass ordering by 1.9 σ and θ_{23} values in octant II by 1.6 σ . Supersedes _ACERO 18.
- ⁵AARTSEN 18A uses three years (April 2012 May 2015) of neutrino data from full sky with reconstructed energies between 5.6 and 56 GeV, measured with the low-energy subdetector DeepCore of the IceCube neutrino telescope. AARTSEN 18A also reports the best fit values for the inverted mass ordering as $\Delta m_{32}^2 = -2.32 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$ and $\sin^2(\theta_{23}) = 0.51$. Uncertainties for the inverted mass ordering fits were not provided. Supersedes AARTSEN 15A.
- ⁶ ABE 18B uses 328 kton-years of Super-Kamiokande I-IV atmospheric neutrino data to obtain this result. The fit is performed over the three parameters, Δm_{32}^2 , $\sin^2(\theta_{23})$, and δ , while the solar parameters and $\sin^2(\theta_{13})$ are fixed to $\Delta m_{21}^2 = (7.53 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-5}$ eV², $\sin^2(\theta_{12}) = 0.304 \pm 0.014$, and $\sin^2(\theta_{13}) = 0.0219 \pm 0.0012$.
- ⁷ ADEY 18A reports results from analysis of 1958 days of data taking with the Daya-Bay experiment, with 3.9 \times 10⁶ $\overline{\nu}_e$ candidates. The fit to the data gives $\Delta m_{ee}^2 = (2.522^{+0.068}_{-0.070}) \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$. Solar oscillation parameters are fixed in the analysis using the global averages, $\sin^2(\theta_{12}) = 0.307^{+0.013}_{-0.012}, \ \Delta m_{21}^2 = (7.53 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2$, from PDG 18. Supersedes AN 17A.
- 8 BAK 18 reports results of the RENO experiment using about 2200 live-days of data taken with detectors placed at 410.6 and 1445.7 m from reactors of the Hanbit Nuclear Power Plant. We convert the results to Δm_{32}^2 using the PDG 18 values of $\sin^2\theta_{12}=0.307^{+0.013}_{-0.012}$ and $\Delta m_{21}^2=(7.53\pm0.18)\times10^{-5}$ eV². Supersedes SEO 18.
- 9 ABE 21A results are based on 1.49×10^{21} POT in neutrino mode and 1.64×10^{21} POT in antineutrino mode.
- 10 ESTEBAN 20A reports results of a global fit to neutrino oscillation data available at the time of the Neutrino2020 conference.
- 11 AARTSEN 19C uses three years (April 2012 May 2015) of neutrino data from full sky with reconstructed energies between 5.6 and 56 GeV, measured with the low-energy subdetector DeepCore of the IceCube neutrino telescope. AARTSEN 19C adopts looser event selection criteria to prioritize the efficiency of selecting neutrino events, different from tighter event selection criteria which closely follow the criteria used by AARTSEN 18A to measure the ν_{IL} disappearance.
- ¹² ALBERT 19 measured the oscillation parameters of atmospheric neutrinos with the ANTARES deep sea neutrino telescope using the data taken from 2007 to 2016 (2830 days of total live time). Supersedes ADRIAN-MARTINEZ 12.

- $^{13}\mathsf{ABE}$ 18B uses 328 kton-years of Super-Kamiokande I-IV atmospheric neutrino data to obtain this result. The fit is performed over the four parameters, Δm_{32}^2 , $\sin^2\theta_{23}$, $\sin^2\theta_{13}$, and δ , while the solar parameters are fixed to $\Delta m_{21}^2 = (7.53 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-5}$ ${
 m eV}^2$ and ${
 m sin}^2 heta_{12} = 0.304 \pm 0.014$.
- $^{14}\,\mathrm{ABE}$ 18G data prefers normal ordering with a posterior probability of 87%. Supersedes
- $^{ABE~17F.}$ 15 ABE 18G reports $\Delta m^2_{13} = (2.432 \pm 0.070) \times 10^{-3} \ eV^2$ for inverted mass ordering. We convert to Δm_{32}^2 using PDG 18 value of $\Delta m_{21}^2 = (7.53 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2$.
- 16 ACERO 18 performs a joint fit to the data for u_{μ} disappearance and u_{e} appearance. The overall best fit favors normal mass ordering and $heta_{23}$ in octant II. No 1σ confidence intervals are presented for the inverted mass ordering scenarios. Superseded by
- 17 The error for octant I is taken from the result for octant II. 18 AGAFONOVA 18 assumes maximal θ_{23} mixing.
- SEO 18 reports result of the RENO experiment from a rate and shape analysis of 500 days of data. A simultaneous fit to θ_{13} and Δm^2_{ee} yields $\Delta m^2_{ee} = (2.62^{+0.21}_{-0.23}^{+0.12}_{-0.13}) \times 10^{-3}$ eV². We convert the results to Δm^2_{32} using the PDG 18 values of $\sin^2\theta_{12}$ and Δm^2_{21} . SEO 18 is a detailed description of the results published in CHOI 16, which it supersedes. Superseded by BAK 18
- 20 ABE 17F confidence intervals are obtained using a frequentist analysis including $heta_{13}$ constraint from reactor experiments. Bayesian intervals based on Markov Chain Monte Carlo method are also provided by the authors. Superseded by ABE 18G.
- ²¹ Superseded by ACERO 18.
- 22 AN 17A report results from combined rate and spectral shape analysis of 1230 days of data taken with the Daya Bay reactor experiment. The data set contains more than 2.5×10^6 inverse beta-decay events with neutron capture on Gd. The fit to the data gives $\Delta_{ee}^2{=}(2.50\pm0.06\pm0.06)\times10^{-3}$ eV. Superseded by ADEY 18A.
- ²³ ABE 16D reports oscillation results using $\overline{
 u}_{\mu}$ disappearance in an off-axis beam.
- ²⁴ Superseded by ADAMSON 17A.
- 25 CHOI 16 reports result of the RENO experiment from a rate and shape analysis of 500 days of data. A simultaneous fit to θ_{13} and Δm_{ee}^2 yields $\Delta m_{ee}^2 = (2.62^{+0.21}_{-0.23}^{+0.12}_{-0.13}) \times 10^{-0.13}_{-0.13}$ 10^{-3} eV. We convert the results to Δm_{32}^2 using PDG 18 values of $\sin^2(\theta_{12})$ and Δm_{21}^2 .
- 26 AARTSEN 15A obtains this result by a three-neutrino oscillation analysis using 10–100 GeV muon neutrino sample from a total of 953 days of measurements with the low-energy subdetector DeepCore of the IceCube neutrino telescope. Superseded by AARTSEN 18A.
- ²⁷ AGAFONOVA 15A result is based on 5 $u_{\mu}
 ightarrow \,
 u_{ au}$ appearance candidates with an expected background of 0.25 \pm 0.05 events. The best fit is for $\Delta m_{32}^2 = 3.3 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$.
- $^{28}\,\mathrm{AN}$ 15 uses all eight identical detectors, with four placed near the reactor cores and the remaining four at the far hall to determine prompt energy spectra. The results correspond to the exposure of 6.9×10^5 GW $_{th}$ -ton-days. They derive $\Delta m_{ee}^2=(2.42\pm0.11)\times10^{-3}$ eV 2 . Assuming the normal (inverted) ordering, the fitted $\Delta m_{32}^2=(2.37\pm0.11)\times10^{-3}$ $((2.47 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-3}) \text{ eV}^2$. Superseded by AN 17A.
- $^{29}\,{
 m \widetilde{ABE}}$ 14 results are based on u_{μ} disappearance using three-neutrino oscillation fit. The confidence intervals are derived from one dimensional profiled likelihoods. In ABE 14 the inverted mass ordering result is reported as $\Delta m_{13}^2 = (2.48 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$ which we converted to Δm_{32}^2 by adding PDG 14 value of $\Delta m_{21}^2 = (7.53 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2$. Superseded by ABE 17C.
- 30 ADAMSON 14 uses a complete set of accelerator and atmospheric data. The analysis combines The analysis combines the u_{μ} disappearance and u_{e} appearance data using

- three-neutrino oscillation fit. The fit results are obtained for normal and inverted mass ordering assumptions.
- 31 AN 14 uses six identical detectors, with three placed near the reactor cores (flux-weighted baselines of 512 and 561 m) and the remaining three at the far hall (at the flux averaged distance of 1579 m from all six reactor cores) to determine prompt energy spectra and derive $\Delta m_{ee}^2 = (2.59^{+0.19}_{-0.20}) \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$. Assuming the normal (inverted) ordering, the fitted $\Delta m_{32}^2 = (2.54^{+0.19}_{-0.20}) \times 10^{-3} \; ((2.64^{+0.19}_{-0.20}) \times 10^{-3}) \; \text{eV}^2$. Superseded by AN 15.
- 32 FORERO 14 performs a global fit to Δm_{31}^2 using solar, reactor, long-baseline accelerator, and atmospheric neutrino data.
- 33 GONZALEZ-GARCIA 14 result comes from a frequentist global fit. The corresponding Bayesian global fit to the same data results are reported in BERGSTROM 15 as (2.460 \pm 0.046) $\times 10^{-3}$ eV 2 for normal and (2.445 $^+_{-0.045})\times 10^{-3}$ eV 2 for inverted mass ordering.
- ³⁴ The value for normal mass ordering is actually a measurement of Δm_{31}^2 which differs from Δm_{32}^2 by a much smaller value of Δm_{12}^2 .
- ³⁵ AARTSEN 13B obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using 20–100 GeV muon neutrino sample from a total of 318.9 days of live-time measurement with the low-energy subdetector DeepCore of the IceCube neutrino telescope.
- 36 Based on the observation of 58 ν_{μ} events with 205 \pm 17(syst) expected in the absence of neutrino oscillations. Superseded by ABE 14.
- 37 ADAMSON 13B obtained this result from ν_{μ} and $\overline{\nu}_{\mu}$ disappearance using ν_{μ} (10.71 \times 10 20 POT) and $\overline{\nu}_{\mu}$ (3.36 \times 10 20 POT) beams, and atmospheric (37.88 kton-years) data from MINOS. The fit assumed two-flavor neutrino hypothesis and identical ν_{μ} and $\overline{\nu}_{\mu}$ oscillation parameters.
- 38 ABE 12A obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis. The best-fit point is $\Delta m^2_{32}=2.65\times 10^{-3}~\text{eV}^2.$
- ³⁹ ADAMSON 12 is a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using antineutrinos.
- 40 ADAMSON 12B obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis of the L/E distribution using 37.9 kton yr atmospheric neutrino data with the MINOS far detector.
- ⁴¹ The 90% single-parameter confidence interval at the best fit point is $\Delta m^2 = 0.0019 \pm 0.0004 \text{ eV}^2$.
- ⁴² The data are separated into pure samples of νs and $\overline{\nu} s$, and separate oscillation parameters for νs and $\overline{\nu} s$ are fit to the data. The best fit point is $(\Delta m^2, \sin^2 2\theta) = (0.0022 \text{ eV}^2, 0.99)$ and $(\Delta \overline{m}^2, \sin^2 2\overline{\theta}) = (0.0016 \text{ eV}^2, 1.00)$. The quoted result is taken from the 90% C.L. contour in the $(\Delta m^2, \sin^2 2\theta)$ plane obtained by minimizing the four parameter log-likelihood function with respect to the other oscillation parameters.
- 43 ADRIAN-MARTINEZ 12 measured the oscillation parameters of atmospheric neutrinos with the ANTARES deep sea neutrino telescope using the data taken from 2007 to 2010 (863 days of total live time). Superseded by ALBERT 19
- ABE 11C obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis with separate mixing parameters between neutrinos and antineutrinos, using the Super-Kamiokande-I+II+III atmospheric neutrino data. The corresponding 90% CL neutrino oscillation parameter range obtained from this analysis is $\Delta m^2 = 1.7-3.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$.
- 45 ADAMSON 11B obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis of antineutrinos in an antineutrino enhanced beam with 1.71×10^{20} protons on target. This results is consistent with the neutrino measurements of ADAMSON 11 at 2% C.L.
- 46 ADAMSON 11C obtains this result based on a study of antineutrinos in a neutrino beam and assumes maximal mixing in the two-flavor approximation.
- 47 WENDELL 10 obtained this result by a three-neutrino oscillation analysis with one mass scale dominance ($\Delta m^2_{21}=0$) using the Super-Kamiokande-I+II+III atmospheric neutrino data, and updates the HOSAKA 06A result.

- 48 ADAMSON 06 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis of the L/E distribution using 4.54 kton yr atmospheric neutrino data with the MINOS far detector.
- ⁴⁹ The best fit in the physical region is for $\Delta m^2 = 2.8 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$.
- ⁵⁰ Supercedes ALIU 05.
- 51 MICHAEL 06 best fit is 2.74×10^{-3} eV². See also ADAMSON 08.
- ⁵² ALLISON 05 result is based on an atmospheric neutrino observation with an exposure of 5.9 kton yr. From a two-flavor oscillation analysis the best-fit point is $\Delta m^2 = 0.0017$ eV² and $\sin^2 2\theta = 0.97$.
- ASHIE 05 obtained this result by a two-neutrino oscillation analysis using 92 kton yr atmospheric neutrino data from the complete Super-Kamiokande I running period. The best fit is for $\Delta m^2 = 2.1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$.
- 54 AMBROSIO 04 obtained this result, without using the absolute normalization of the neutrino flux, by combining the angular distribution of upward through-going muon tracks with $E_{\mu} > 1$ GeV, N_{low} and N_{high} , and the numbers of InDown + UpStop and InUp events. Here, N_{low} and N_{high} are the number of events with reconstructed neutrino energies < 30 GeV and > 130 GeV, respectively. InDown and InUp represent events with downward and upward-going tracks starting inside the detector due to neutrino interactions, while UpStop represents entering upward-going tracks which stop in the detector. The best fit is for $\Delta m^2 = 2.3 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$.
- 55 ASHIE 04 obtained this result from the L(flight length)/E(estimated neutrino energy) distribution of ν_{μ} disappearance probability, using the Super-Kamiokande-I 1489 live-day atmospheric neutrino data. The best fit is for $\Delta m^2 = 2.4 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$.
- ⁵⁶ There are several islands of allowed region from this K2K analysis, extending to high values of Δm^2 . We only include the one that overlaps atmospheric neutrino analyses. The best fit is for $\Delta m^2 = 2.8 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$.
- AMBROSIO 03 obtained this result on the basis of the ratio R = N $_{low}$ /N $_{high}$, where N $_{low}$ and N $_{high}$ are the number of upward through-going muon events with reconstructed neutrino energy < 30 GeV and > 130 GeV, respectively. The data came from the full detector run started in 1994. The method of FELDMAN 98 is used to obtain the limits. The best fit is for $\Delta m^2 = 2.5 \times 10^{-3} \; {\rm eV}^2$.
- AMBROSIO 03 obtained this result by using the ratio R and the angular distribution of the upward through-going muons. R is given in the previous note and the angular distribution is reported in AMBROSIO 01. The method of FELDMAN 98 is used to obtain the limits. The best fit is for $\Delta m^2 = 2.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$.
- 59 SANCHEZ 03 is based on an exposure of 5.9 kton yr. The result is obtained using a likelihood analysis of the neutrino L/E distribution for a selection μ flavor sample while the e-flavor sample provides flux normalization. The method of FELDMAN 98 is used to obtain the allowed region. The best fit is for $\Delta m^2 = 5.2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$.
- 60 AMBROSIO 01 result is based on the angular distribution of upward through-going muon tracks with $E_{\mu} > 1$ GeV. The data came from three different detector configurations, but the statistics is largely dominated by the full detector run, from May 1994 to December 2000. The total live time, normalized to the full detector configuration is 6.17 years. The best fit is obtained outside the physical region. The method of FELDMAN 98 is used to obtain the limits.
- 61 AMBROSIO 01 result is based on the angular distribution and normalization of upward through-going muon tracks with $E_{\mu} > 1$ GeV. See the previous footnote.
- 62 FUKUDA 99C obtained this result from a total of 537 live days of upward through-going muon data in Super-Kamiokande between April 1996 to January 1998. With a threshold of $E_{\mu} > 1.6$ GeV, the observed flux is $(1.74 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-13}$ cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$ sr $^{-1}$. The best fit is for $\Delta m^2 = 5.9 \times 10^{-3}$ eV 2 .
- 63 FUKUDA 99D obtained this result from a simultaneous fitting to zenith angle distributions of upward-stopping and through-going muons. The flux of upward-stopping muons of minimum energy of 1.6 GeV measured between April 1996 and January 1998 is (0.39 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.02) \times 10 $^{-13}$ cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$ sr $^{-1}$. This is compared to the expected flux of (0.73 \pm

- 0.16 (theoretical error)) \times 10^{-13} cm $^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}\text{sr}^{-1}$. The best fit is for $\Delta \textit{m}^2=3.9\times10^{-3}$ eV 2 .
- ⁶⁴ FUKUDA 99D obtained this result from the zenith dependence of the upward-stopping/through-going flux ratio. The best fit is for $\Delta m^2 = 3.1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$.
- ⁶⁵ FUKUDA 98C obtained this result by an analysis of 33.0 kton yr atmospheric neutrino data. The best fit is for $\Delta m^2 = 2.2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$.
- HATAKEYAMA 98 obtained this result from a total of 2456 live days of upward-going muon data in Kamiokande between December 1985 and May 1995. With a threshold of $E_{\mu} > 1.6$ GeV, the observed flux of upward through-going muons is $(1.94\pm0.10^{+0.07}_{-0.06})\times10^{-13}~{\rm cm}^{-2}{\rm s}^{-1}$. This is compared to the expected flux of $(2.46\pm0.54$ (theoretical error)) \times $10^{-13}~{\rm cm}^{-2}{\rm s}^{-1}{\rm sr}^{-1}$. The best fit is for $\Delta m^2 = 2.2\times10^{-3}~{\rm eV}^2$.
- ⁶⁷ HATAKEYAMA 98 obtained this result from a combined analysis of Kamiokande contained events (FUKUDA 94) and upward going muon events. The best fit is for $\Delta m^2 = 13 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$.
- 68 FUKUDA 94 obtained the result by a combined analysis of sub- and multi-GeV atmospheric neutrino events in Kamiokande. The best fit is for $\Delta m^2 = 16 \times 10^{-3}$ eV².

$\sin^2(\theta_{13})$

At present time direct measurements of $\sin^2(\theta_{13})$ are derived from the reactor $\overline{\nu}_e$ disappearance at distances corresponding to the Δm_{32}^2 value, i.e. L $\sim \,$ 1km. Alternatively, limits can also be obtained from the analysis of the solar neutrino data and accelerator-based $\nu_{IL} \rightarrow \, \nu_e$ experiments.

If an experiment reports $\sin^2(2\theta_{13})$ we convert the value to $\sin^2(\theta_{13})$.

$VALUE$ (units 10^{-2})	CL%_	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.20 ± 0.07	OUR AVERAGE				
$2.70\ \pm\ 0.37$		¹ DE-KERRET	20	DCHZ	Chooz reactors
$2.22 \pm 0.21 \pm$	- 0.37	² SHIN	20	RENO	Yonggwang reactors
2.188± 0.076		³ ADEY	18A	DAYA	DayaBay, LingAo/Ao II reactors
$2.29~\pm~0.18$		⁴ BAK	18	RENO	Yonggwang reactors
$1.81 ~\pm~ 0.29$		⁵ AN	16A	DAYA	DayaBay, Ling Ao/Ao II reactors
• • • We do not u	se the following o	data for averages,	fits, I	imits, et	CC. ● ● ●
$2.41\ \pm\ 0.45$		⁶ ABRAHAO	21	DCHZ	Chooz reactors
2.200^{+}_{-} 0.069		⁷ SALAS	21	FIT	Normal mass ordering, global fit
$2.225 ^{+}_{-} \begin{array}{l} 0.064 \\ 0.070 \end{array}$		⁷ SALAS	21	FIT	Inverted mass ordering, global fit
2.219^{+}_{-} 0.062		⁸ ESTEBAN	20A	FIT	Normal mass ordering, global fit
$2.238^{+}_{-} \begin{array}{l} 0.063 \\ 0.062 \end{array}$		⁸ ESTEBAN	20A	FIT	Inverted mass ordering, global fit
< 3.9	68	AGAFONOVA	19	OPER	· ·
$1.8 \begin{array}{c} + & 2.9 \\ - & 1.3 \end{array}$		⁹ ABE	18 B	SKAM	$3 u$ osc: normal mass ordering, $ heta_{13}$ free
$\begin{array}{ccc} 0.8 & + & 1.7 \\ - & 0.7 \end{array}$		⁹ ABE	18 B	SKAM	3ν osc: inverted mass ordering, θ_{13} free
<12	90	¹⁰ AGAFONOVA	18A	OPER	OPERA: ν_e appearance

2.160	o+ _	0.083	3			DE-SALAS	18	FIT	Normal mass ordering, global fit
2.220) +	0.074 0.076	1 5			DE-SALAS	18	FIT	Inverted mass ordering, global fit
2.09 2.7		0.23 0.7	± 0.16		11 12	SEO ABE	18 17F	RENO T2K	Yonggwang reactors Normal mass ordering,
2.14	θ±	0.071	1 ± 0.050		13	AN	17 A	DAYA	T2K only DayaBay, LingAo/Ao II reactors
2.25	+	0.87 0.86			14	ABE	16 B	DCHZ	Chooz reactors
2.09			± 0.16		15	CHOI	16	RENO	Yonggwang reactors
2.15		0.13				AN	15	DAYA	DayaBay, Ling Ao/Ao II reactors
2.6	+	1.2 1.1			17	ABE	14A	DCHZ	Chooz reactors
3.0	_	1.3 1.0			18	ABE	14 C	T2K	Inverted mass ordering
3.6	+	1.0 0.9			18	ABE	14 C	T2K	Normal mass ordering
2.3	+	0.9 0.8			19	ABE	14H	DCHZ	Chooz reactors
2.3		0.2			20	AN	14	DAYA	DayaBay, Ling Ao/Ao
2.12	\pm	0.47				AN	14 B	DAYA	II reactors DayaBay, Ling Ao/Ao II reactors
		0.20			22	FORERO	14	FIT	Normal mass ordering
		0.19 0.10			23	FORERO GONZALEZ	14 14	FIT FIT	Inverted mass ordering; Normal mass ordering;
2.19	+	0.11 0.10			23	GONZALEZ	14	FIT	global fit Inverted mass ordering;
2.5	\pm	0.9	± 0.9		24	ABE	13 C	DCHZ	global fit Chooz reactors
2.3		1.3 1.0			25	ABE	13E	T2K	Normal mass ordering
2.8	+	1.6			25	ABE	13E	T2K	Inverted mass ordering
-	_	1.2 1.3							
1.6	_	0.9				ADAMSON	13A	MINS	Normal mass ordering
3.0	+	1.8 1.6			26	ADAMSON	13A	MINS	Inverted mass ordering
<13				90	27	AGAFONOVA	13	OPER	OPERA: 3ν
< 3.6		0.2	. 0 1	95	28	AHARMIM AN	13	FIT	global solar: 3ν
2.3		0.3	± 0.1				13	DAYA	DayaBay, LIng Ao/Ao II reactors
2.2		1.1	± 0.8		29	ABE	12	DCHZ	
2.8		8.0	± 0.7			ABE	12B		Chooz reactors
2.9		0.3	± 0.5		32	AHN AN	12	RENO	00 0
2.4		0.4	± 0.1				12	DAYA	DayaBay, Ling Ao/Ao II reactors
2.5	+	1.8 1.6				ABE	11	FIT	KamLAND + global solar
< 6.1				95	34 2F	ABE	11	FIT	Global solar
1.3		5.6		68		ABE	11A	T2K	Normal mass ordering
1.5		5.6		68		ABE ADAMSON	11A	T2K	Inverted mass ordering
0.3 0.8		2.3		68 68	38	ADAMSON	11D 11D	MINS MINS	Normal mass ordering Inverted mass ordering
0.0	ιΟ	J.9		50		ADAMSON	TID	Chilin	miverted mass ordering

8 7.8	± 3 ± 6.2		³⁹ FOGLI ⁴⁰ GANDO	11 11	FIT FIT	Global neutrino data KamLAND $+$ solar: 3ν
12.4	± 13.3		⁴¹ GANDO	11	FIT	KamLAND: 3ν
3	+ 9 - 7	90	⁴² ADAMSON	10A	MINS	Normal mass ordering
6	$^{+14}_{-6}$	90	⁴³ ADAMSON	10A	MINS	Inverted mass ordering
8	+ 8 - 7		^{44,45} AHARMIM	10	FIT	KamLAND + global
< 30		95	44,46 AHARMIM	10	FIT	solar: 3ν global solar: 3ν
< 15		90	⁴⁷ WENDELL	10	SKAM	
< 33		90	⁴⁷ WENDELL	10	SKAM	3ν osc.; inverted m ordering
11	$^{+11}_{-8}$		⁴⁸ ADAMSON	09	MINS	Normal mass ordering
18	$^{+15}_{-11}$		⁴⁹ ADAMSON	09	MINS	Inverted mass ordering
6	± 4		⁵⁰ FOGLI	80	FIT	Global neutrino data
8	± 7		⁵¹ FOGLI	80	FIT	Solar + KamLAND
5	± 5		⁵² FOGLI	80	FIT	data Atmospheric + LBL + CHOOZ
< 36		90	⁵³ YAMAMOTO	06	K2K	Accelerator experiment
< 48		90	⁵⁴ AHN	04	K2K	Accelerator experiment
< 36		90	⁵⁵ BOEHM	01		Palo Verde react.
< 45		90	⁵⁶ военм	00		Palo Verde react.
< 15		90	⁵⁷ APOLLONIO	99	CHOZ	Reactor Experiment

¹ DE-KERRET 20 uses 481 days of data from single detector operation and also 384 days of data with both near and far detectors operating. A rate and shape analysis is performed on combined neutron captures on H and Gd. Supersedes ABE 16B.

 $^{^2}$ SHIN 20 uses the RENO detector and 1500 live days of data. The near (far) detector observed 567,690 (90,747) $\overline{\nu}_e$ candidate events with a delayed neutron capture on hydrogen. The extracted value of $\sin^2\!\theta_{13}$ is consistent with the previous analysis with neutron capture on Gd in BAK 18.

³ADEY 18A reports results from analysis of 1958 days of data taking with the Daya-Bay experiment, with $3.9\times10^6~\overline{\nu}_e$ candidates. The fit to the data gives $\Delta m_{ee}^2=(2.522^{+0.068}_{-0.070})\times10^{-3}~\text{eV}^2$. Solar oscillation parameters are fixed in the analysis using the global averages, $\sin^2(\theta_{12})=0.307^{+0.013}_{-0.012},~\Delta m_{21}^2=(7.53\pm0.18)\times10^{-5}~\text{eV}^2$, from PDG 18. Supersedes AN 17A.

⁴ BAK 18 reports results of the RENO experiment using about 2200 live-days of data taken with detectors placed at 410.6 and 1445.7 m from reactors of the Hanbit Nuclear Power Plant. Supersedes SEO 18.

 $^{^5}$ AN 16A uses data from the eight antineutrino detectors (404 days) and six antineutrino detectors (217 days) runs to determine the mixing parameter $\sin^2(2\theta_{13})$ using the neutron capture on H only. Supersedes AN 14B.

⁶ABRAHAO 21 uses 865 days of data collected in both near and far detectors with at least one reactor in operation. The analysis is based on a background model independent approach, so called Reactor Rate Modulation, to determine the mixing angle θ_{13} . Adding the background model reduces the uncertainty to 0.0041. Supersedes ABE 16B.

⁷ SALAS 21 reports results of a global fit to neutrino oscillation data available at the time of the Neutrino 2020 conference.

⁸ ESTEBAN 20A reports results of a global fit to neutrino oscillation data available at the time of the Neutrino2020 conference.

- ⁹ ABE 18B uses 328 kton-years of Super-Kamiokande I-IV atmospheric neutrino data to obtain this result. The fit is performed over the four parameters, Δm_{32}^2 , $\sin^2\theta_{23}$, $\sin^2\theta_{13}$, and δ , while the solar parameters are fixed to $\Delta m_{21}^2 = (7.53 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-5}$ eV² and $\sin^2\theta_{12} = 0.304 \pm 0.014$.
- 10 AGAFONOVA 18A reports $\sin^2(2\theta_{13})<0.43$ at 90% C.L. The result on the sterile neutrino search in the context of 3+1 model is also reported. A 90% C.L. upper limit on $\sin^2(2\theta_{\mu\,e})=0.021$ for $\Delta m_{41}^2~\geq~0.1~\rm eV^2$ is set.
- ¹¹ SEO 18 reports results of the RENO experiment using about 500 days of data, performing a rate and shape analysis. Compared to AHN 12, a significant reduction of the systematic uncertainties is reported. A 3% excess of events near 5 MeV of the prompt energy is observed. SEO 18 is a detailed description of the results published in CHOI 16, which it supersedes. Superseded by BAK 18.
- 12 Using T2K data only. For inverted mass ordering, all values of θ_{13} are ruled out at 68% CL.
- 13 AN 17A reports results from combined rate and spectral shape analysis of 1230 days of data taken with the Daya Bay reactor experiment. The data set contains more than $^{2.5} \times 10^6$ inverse beta-decay events with neutron capture on Gd. A simultaneous fit to θ_{13} and Δm_{ee}^2 is performed. Superseded by ADEY 18A.
- 14 ABE 16B uses 455.57 live days of data from a detector 1050 m away from two reactor cores of the Chooz nuclear power station, to determine the mixing parameter $\sin^2(2\theta_{13})$. This analysis uses 7.15 reactor-off days for constraining backgrounds. A rate and shape analysis is performed on combined neutron captures on H and Gd. Supersedes ABE 14H and ABE 13C.
- 15 CHOI 16 reports results of the RENO experiment using about 500 days of data, performing a rate and shape analysis. Compared to AHN 12, a significant reduction of the systematic uncertainties is reported. A 3% excess of events near 5 MeV of the prompt energy is observed. Supersedes AHN 12.
- 16 AN 15 uses all eight identical detectors, with four placed near the reactor cores and the remaining four at the far hall to determine the mixing angle θ_{13} using the $\overline{\nu}_e$ observed interaction rates with neutron capture on Gd and energy spectra. The result corresponds to the exposure of 6.9 \times 10^5 GW $_{th}$ -ton-days. Superseded by AN 17A.
- 17 ABE 14A uses 467.9 live days of one detector, 1050 m away from two reactor cores of the Chooz nuclear power station, to determine the mixing parameter $\sin^2(2 \theta_{13})$. The Bugey4 data (DECLAIS 94) is used to constrain the neutrino flux. The data set includes 7.24 reactor-off days. A "rate-modulation" analysis is performed. Supercedes ABE 12B.
- 7.24 reactor-off days. A "rate-modulation" analysis is performed. Supercedes ABE 12B. ¹⁸ ABE 14C result is for ν_e appearance and assumes $\Delta m_{32}^2 = 2.4 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$, $\sin^2(\theta_{23}) = 0.5$, and $\delta = 0$.
- 19 ABE 14H uses 467.9 live days of one detector, 1050 m away from two reactor cores of the Chooz nuclear power station, to determine the mixing parameter $\sin^2(2 \, \theta_{13})$. The Bugey4 data (DECLAIS 94) is used to constrain the neutrino flux. The data set includes 7.24 reactor-off days. A rate and shape analysis is performed. Superceded by ABE 16B.
- 20 AN 14 uses six identical detectors, with three placed near the reactor cores (flux-weighted baselines of 512 and 561 m) and the remaining three at the far hall (at the flux averaged distance of 1579 m from all six reactor cores) to determine the mixing angle θ_{13} using the $\overline{\nu}_e$ observed interaction rates with neutron capture on Gd and energy spectra. Supersedes AN 13 and superseded by AN 15.
- 21 AN 14B uses six identical anti-neutrino detectors with flux-weighted baselines of ~ 500 m and ~ 1.6 km to six power reactors. This rate analysis uses a 217-day data set and neutron capture on protons (not Gd) only. $\Delta m_{31}^2 = 2.32 \times 10^{-3} \ \text{eV}^2$ is assumed. Superseded by AN 16A.
- ²² FORERO 14 performs a global fit to neutrino oscillations using solar, reactor, long-baseline accelerator, and atmospheric neutrino data.
- 23 GONZALEZ-GARCIA 14 result comes from a frequentist global fit. The corresponding Bayesian global fit to the same data results are reported in BERGSTROM 15 as

- $(2.18^{\,+\,0.10}_{\,-\,0.11})\times 10^{-2}$ eV 2 for normal and $(2.19^{\,+\,0.12}_{\,-\,0.10})\times 10^{-2}$ eV 2 for inverted mass ordering.
- ABE 13C uses delayed neutron capture on hydrogen instead of on Gd used previously. The physical volume is thus three times larger. The fit is based on the rate and shape analysis as in ABE 12B. The Bugey4 data (DECLAIS 94) is used to constrain the neutrino flux. Superseded by ABE 16B.
- $^{25}\,\mathrm{ABE}$ 13E assumes maximal θ_{23} mixing and CP phase $\delta=0.$
- ²⁶ ADAMSON 13A results obtained from ν_e appearance, assuming $\delta=0$, and $\sin^2(2\theta_{23})=0.957$.
- AHARMIM 13 obtained this result by a three-neutrino oscillation analysis with the value of Δm_{32}^2 fixed to 2.45×10^{-3} eV², using global solar neutrino data. AHARMIM 13 global solar neutrino data include SNO's all-phases-combined analysis results on the total active 8 B neutrino flux and energy-dependent ν_e survival probability parameters, measurements of CI (CLEVELAND 98), Ga (ABDURASHITOV 09 which contains combined analysis with GNO (ALTMANN 05 and Ph.D. thesis of F. Kaether)), and 7 Be (BELLINI 11A) rates, and 8 B solar-neutrino recoil electron measurements of SK-I (HOSAKA 06) zenith, SK-II (CRAVENS 08) and SK-III (ABE 11) day/night spectra, and Borexino (BELLINI 10A) spectra. AHARMIM 13 also reported a result combining global solar and KamLAND data, which is $\sin^2(2~\theta_{13}) = (9.1^{+2.9}_{-3.1})\times 10^{-2}$.
- 28 AN 13 uses six identical detectors, with three placed near the reactor cores (flux-weighted baselines of 498 and 555 m) and the remaining three at the far hall (at the flux averaged distance of 1628 m from all six reactor cores) to determine the $\overline{\nu}_e$ interaction rate ratios. Superseded by AN 14.
- 29 ABE 12 determines the $\overline{\nu}_e$ interaction rate in a single detector, located 1050 m from the cores of two reactors. A rate and shape analysis is performed. The rate normalization is fixed by the results of the Bugey4 reactor experiment, thus avoiding any dependence on possible very short baseline oscillations. The value of $\Delta m_{31}^2 = 2.4 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$ is used in the analysis. Superseded by ABE 12B.
- 30 ABE 12B determines the neutrino mixing angle θ_{13} using a single detector, located 1050 m from the cores of two reactors. This result is based on a spectral shape and rate analysis. The Bugey4 data (DECLAIS 94) is used to constrain the neutrino flux. Superseded by ABE 14A.
- 31 AHN 12 uses two identical detectors, placed at flux weighted distances of 408.56 m and 1433.99 m from six reactor cores, to determine the mixing angle θ_{13} . This rate-only analysis excludes the no-oscillation hypothesis at 4.9 standard deviations. The value of $\Delta m_{31}^2 = (2.32^{+0.12}_{-0.08}) \times 10^{-3} \ \text{eV}^2$ was assumed in the analysis. Superseded by CHOI 16.
- 32 AN 12 uses six identical detectors with three placed near the reactor cores (flux-weighted baselines of 470 m and 576 m) and the remaining three at the far hall (at the flux averaged distance of 1648 m from all six reactor cores) to determine the mixing angle θ_{13} using the $\overline{\nu}_e$ observed interaction rate ratios. This rate-only analysis excludes the no-oscillation hypothesis at 5.2 standard deviations. The value of $\Delta m_{31}^2 = (2.32^{+0.12}_{-0.08}) \times 10^{-3} \ \text{eV}^2$ was assumed in the analysis. Superseded by AN 13.
- 33 ABE 11 obtained this result by a three-neutrino oscillation analysis with the value of Δm^2_{32} fixed to $2.4\times 10^{-3}~\text{eV}^2$, using solar neutrino data including Super-Kamiokande, SNO, Borexino (ARPESELLA 08A), Homestake, GALLEX/GNO, SAGE, and KamLAND data. This result implies an upper bound of $\sin^2\!\theta_{13} < 0.059$ (95% CL) or $\sin^2\!2\theta_{13} < 0.22$ (95% CL). The normal neutrino mass ordering and CPT invariance are assumed.
- 34 ABE 11 obtained this result by a three-neutrino oscillation analysis with the value of Δm_{32}^2 fixed to $2.4 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$, using solar neutrino data including Super-Kamiokande, SNO, Borexino (ARPESELLA 08A), Homestake, and GALLEX/GNO data. The normal neutrino mass ordering is assumed.

- 35 The quoted limit is for $\Delta m^2_{32}=2.4 imes 10^{-3}~{
 m eV}^2$, $heta_{23}=\pi/2$, $\delta=0$, and the normal mass ordering. For other values of δ , the 68% region spans from 0.03 to 0.25, and the 90% region from 0.02 to 0.32.
- ³⁶ The quoted limit is for $\Delta m_{32}^2=2.4\times 10^{-3}~\text{eV}^2$, $\theta_{23}=\pi/2$, $\delta=0$, and the inverted mass ordering. For other values of δ , the 68% region spans from 0.04 to 0.30, and the 90% region from 0.02 to 0.39.
- ³⁷ The quoted limit is for $\Delta m_{32}^2=2.32\times 10^{-3}~{\rm eV^2},~\theta_{23}=\pi/2,~\delta=0$, and the normal mass ordering. For other values of δ , the 68% region spans from 0.02 to 0.12, and the 90% region from 0 to 0.16.
- ³⁸ The quoted limit is for $\Delta m_{32}^2=2.32\times 10^{-3}~\text{eV}^2$, $\theta_{23}=\pi/2$, $\delta=0$, and the inverted mass ordering. For other values of δ , the 68% region spans from 0.02 to 0.16, and the 90% region from 0 to 0.21.
- $^{
 m 39}\,{\sf FOGLI}\,^{
 m 11}$ obtained this result from an analysis using the atmospheric, accelerator long baseline, CHOOZ, solar, and KamLAND data. Recently, MUELLER 11 suggested an average increase of about 3.5% in normalization of the reactor $\overline{\nu}_{\rho}$ fluxess, and using these fluxes, the fitted result becomes 0.10 \pm 0.03.
- 40 GANDO 11 report $\sin^2\! heta_{13} = 0.020 \pm 0.016$. This result was obtained with three-neutrino fit using the KamLAND+ solar data.
- 41 GANDO 11 report $\sin^2\! heta_{13} = 0.032 \pm 0.037$. This result was obtained with three-neutrino fit using the KamLAND data only.
- 42 This result corresponds to the limit of <0.12 at 90% CL for $\Delta m^2_{32}=2.43 imes 10^{-3}~{
 m eV}^2$, $\theta_{23}=\pi/2$, and $\delta=0$. For other values of δ , the 90% CL region spans from 0 to 0.16.
- ⁴³ This result corresponds to the limit of <0.20 at 90% CL for $\Delta m_{32}^2=2.43\times 10^{-3}~\text{eV}^2$, $\theta_{23}=\pi/2$, and $\delta=0$. For other values of δ , the 90% CL region spans from 0 to 0.21.
- $^{
 m 44}$ AHARMIM 10 global solar neutrino data include SNO's low-energy-threshold analysis survival probability day/night curves, SNO Phase III integral rates (AHARMIM 08), CI (CLEVELAND 98), SAGE (ABDURASHITOV 09), Gallex/GNO (HAMPEL 99, ALT-MANN 05), Borexino (ARPESELLA 08A), SK-I zenith (HOSAKA 06), and SK-II day/night spectra (CRAVENS 08).
- $^{
 m 45}$ AHARMIM 10 obtained this result by a three-neutrino oscillation analysis with the value of Δm^2_{31} fixed to $2.3 \times 10^{-3}~\text{eV}^2$, using global solar neutrino data and KamLAND data (ABE 08A). CPT invariance is assumed. This result implies an upper bound of $\sin^2\!\theta_{13}$ < $0.057 \text{ (95\% CL) or } \sin^2 2\theta_{13} < 0.22 \text{ (95\% CL)}.$
- ⁴⁶ AHARMIM 10 obtained this result by a three-neutrino oscillation analysis with the value of Δm_{31}^2 fixed to 2.3×10^{-3} eV², using global solar neutrino data.
- 47 WENDELL 10 obtained this result by a three-neutrino oscillation analysis with one mass scale dominance ($\Delta m_{21}^2 = 0$) using the Super-Kamiokande-I+II+III atmospheric neutrino data, and updates the HOSAKA 06A result.

 48 The quoted limit is for $\Delta m_{32}^2 = 2.43 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$, $\theta_{23} = \pi/2$, and $\delta = 0$. For other values of δ , the 68% CL region spans from 0.02 to 0.26.

 49 The quoted limit is for $\Delta m_{32}^2 = 2.43 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$, $\theta_{23} = \pi/2$, and $\delta = 0$. For other values of δ the 68% CL region spans from 0.04 to 0.34.
- values of δ , the 68% CL region spans from 0.04 to 0.34.
- 50 FOGLI 08 obtained this result from a global analysis of all neutrino oscillation data, that is, solar + KamLAND + atmospheric + accelerator long baseline + CHOOZ.
- 51 FOGLI 08 obtained this result from an analysis using the solar and KamLAND neutrino oscillation data.
- 52 FOGLI 08 obtained this result from an analysis using the atmospheric, accelerator long baseline, and CHOOZ neutrino oscillation data.
- 53 YAMAMOTO 06 searched for $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e}$ appearance. Assumes 2 $\sin^2(2\theta_{\mu\,e}) = \sin^2(2\theta_{13})$. The quoted limit is for $\Delta m^2_{32} = 1.9 \times 10^{-3} \ \text{eV}^2$. That value of Δm^2_{32} is the one- σ low value for AHN 06A. For the AHN 06A best fit value of $2.8 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$ the $\sin^2(2\theta_{13})$ limit is < 0.26. Supersedes AHN 04.

- 54 AHN 04 searched for $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow ~\nu_{e}$ appearance. Assuming 2 $\sin^{2}(2~\theta_{\mu_{e}}) = \sin^{2}(2~\theta_{13})$, a limit on $\sin^{2}(2~\theta_{\mu_{e}})$ is converted to a limit on $\sin^{2}(2~\theta_{13})$. The quoted limit is for $\Delta m_{32}^{2} = 1.9 \times 10^{-3}~\text{eV}^{2}$. That value of Δm_{32}^{2} is the one- σ low value for ALIU 05. For the ALIU 05 best fit value of $2.8 \times 10^{-3}~\text{eV}^{2}$, the $\sin^{2}(2~\theta_{13})$ limit is < 0.30.
- ⁵⁵ The quoted limit is for $\Delta m_{32}^2=1.9\times 10^{-3}~{\rm eV}^2$. That value of Δm_{32}^2 is the 1- σ low value for ALIU 05. For the ALIU 05 best fit value of $2.8\times 10^{-3}~{\rm eV}^2$, the $\sin^2 2\theta_{13}$ limit is <0.19. In this range, the θ_{13} limit is larger for lower values of Δm_{32}^2 , and smaller for higher values of Δm_{32}^2 .
- ⁵⁶ The quoted limit is for $\Delta m_{32}^2 = 1.9 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$. That value of Δm_{32}^2 is the 1-σ low value for ALIU 05. For the ALIU 05 best fit value of $2.8 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$, the $\sin^2 2\theta_{13}$ limit is < 0.23.
- ⁵⁷ The quoted limit is for $\Delta m_{32}^2 = 2.43 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$. That value of Δm_{32}^2 is the central value for ADAMSON 08. For the ADAMSON 08 1- σ low value of $2.30 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$, the $\sin^2 2\theta_{13}$ limit is < 0.16. See also APOLLONIO 03 for a detailed description of the experiment.

- *CP* violating phase ———

δ , CP violating phase

Measurements of δ come from atmospheric and accelarator experiments looking at ν_e appearance. We encode values between 0 and 2π , though it is equivalent to use $-\pi$ to π

$VALUE~(\pi~rad)$	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$1.36^{+0.20}_{-0.16}$ OU	R AVERA	AGE			
$1.40 ^{+ 0.22}_{- 0.18}$		¹ ABE	20F	T2K	Normal mass ordering
$0.0 \begin{array}{c} +1.3 \\ -0.4 \end{array}$		² ACERO	19	NOVA	Normall mass ordering, octant II for θ_{23}
$1.33^{igoplus 0.45}_{igoplus 0.51}$		³ ABE	18 B	SKAM	Normal mass ordering, θ_{13} constrained
• • • We do no	ot use th	e following data fo	r aver	ages, fits	s, limits, etc. • • •
$1.08 ^{\color{red}+0.13}_{-0.12}$		⁴ SALAS	21	FIT	Normal mass ordering, global fit
$1.58 \! \begin{array}{l} +0.15 \\[-4pt] -0.16\end{array}$		⁴ SALAS	21	FIT	Inverted mass ordering, global fit
$1.09 ^{+ 0.15}_{- 0.13}$		⁵ ESTEBAN	20A	FIT	Normal mass ordering, global fit
$1.57 ^{\begin{subarray}{c} +0.14 \\ -0.17 \end{subarray}}$		⁵ ESTEBAN	20A	FIT	Inverted mass ordering, global fit
$1.33^{+0.46}_{-0.53}$		⁶ ABE	18 B	SKAM	$3 u$ osc: normal mass ordering, θ_{13} free
$1.22^{+0.76}_{-0.67}$		⁶ ABE	18 B	SKAM	3ν osc: inverted mass ordering, θ_{13} free
$1.33^{+0.48}_{-0.53}$		³ ABE	18 B	SKAM	3ν osc: inverted mass ordering, θ_{13} constrained
1.40 ± 0.20		⁷ ABE	18 G	T2K	Normal mass ordering, θ_{13} constrained
$1.54 ^{igoplus 0.14}_{-0.12}$	95	⁷ ABE	18 G	T2K	Inverted mass ordering, θ_{13} constrained

$1.21 ^{+ 0.91}_{- 0.30}$		⁸ ACERO	18	NOVA	Normal mass ordering, octant II for θ_{23}
$1.46^{+0.56}_{-0.42}$		⁸ ACERO	18	NOVA	Normal mass order; octant I for θ_{23}
$1.32^{\begin{subarray}{c} +0.21 \\ -0.15 \end{subarray}}$		DE-SALAS	18	FIT	Normal mass ordering, global fit
$1.56^{+0.13}_{-0.15}$		DE-SALAS	18	FIT	Inverted mass ordering, global fit
$1.45^{igoplus 0.27}_{-0.26}$		⁹ ABE	17F	T2K	Normal mass ordering
$1.54 ^{\color{red}+0.22}_{-0.23}$		⁹ ABE	17F	T2K	Inverted mass ordering
$1.50 ^{\begin{subarray}{c} +0.53 \\ -0.57 \end{subarray}}$		¹⁰ ADAMSON	17 B	NOVA	Inverted mass ordering; θ_{23} in octant II
$0.74^{+0.57}_{-0.93}$		¹⁰ ADAMSON	17 B	NOVA	Normal mass ordering; θ_{23} in octant II
$1.48 ^{\color{red}+0.69}_{-0.58}$		¹⁰ ADAMSON	17 B	NOVA	Normal mass ordering; θ_{23} in octant I
0.0 to 0.1, 0.5	90	10,11 ADAMSON	16	NOVA	Inverted mass ordering
to 2.0 0.0 to 2.0	90	¹¹ ADAMSON	16	NOVA	Normal mass ordering
0 to 0.15, 0.83	90	ABE	15 D	T2K	Normal mass ordering
to 2 1.09 to 1.92	90	ABE	15 D	T2K	Inverted mass ordering
0.05 to 1.2	90	¹² ADAMSON	14	MINS	Normal mass ordering
$1.34^{+0.64}_{-0.38}$		FORERO	14	FIT	Normal mass ordering
$1.48^{igoplus 0.34}_{-0.32}$		FORERO	14	FIT	Inverted mass ordering
$1.70^{igoplus 0.22}_{-0.39}$		¹³ GONZALEZ	14	FIT	Normal mass ordering; global fit
$1.41^{igoplus 0.35}_{-0.34}$		¹³ GONZALEZ	14	FIT	Inverted mass ordering; global fit
0 to 1.5 or 1.9 to 2	90	¹⁴ ADAMSON	13A	MINS	Normal mass ordering

 $^{^1}$ ABE 20F results are based on data collected between 2009 and 2018 in (anti)neutrino mode and include a neutrino beam exposure of 1.49×10^{21} (1.64 \times 10 21) protons on target. For inverted mass ordering, the quoted result is $1.56^{+0.15}_{-0.17}$ π rad. Supersedes ABE 18G.

ABE 18G. 2 ACERO 19 is based on a sample size of 1.33×10^{20} protons on target with combined antineutrino and neutrino data. Supersedes ACERO 18.

³ ABE 18B uses 328 kton-years of Super-Kamiokande I-IV atmospheric neutrino data to obtain this result. The fit is performed over the three parameters, Δm_{32}^2 , $\sin^2\theta_{23}$, and δ , while the solar parameters and $\sin^2\theta_{23}$ are fixed to $\Delta m_{21}^2 = (7.53 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-5}$ eV², $\sin^2\theta_{12} = 0.304 \pm 0.014$, and $\sin^2\theta_{13} = 0.0219 \pm 0.0012$.

 $^{^4}$ SALAS 21 reports results of a global fit to neutrino oscillation data available at the time of the Neutrino 2020 conference.

⁵ ESTEBAN 20A reports results of a global fit to neutrino oscillation data available at the time of the Neutrino 2020 conference.

⁶ ABE 18B uses 328 kton-years of Super-Kamiokande I-IV atmospheric neutrino data to obtain this result. The fit is performed over the four parameters, Δm_{32}^2 , $\sin^2\theta_{23}$, $\sin^2\theta_{13}$, and δ , while the solar parameters are fixed to $\Delta m_{21}^2 = (7.53 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-5}$ eV² and $\sin^2\theta_{12} = 0.304 \pm 0.014$.

- ⁷ ABE 18G confidence intervals are marginalized over both mass orderings. Normal order preferred with a posterior probability of 87%. The 1-sigma result for normal mass ordering used in the average was provided by the experiment via private communications. Supersedes ABE 17F.
- ⁸ ACERO 18 performs a joint fit to the data for ν_{μ} disappearance and ν_{e} appearance. The overall best fit favors normal mass ordering and θ_{23} in octant II. No 1σ confidence intervals are presented for the inverted mass ordering scenarios. Superseded by ACERO 19.
- 9 ABE 17F confidence intervals are obtained using a frequentist analysis including θ_{13} constraint from reactor experiments. Bayesian intervals based on Markov Chain Monte Carlo method are also provided by the authors. Superseded by ABE 18G.
- $^{10}\,\mathrm{Errors}$ are projections of 68% C.L. curve of δ_{CP} vs. $\sin^2\!\theta_{23}.$
- 11 ADAMSON 16 result is based on a data sample with 2.74 \times 10^{20} protons on target. The likelihood-based analysis observed 6 ν_e events with an expected background of 0.99 \pm 0.11 events.
- ¹² ADAMSON 14 result is based on three-flavor formalism and $\theta_{23} > \pi/4$. Likelihood as a function of δ is also shown for the other three combinations of hierarchy and θ_{23} octants; all values of δ are allowed at 90% C.L.
- ¹³ GONZALEZ-GARCIA 14 result comes from a frequentist global fit. The corresponding Bayesian global fit to the same data results are reported in BERGSTROM 15 as 68% CL intervals of 1.24–1.94 for normal and 1.15–1.77 for inverted mass ordering.
- 14 ADAMSON 13A result is based on ν_e appearance in MINOS and the calculated $\sin^2(2\theta_{23})=0.957, \theta_{23}>\pi/4,$ and normal mass hierarchy. Likelihood as a function of δ is also shown for the other three combinations of hierarchy and θ_{23} octants; all values of δ are allowed at 90% C.L.

(C) Other neutrino mixing results

The LSND collaboration reported in AGUILAR 01 a signal which is consistent with $\overline{\nu}_{\mu} \rightarrow \ \overline{\nu}_{e}$ oscillations. In a three neutrino framework, this would be a measurement of θ_{12} and Δm^2_{21} . This does not appear to be consistent with most of the other neutrino data. The following listings include results from $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \ \nu_{e}, \ \overline{\nu}_{\mu} \rightarrow \ \overline{\nu}_{e}$ appearance and $\nu_{\mu}, \ \overline{\nu}_{\mu}, \ \nu_{e},$ and $\overline{\nu}_{e}$ disappearance experiments, and searches for *CPT* violation.

$\Delta(m^2)$ for $\sin^2(2\theta) = 1$ $(\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e})$

<i>VALUE</i> (eV	⁽²)	CL%		DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • W	e do not use t	he followi	ng	data for averag	es, fit	s, limits	, etc. • • •
0.03	to 0.55	90	1	AGUILAR-AR	.21	MBNE	MiniBooNE $\nu, \overline{\nu}$ combined
0.03	to 0.05	90	2	AGUILAR-AR	. 18 C	MBNE	MiniBooNE $\nu, \overline{\nu}$ combined
0.015	to 0.050	90	3	AGUILAR-AR	. 13A	MBNE	MiniBooNE
< 0.34		90	4	MAHN	12	MBNE	MiniBooNE/SciBooNE
< 0.034		90		AGUILAR-AR	. 07	MBNE	MiniBooNE
< 0.0008		90		AHN	04	K2K	Water Cherenkov
< 0.4		90		ASTIER	03	NOMD	CERN SPS
< 2.4		90	_	AVVAKUMOV	02	NTEV	NUTEV FNAL
				AGUILAR	01	LSND	$ u\mu ightarrow \; u_{e} \; { m osc.prob}.$
0.03	to 0.3	95	6	ATHANASSO	.98	LSND	$ u_{\mu} ightarrow u_{e}$
< 2.3		90	7	LOVERRE	96		CHARM/CDHS

< 0.9	90	VILAIN	94 C	CHM2	CERN SPS
<0.09	90	ANGELINI	86	HI BC	RERC CERN PS

 $^{^1}$ AGUILAR-AREVALO 21 result is based on a total of 18.75×10^{20} POT in neutrino mode, and 11.27×10^{20} POT in anti-neutrino mode. Best fit at 0.043 eV 2 . The allowed region does not extend to large Δm^2 . The quoted value is the entire allowed region of Δm^2 at 90% C.L. for all values of $\sin^2(2\theta)$. Supersedes AGUILAR-AREVALO 18C.

$\sin^2(2\theta)$ for "Large" $\Delta(m^2)$ $(u_\mu ightarrow u_e)$

$VALUE$ (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
ullet $ullet$ We do not	use the following	data for averages, f	its, limits, e	tc. • • •
6 to 1000	90			MiniBooNE; $\nu + \overline{\nu}$
< 5	90	² AGUILAR-AR1		
< 7.2	90			$\Delta(m^2) > 0.1 \text{ eV}^2$
0.8 to 3	90	³ AGUILAR-AR1		MiniBooNE
< 11	90	⁴ ANTONELLO 1	3 ICAR	$ u_{\mu} ightarrow u_{e}$
< 6.8	90	⁵ ANTONELLO 1	3A ICAR	$ u_{\mu} ightarrow u_{e}$
<100	90	⁶ MAHN 1		, MiniBooNE/SciBooNE
< 1.8	90	⁷ AGUILAR-AR0	7 MBNE	MiniBooNE
<110	90	⁸ AHN 0	4 K2K	Water Cherenkov
< 1.4	90	ASTIER 0	3 NOMD	CERN SPS
< 1.6	90	AVVAKUMOV 0	2 NTEV	NUTEV FNAL
		⁹ AGUILAR 0	1 LSND	$ u_{\mu} ightarrow \ u_{e} \ ext{osc.prob}.$
0.5 to 30	95	¹⁰ ATHANASSO9	8 LSND	$ u_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e} $
< 3.0	90	¹¹ LOVERRE 9	6	CHARM/CDHS

 $^{^2}$ AGUILAR-AREVALO 18C result is based on $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow ~\nu_e$ appearance of 460.5 \pm 99.0 events; The best fit value is $\Delta {\rm m}^2 = 0.041~{\rm eV}^2.$ Superseded by AGUILAR-AREVALO 21.

 $^{^3}$ AGUILAR-AREVALO 13A result is based on $\nu_{\mu} \to \nu_e$ appearance of 162.0 \pm 47.8 events; marginally compatible with two neutrino oscillations. The best fit value is $\Delta {\rm m}^2=3.14$ eV 2 .

 $^{^4}$ MAHN 12 is a combined spectral fit of MiniBooNE and SciBooNE neutrino data with the range of Δm^2 up to 25 eV 2 . The best limit is 0.04 at 7 eV 2 .

 $^{^5}$ AGUILAR 01 is the final analysis of the LSND full data set. Search is made for the $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e}$ oscillations using ν_{μ} from π^+ decay in flight by observing beam-on electron events from ν_{e} C $\rightarrow e^-$ X. Present analysis results in 8.1 \pm 12.2 \pm 1.7 excess events in the 60< $E_{e} <$ 200 MeV energy range, corresponding to oscillation probability of 0.10 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.04%. This is consistent, though less significant, with the previous result of ATHANASSOPOULOS 98, which it supersedes. The present analysis uses selection criteria developed for the decay at rest region, and is less effective in removing the background above 60 MeV than ATHANASSOPOULOS 98.

⁶ ATHANASSOPOULOS 98 is a search for the $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e}$ oscillations using ν_{μ} from π^{+} decay in flight. The 40 observed beam-on electron events are consistent with ν_{e} C \rightarrow e^{-} X; the expected background is 21.9 ± 2.1 . Authors interpret this excess as evidence for an oscillation signal corresponding to oscillations with probability $(0.26 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.05)\%$. Although the significance is only $2.3~\sigma$, this measurement is an important and consistent cross check of ATHANASSOPOULOS 96 who reported evidence for $\overline{\nu}_{\mu} \rightarrow \overline{\nu}_{e}$ oscillations from μ^{+} decay at rest. See also ATHANASSOPOULOS 98B.

⁷LOVERRE 96 uses the charged-current to neutral-current ratio from the combined CHARM (ALLABY 86) and CDHS (ABRAMOWICZ 86) data from 1986.

<	9.4	90	VILAIN	94C	CHM2	CERN SPS
_	5.6	90	¹² VII AIN	94 <i>c</i>	CHM2	CERN SPS

 $^{^1}$ AGUILAR-AREVALO 21 result is based on a total of 18.75×10^{20} POT in neutrino mode, and 11.27×10^{20} POT in anti-neutrino mode. The best fit value is $\sin^2(2\theta) = 0.807$. The allowed region does not extend to large Δm^2 . The quoted value is the entire allowed region of $\sin^2(2\theta)$ at 90% C.L. for all values of Δm^2 . Supersedes AGUILAR-AREVALO 18c.

$\Delta(m^2)$ for $\sin^2(2\theta) = 1$ $(\overline{\nu}_{\mu} \rightarrow \overline{\nu}_{e})$

<i>VALUE</i> (eV ²)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the	e following	data for averages, fits,	limits, e	etc. • • •
0.023 to 0.060	90	¹ AGUILAR-AR13A	MBNE	MiniBooNE
< 0.16	90	² CHENG 12	MBNE	MiniBooNE/SciBooNE
0.03-0.09	90	³ AGUILAR-AR10	MBNE	$E_{\nu} > 475 \text{ MeV}$
0.03-0.07	90	⁴ AGUILAR-AR10	MBNE	$E_{\nu} > 200 \text{ MeV}$
< 0.06	90	_ AGUILAR-AR09B	MBNE	MiniBooNE
< 0.055	90	⁵ ARMBRUSTER02	KAR2	Liquid Sci. calor.
< 2.6	90	AVVAKUMOV 02	NTEV	NUTEV FNAL
0.03-0.05				LAMPF
0.05-0.08	90	⁷ ATHANASSO96	LSND	LAMPF
0.048-0.090	80	⁸ ATHANASSO95		
< 0.07	90	⁹ HILL 95		

 $^{^2}$ AGUILAR-AREVALO 18C result is based on $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow ~\nu_{e}$ appearance of 460.5 \pm 99.0 events; The best fit value is $\sin^2(2\theta) = 0.92$. The quoted limit for the two-neutrino mixing angle θ is valid above $\Delta m^2 = 0.59 \text{ eV}^2$. Superseded by AGUILAR-AREVALO 21.

 $^{^3}$ AGUILAR-AREVALO 13A result is based on $u_{\mu}
ightarrow \,
u_e$ appearance of 162.0 ± 47.8 events; marginally compatible with two neutrino oscillations. The best fit value is $\sin^2(2\theta) =$ 0.002.

 $^{^4}$ ANTONELLO 13 use the ICARUS T600 detector at LNGS and \sim 20 GeV beam of u_{μ} from CERN 730 km away to search for an excess of ν_e events. Two events are found with 3.7 \pm 0.6 expected from conventional sources. This result excludes some parts of the parameter space expected by LSND. Superseded by ANTONELLO 13A.

 $^{^{5}}$ Based on four events with a background of 6.4 \pm 0.9 from conventional sources with an average energy of 20 GeV and 730 km from the source of $\nu_{\mu}.$

 $^{^6}$ MAHN 12 is a combined fit of MiniBooNE and SciBooNE neutrino data. 7 The limit is $\sin^2 2\theta < 0.9 \times 10^{-3}$ at $\Delta m^2 = 2$ eV 2 . That value of Δm^2 corresponds to the smallest mixing angle consistent with the reported signal from LSND in AGUILAR 01.

⁸ The limit becomes $\sin^2 2\theta < 0.15$ at $\Delta m^2 = 2.8 \times 10^{-3}$ eV², the bets-fit value of the u_{μ} disappearance analysis in K2K.

 $^{^9}$ AGUILAR 01 is the final analysis of the LSND full data set of the search for the u_{μ} ightarrow ν_{e} oscillations. See footnote in preceding table for further details.

 $^{^{10}}$ ATHANASSOPOULOS 98 report (0.26 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.05)% for the oscillation probability; the value of $\sin^2 2\theta$ for large Δm^2 is deduced from this probability. See footnote in preceding table for further details, and see the paper for a plot showing allowed regions. If effect is due to oscillation, it is most likely to be intermediate $\sin^2 2\theta$ and Δm^2 . See also ATHANASSOPOULOS 98B.

¹¹ LOVERRE 96 uses the charged-current to neutral-current ratio from the combined CHARM (ALLABY 86) and CDHS (ABRAMOWICZ 86) data from 1986.

 $^{^{12}}$ VILAIN 94C limit derived by combining the u_{μ} and $\overline{
u}_{\mu}$ data assuming *CP* conservation.

<0.9 90 VILAIN 94c CHM2 CERN SPS <0.14 90 10 FREEDMAN 93 CNTR LAMPF

²CHENG 12 is a combined fit of MiniBooNE and SciBooNE antineutrino data.

- ⁴ This value is for a two neutrino oscillation analysis for excess antineutrino events with $E_{\nu} > 200$ MeV with subtraction of the expected 12 events low energy excess seen in the neutrino component of the beam. The best fit value is 0.007 for $\Delta(m^2) = 4.4$ eV².
- ⁵ ARMBRUSTER 02 is the final analysis of the KARMEN 2 data for 17.7 m distance from the ISIS stopped pion and muon neutrino source. It is a search for $\overline{\nu}_e$, detected by the inverse β-decay reaction on protons and 12 C. 15 candidate events are observed, and 15.8 \pm 0.5 background events are expected, hence no oscillation signal is detected. The results exclude large regions of the parameter area favored by the LSND experiment.
- ⁶ AGUILAR 01 is the final analysis of the LSND full data set. It is a search for $\overline{\nu}_e$ 30 m from LAMPF beam stop. Neutrinos originate mainly for π^+ decay at rest. $\overline{\nu}_e$ are detected through $\overline{\nu}_e p \to e^+ n$ (20< E_{e^+} < 60 MeV) in delayed coincidence with $np \to d\gamma$. Authors observe 87.9 \pm 22.4 \pm 6.0 total excess events. The observation is attributed to $\overline{\nu}_{\mu} \to \overline{\nu}_e$ oscillations with the oscillation probability of 0.264 \pm 0.067 \pm 0.045%, consistent with the previously published result. Taking into account all constraints, the most favored allowed region of oscillation parameters is a band of $\Delta(m^2)$ from 0.2–2.0 eV². Supersedes ATHANASSOPOULOS 95, ATHANASSOPOULOS 96, and ATHANASSOPOULOS 98.
- ATHANASSOPOULOS 96 is a search for $\overline{\nu}_e$ 30 m from LAMPF beam stop. Neutrinos originate mainly from π^+ decay at rest. $\overline{\nu}_e$ could come from either $\overline{\nu}_\mu \to \overline{\nu}_e$ or $\nu_e \to \overline{\nu}_e$; our entry assumes the first interpretation. They are detected through $\overline{\nu}_e \, p \to e^+ \, n$ (20 MeV $<\!E_{e^+}$ $<\!60$ MeV) in delayed coincidence with $n \, p \to d \, \gamma$. Authors observe $51 \pm 20 \pm 8$ total excess events over an estimated background 12.5 \pm 2.9. ATHANASSOPOULOS 96B is a shorter version of this paper.
- ⁸ ATHANASSOPOULOS 95 error corresponds to the 1.6σ band in the plot. The expected background is 2.7 ± 0.4 events. Corresponds to an oscillation probability of $(0.34^{+0.20}_{-0.18}\pm0.07)\%$. For a different interpretation, see HILL 95. Replaced by ATHANASSOPOULOS 96.
- 9 HILL 95 is a report by one member of the LSND Collaboration, reporting a different conclusion from the analysis of the data of this experiment (see ATHANASSOPOULOS 95). Contrary to the rest of the LSND Collaboration, Hill finds no evidence for the neutrino oscillation $\overline{\nu}_{\mu} \rightarrow \overline{\nu}_{e}$ and obtains only upper limits.
- 10 FREEDMAN 93 is a search at LAMPF for $\overline{\nu}_e$ generated from any of the three neutrino types $\nu_\mu, \overline{\nu}_\mu,$ and ν_e which come from the beam stop. The $\overline{\nu}_e$'s would be detected by the reaction $\overline{\nu}_e \, p \rightarrow \ e^+ \, n.$ FREEDMAN 93 replaces DURKIN 88.

$\sin^2(2\theta)$ for "Large" $\Delta(m^2)$ $(\overline{ u}_{\mu} \to \overline{ u}_{e})$

<i>VALUE</i> (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN COMMENT
• • • We do not use the	e following	data for averages, fits	limits, etc. • • •
<640	90		ICAR $\overline{\nu}_e$ appearance
<150	90	² CHENG 12	MBNE MiniBooNE/SciBooNE
0.4-9.0	99	³ AGUILAR-AR10	MBNE $\rm E_{\nu} > 475~MeV$ MBNE $\rm E_{\nu} > 200~MeV$
0.4-9.0	99	⁴ AGUILAR-AR10	MBNE $E_{\nu} > 200 \text{ MeV}$
< 3.3	90	⁵ AGUILAR-AR 09B	MBNE MiniBooNE
https://pdg.lbl.gov		Page 51	Created: 8/11/2022 09:39

¹ Based on $\overline{\nu}_{\mu} \rightarrow \overline{\nu}_{e}$ appearance of 78.4 \pm 28.5 events. The best fit values are $\Delta m^2 = 0.043 \text{ eV}^2$ and $\sin^2 2\theta = 0.88$.

 $^{^3}$ This value is for a two neutrino oscillation analysis for excess antineutrino events with E $_{\nu} >$ 475 MeV. The best fit is at 0.07. The allowed region is consistent with LSND reported by AGUILAR 01. Supercedes AGUILAR-AREVALO 09B.

< 1.7	90	⁶ ARMBRUSTER02	KAR2	Liquid Sci. calor.
< 1.1	90	AVVAKUMOV 02	NTEV	NUTEV FNAL
$5.3 \pm 1.3 \pm 9.0$		⁷ AGUILAR 01	LSND	LAMPF
$6.2 \pm 2.4 \pm 1.0$		⁸ ATHANASSO96	LSND	LAMPF
3–12	80	⁹ ATHANASSO95		
< 6	90	¹⁰ HILL 95		

 $^{^1}$ ANTONELLO 13A obtained the limit by assuming $\overline{\nu}_{\mu} \to \ \overline{\nu}_e$ oscillation from the $\sim 2\%$ of $\overline{\nu}_{\mu}$ evnets contamination in the CNGS beam.

 8 ATHANASSOPOULOS 96 reports $(0.31\pm0.12\pm0.05)\%$ for the oscillation probability; the value of $\sin^2 2\theta$ for large $\Delta(m^2)$ should be twice this probability. See footnote in

$\Delta(m^2)$ for $\sin^2(2\theta) = 1$ $(\nu_{\mu}(\overline{\nu}_{\mu}) \rightarrow \nu_{e}(\overline{\nu}_{e}))$

<i>VALUE</i> (eV ²)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.075	90	BORODOV 9	92	CNTR	BNL E776
• • • We do not use the	following	data for averages,	fits,	limits, e	tc. • • •
<1.6	90	¹ ROMOSAN	97	CCFR	FNAL

¹ROMOSAN 97 uses wideband beam with a 0.5 km decay region.

$\sin^2(2\theta)$ for "Large" $\Delta(m^2)$ $(\nu_{\mu}(\overline{\nu}_{\mu}) ightarrow ~ \nu_{e}(\overline{\nu}_{e}))$

<i>VALUE</i> (units 10 ⁻³)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<1.8	90	$^{ m 1}$ ROMOSAN	97	CCFR	FNAL
\bullet \bullet We do not use the	following	data for averages	, fits,	limits, e	tc. • • •
<3.8	90	² MCFARLAND	95	CCFR	FNAL
<3	90	BORODOV	92	CNTR	BNL E776

²CHENG 12 is a combined fit of MiniBooNE and SciBooNE antineutrino data.

This value is for a two neutrino oscillation analysis for excess antineutrino events with ${\rm E}_{\nu} >$ 475 MeV. At 90% CL there is no solution at high $\Delta(m^2)$. The best fit is at maximal mixing. The allowed region is consistent with LSND reported by AGUILAR 01. Supercedes AGUILAR-AREVALO 09B.

⁴This value is for a two neutrino oscillation analysis for excess antineutrino events with $E_{\nu} > 200$ MeV with subtraction of the expected 12 events low energy excess seen in the neutrino component of the beam. At 90% CL there is no solution at high $\Delta(m^2)$. The best fit value is 0.007 for $\Delta(m^2) = 4.4 \text{ eV}^2$.

⁵ This result is inconclusive with respect to small amplitude mixing suggested by LSND.

 $^{^6}$ ARMBRUSTER 02 is the final analysis of the KARMEN 2 data. See footnote in the preceding table for further details, and the paper for the exclusion plot.

⁷ AGUILAR 01 is the final analysis of the LSND full data set. The deduced oscillation probability is $0.264 \pm 0.067 \pm 0.045\%$; the value of $\sin^2 2\theta$ for large $\Delta(m^2)$ is twice this probability (although these values are excluded by other constraints). See footnote in preceding table for further details, and the paper for a plot showing allowed regions. Supersedes ATHANASSOPOULOS 95, ATHANASSOPOULOS 96, and ATHANASSOPOULOS 98.

preceding table for further details, and see the paper for a plot showing allowed regions. 9 ATHANASSOPOULOS 95 error corresponds to the 1.6σ band in the plot. The expected background is 2.7 \pm 0.4 events. Corresponds to an oscillation probability of $(0.34^{+0.20}_{-0.18}\pm0.07)\%$. For a different interpretation, see HILL 95. Replaced by ATHANASSOPOULOS 96.

¹⁰ HILL 95 is a report by one member of the LSND Collaboration, reporting a different conclusion from the analysis of the data of this experiment (see ATHANASSOPOULOS 95). Contrary to the rest of the LSND Collaboration, Hill finds no evidence for the neutrino oscillation $\overline{
u}_{\mu}
ightarrow \overline{
u}_{e}$ and obtains only upper limits.

 $^{^{}m 1}$ ROMOSAN 97 uses wideband beam with a 0.5 km decay region.

 2 MCFARLAND 95 state that "This result is the most stringent to date for 250 < $\Delta(m^2)$ $<\!450\,\text{eV}^2$ and also excludes at 90%CL much of the high $\Delta(m^2)$ region favored by the recent LSND observation." See ATHANASSOPOULOS 95 and ATHANASSOPOULOS 96.

$\Delta(m^2)$ for $\sin^2(2\theta) = 1 (\overline{\nu}_e \not\to \overline{\nu}_e)$

VALUE (eV²) CL% DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

ullet ullet We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ullet ullet

< 0.01 90 ¹ ACHKAR 95 CNTR Bugey reactor

$\sin^2(2\theta)$ for "Large" $\Delta(m^2)$ $(\overline{\nu}_e \not\to \overline{\nu}_e)$

<u>VALUE</u> <u>CL%</u> <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>

 \bullet \bullet We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. \bullet \bullet

<0.02 90 1 ACHKAR 95 CNTR For $\Delta(m^2)=0.6~\text{eV}^2$

— Sterile neutrino limits —

$\Delta(m^2)$ for $\sin^2(2\theta) = 1 (\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{s})$

 $\nu_{\it S}$ means $\nu_{\it T}$ or any sterile (noninteracting) $\nu.$

$VALUE (10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2)$	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not u	se the	following data for average	s, fits, lin	nits, etc. • • •
<3000 (or <550)	90	¹ OYAMA 89	KAMI	Water Cherenkov
< 4.2 or > 54.	90	BIONTA 88	IMB	Flux has ν_{11} , $\overline{\nu}_{11}$, ν_{21} , and $\overline{\nu}_{22}$

 $^{^1}$ OYAMA 89 gives a range of limits, depending on assumptions in their analysis. They argue that the region $\Delta(m^2)=(100-1000)\times 10^{-5}~{\rm eV}^2$ is not ruled out by any data for large mixing.

Search for u_{μ} or $u_{e} ightarrow u_{s}$

VALUE		CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT		
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •								
< 0.05		95	¹ ANDRIAMIR	21		PROSPECT		
< 0.005	,	95	² SEREBROV	21		Neutrino-4		
< 0.008	3	95	³ SKROBOVA	20		DANSS		
< 0.01		90	⁴ ALEKSEEV	18		DANSS		
< 0.06		90	⁵ ALMAZAN	18		STEREO		
< 0.1		95	⁶ ASHENFELT	18		PROSPECT		
< 0.4		90	⁷ AARTSEN	17 B	ICCB	IceCube-DeepCore		
<8	$\times 10^{-3}$	95	⁸ ABDURASHI	17		T β decay		
<1	$\times 10^{-2}$	90	⁹ KO	17	NEOS			
<2	\times 10 ⁻²	90	¹⁰ AARTSEN	16	ICCB	IceCube		
< 4.5	\times 10 ⁻⁴	95	11 ADAMSON	16 B		MINOS, DayaBay		
< 8.6	\times 10 ⁻²	95	¹² ADAMSON	16 C	MINS			
< 1.1	\times 10 ⁻²	95	¹³ AN	16 B	DAYA			
			¹⁴ AMBROSIO	01	MCRO	matter effects		
			¹⁵ FUKUDA	00	SKAM	$\begin{array}{c} \text{neutral currents} + \text{matter} \\ \text{effects} \end{array}$		

¹ ACHKAR 95 bound is for L=15, 40, and 95 m.

 $^{^{1}}$ ACHKAR 95 bound is from data for L=15, 40, and 95 m distance from the Bugey reactor.

- 1 ANDRIAMIRADO 21 reports a search for $\overline{\nu}_e \to \overline{\nu}_s$ oscillations at the HFIR research reactor, at baselines from 6.7 to 9.2 m. The reactor has a 235 U core. 4 tons of 6 Li-doped liquid scintillator are used in a segmented detector. Oscillations into sterile neutrinos are disfavored. The stated limit for $\sin^2(2\theta_{14})$ is for $\Delta m_{41}^2 \sim 2 \text{ eV}^2$ where the sensitivity is maximal
- 2 SEREBROV 21 searches for $\overline{\nu}_e \to \overline{\nu}_s$ oscillations with a moveable detector with baseline 6–12 m from the SM-3 research reactor with highly enriched 235 U fuel. Analyzing the L/E dependence a χ^2 minimum is found at $\Delta m_{41}^2 = 7.3 \pm 0.13 \pm 1.16 \text{ eV}^2$ and $\sin^2(2\theta_{14}) = 0.36 \pm 0.12$. The quoted limit of 0.005 for $\sin^2(2\theta_{14})$ corresponds to $\Delta m_{41}^2 \sim 2 \text{ eV}^2$. This is the result from 720 days of reactor ON and 860 days of reactor OFF measurements. The significance of the χ^2 minimum is 2.9 σ . Supersedes SEREBROV 20, SEREBROV 19 and SEREBROV 18A.
- 3 SKROBOVA 20 searches for $\overline{\nu}_e-\overline{\nu}_s$ oscillations using the DANSS detector at 10.7, 11.2, and 12.7 m from the 3.1 GW $_{th}$ power reactor. The DANSS detector is highly segmented and moveable; the positions are changed usually 3 times a week. The analysis is based on the ratio of the events at top and bottom position; the middle position is used for checks of consistency. No evidence for sterile neutrinos is found. The quoted limit 0.008, the smallest excluded $\sin^2(2\theta_{14})$, corresponds to $\Delta m_{41}^2 \sim 1.0 \ eV^2$. Supersedes ALEKSEEV 18.
- ALEKSEEV 18 searches for $\overline{\nu}_e \to \overline{\nu}_s$ oscillations using the DANSS detector at 10.7, 11.2, and 12.7 m from the 3.1 GW $_{th}$ power reactor. The DANSS detector is highly segmented and moveable; the positions are changed usually 3 times a week. The analysis is based on the ratio of the events at top and bottom position; the middle position is used for checks of consistency. The best fit point is at $\Delta m_{41}^2 = 1.4 \text{ eV}^2$ and $\sin^2(2\theta_{14}) = 0.05$ with $\Delta \chi^2 = 13.1$ (statistical errors only) compared to the fit with 3 active neutrinos only. The quoted limit of 0.01 for $\sin^2(2\theta_{14})$ corresponds to $\Delta m_{41}^2 \sim 1.0 \text{ eV}^2$. Superseded by SKROBOVA 20.
- 5 ALMAZAN 18 searches for the $\overline{\nu}_e \to \overline{\nu}_s$ oscillations with baseline from 9.4 to 11.1 m from the ILL research reactor with highly enriched 235 U fuel. The STEREO detector consists of six separated cells with Gd loaded scintillator, with 15 m water equivalent overburden. The detected rate is $396.3 \pm 4.7 \ \overline{\nu}_e/\text{day}$ with signal to background ratio of about 0.9. The reported results corresponds to 66 days of reactor-on. The analysis uses the relative rates normalized to the cell number 1. No indication of the oscillation to the sterile neutrinos is found, the stated limit on $\sin^2(2\theta_{14})$ correspond to $\Delta m_{41}^2 \sim 3.5 \ \text{eV}^2$ where the exclusion is maximal.
- 6 ASHENFELTER 18 searches for the $\overline{\nu}_e \to \overline{\nu}_s$ oscillations at baseline from 6.7 to 9.2 m from the 85 MW research reactor with pure 235 U core. The segmented 4 ton 6 Li-doped liquid scintillator is operated with about 1 m water equivalent overburden and recorded 25461 \pm 283 IBD events. No indication of oscillations into sterile neutrinos was observed. The stated limit for $\sin^2(2\theta_{14})$ is for $\Delta m_{41}^2 \sim 2 \text{ eV}^2$ where the sensitivity is maximal.
- 7 AARTSEN 17B uses three years of upward-going atmospheric neutrino data in the energy range of 10-60 GeV to constrain their disappearance into light sterile neutrinos. The reported limit $\sin^2\!\theta_{24}~<0.11$ at 90% C.L. is for $\Delta m_{41}^2=1.0~\text{eV}^2$. We convert the result to $\sin^2\!2\theta_{24}$ for the listing. AARTSEN 17B also reports $\cos^2\!\theta_{24}\cdot\sin^2\!\theta_{34}~<0.15$ at 90% C.L. for $\Delta m_{41}^2=1.0~\text{eV}^2$.
- ⁸ ABDURASHITOV 17 use the Troitsk nu-mass experiment to search for sterile neutrinos with mass 0.1 2 keV. We convert the reported limit from U_{e4}^2 <0.002 to $\sin^2\!2\theta_{14}$ <0.008 assume $U_{e4}\sim \sin\!\theta_{14}$. The stated limit corresponds to the smallest U_{e4}^2 . The exclusion curve begins at U_{e4}^2 of 0.02 for $m_4=0.1$ keV.
- ⁹ KO 17 reports on short baseline reactor oscillation search ($\overline{\nu}_e \to \overline{\nu}_s$), motivated be the so-called "reactor antineutrino anomaly". The experiment is conducted at 23.7 m

from the core of unit 5 of the Hanbit Nuclear Power Complex in Korea. the reported limited on $\sin^2(2\theta_{41})$ for sterile neutrinos was determined using the reactor antineutrino spectrum determined by the Daya Bay experiment for Δm_{14}^2 around 0.55 eV² where the sensitivity is maximal. A fraction of the parameter space derived from the "reactor antineutrino anomaly" is excluded by this work. Compared to reactor models an event excess is observed at about 5 MeV, in agreement with other experiments.

- 10 AARTSEN 16 use one year of upward-going atmospheric muon neutrino data in the energy range of 320 GeV to 20 TeV to constrain their disappearance into light sterile neutrinos. Sterile neutrinos are expected to produce distinctive zenith distribution for these energies for 0.01 $\leq \Delta \text{m}^2 \leq$ 10 eV². The stated limit is for $\sin^2\!2\theta_{24}$ at Δm^2 around 0.3 eV².
- ¹¹ ADAMSON 16B combine the results of AN 16B, ADAMSON 16C, and Bugey-3 reactor experiments to constrain ν_{μ} to ν_{e} mixing through oscillations into light sterile neutrinos. The stated limit for $\sin^{2}2\theta_{\mu,e}$ is at $|\Delta m_{41}^{2}|=1.2 \text{ eV}^{2}$.
- 12 ADAMSON 16C use the NuMI beam and exposure of 10.56×10^{20} protons on target to search for the oscillation of ν_{μ} dominated beam into light sterile neutrinos with detectors at 1.04 and 735 km. The reported limit $\sin^2(\theta_{24})<0.022$ at 95% C.L. is for $|\Delta m_{41}^2|=0.5~\text{eV}^2$. We convert the result to $\sin^2(2\theta_{24})$ for the listing.
- 13 AN 16 B utilize 621 days of data to place limits on the $\overline{\nu}_e$ disappearance into a light sterile neutrino. The stated limit corresponds to the smallest $\sin^2(2\theta_{14})$ at $\left|\Delta m_{41}^2\right|\sim 3\times 10^{-2}~\text{eV}^2$ (obtained from Figure 3 in AN 16 B). The exclusion curve begins at $\left|\Delta m_{41}^2\right|\sim 1.5\times 10^{-4}~\text{eV}^2$ and extends to $\sim 0.25~\text{eV}^2$. The analysis assumes $\sin^2(2\theta_{12})=0.846\pm 0.021,\,\Delta m_{21}^2=(7.53\pm 0.18)\times 10^{-5}~\text{eV}^2$, and $\left|\Delta m_{32}^2\right|=(2.44\pm 0.06)\times 10^{-3}~\text{eV}^2$.
- 14 AMBROSIO 01 tested the pure 2-flavor $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{s}$ hypothesis using matter effects which change the shape of the zenith-angle distribution of upward through-going muons. With maximum mixing and $\Delta \rm m^{2}$ around 0.0024 eV², the $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{s}$ oscillation is disfavored with 99% confidence level with respect to the $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{\tau}$ hypothesis.
- 15 FUKUDA 00 tested the pure 2-flavor $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{s}$ hypothesis using three complementary atmospheric-neutrino data samples. With this hypothesis, zenith-angle distributions are expected to show characteristic behavior due to neutral currents and matter effects. In the Δm^2 and $\sin^2\!2\theta$ region preferred by the Super-Kamiokande data, the $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{s}$ hypothesis isrejected at the 99% confidence level, while the $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{\tau}$ hypothesis consistently fits all of the data sample.

CPT tests —

$\left\langle \Delta m_{21}^2 - \Delta \overline{m}_{21}^2 \right\rangle$

VALUE (
$$10^{-4} \text{ eV}^2$$
)CL%DOCUMENT IDTECNCOMMENT<1.199.71 DEGOUVEA05FITsolar vs. reactor

$$\langle \Delta m_{32}^2 - \Delta \overline{m}_{32}^2 \rangle$$

 $^{^{1}}$ DEGOUVEA 05 obtained this bound at the 3σ CL from the KamLAND (ARAKI 05) and solar neutrino data.

ullet ullet We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ullet ullet

 $0.6 \begin{array}{c} +2.4 \\ -0.8 \end{array}$ 90 ² ADAMSON 12B MINS MINOS atmospheric

REFERENCES FOR Neutrino Mixing

ABE	21A	PR D103 L011101	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(Tok	Collab.)
	217				
ABRAHAO		JHEP 2101 190	T. Abrahao <i>et al.</i>	(Double Chooz	,
AGOSTINI	21	ASP 125 102509	M. Agostini et al.	(Borexino	
AGUILAR-AR		PR D103 052002	A.A. Aguilar-Arevalo et al.	(MiniBooNE	
ANDRIAMIR		PR D103 032001	M. Andriamirado et al.	(PROSPECT	,
SALAS	21	JHEP 2102 071	P.F. de Salas <i>et al.</i>	(STOH, VALE,	,
SEREBROV	21	PR D104 032003	A.P. Serebrov et al.	(Neutrino-4	Collab.)
AARTSEN	20	EPJ C80 9	M.G. Aartsen et al.	(IceCube	Collab.)
ABE	20F	NAT 580 339	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(T2K	Collab.)
Also		PR D103 112008	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(T2K	Collab.)
ADAMSON	20A	PRL 125 131802	P. Adamson et al.	(MINOS+	
AGOSTINI	20A	PR D101 062001	M. Agostini <i>et al.</i>	(Borexino	
AHARMIM	20	PR D102 062006	B. Aharmim <i>et al.</i>		Collab.)
ALMAZAN	20	PRL 125 201801	H. Almazan <i>et al.</i>	(STEREO	
DE-KERRET	20	NATP 16 558	H. de Karret <i>et al.</i>	(Double Chooz	
ESTEBAN	20A	JHEP 2009 178	I. Esteban <i>et al.</i>		Collab.)
PDG	20	PTEP 2020 083C01	P.A. Zyla et al.		Collab.)
SEREBROV	20	JETPL 112 199	A.P. Serebrov, R.M. Samoilo	V	(PNPI)
CLUM	00	Translated from ZETFP		(DENO	C !! ! \
SHIN	20	JHEP 2004 029	C.D. Shin et al.		Collab.)
SKROBOVA	20	IJMP A35 2044015	N. Skrobova	(DANSS	
AARTSEN	19C	PR D99 032007	M.G. Aartsen <i>et al.</i>	(IceCube	,
ACERO	19	PRL 123 151803	M.A. Acero <i>et al.</i>	(NOvA	Collab.)
ADEY	19	PR D100 052004	D. Adey et al.	(Daya Bay	Collab.)
AGAFONOVA	19	PR D100 051301	N. Agafonova et al.	(OPERA	Collab.)
ALBERT	19	JHEP 1906 113	A. Albert <i>et al.</i>	(ANTARES	
ANDERSON	19	PR D99 012012	M. Anderson et al.	`	Collab.)
SEREBROV	19	JETPL 109 213	A.P. Serebrov <i>et al.</i>	(Neutrino-4	
AARTSEN	18A	PRL 120 071801	M.G. Aartsen <i>et al.</i>	(IceCube	
ABE	18B	PR D97 072001	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(Super-Kamiokande	
ABE	18G	PRL 121 171802	K. Abe et al.		
				,	Collab.)
ACERO	18	PR D98 032012	M.A. Acero et al.	. `	Collab.)
ADEY	18A	PRL 121 241805	D. Adey et al.	(Daya Bay	
AGAFONOVA	18	PRL 120 211801	N. Agafonova et al.	(OPERA	
AGAFONOVA	18A	JHEP 1806 151	N. Agafonova <i>et al.</i>	(OPERA	
AGOSTINI	18B	NAT 562 505	M. Agostini <i>et al.</i>	(Borexino	Collab.)
AGUILAR-AR	18C	PRL 121 221801	A.A. Aguilar-Arevalo et al.	(MiniBooNE	Collab.)
ALEKSEEV	18	PL B787 56	I. Alekseev et al.	(DANSS	Collab.)
ALMAZAN	18	PRL 121 161801	H. Almazan <i>et al.</i>	(STEREO	Collab.)
ASHENFELT	18	PRL 121 251802	J. Ashenfelter et al.	(PROSPECT	Collab.)
BAK	18	PRL 121 201801	G. Bak <i>et al.</i>	` (RENO	Collab.)
DE-SALAS	18	PL B782 633	P.F. de Salas <i>et al.</i>	(,
PDG	18	PR D98 030001	M. Tanabashi <i>et al.</i>	(PDG	Collab.)
SEO	18	PR D98 012002	S.H. Seo et al.	,	Collab.)
SEREBROV	18A	PPN 49 701	A.P. Serebrov <i>et al.</i>		
	17B			(Neutrino-4	
AARTSEN		PR D95 112002	M.G. Aartsen <i>et al.</i>	(IceCube	,
ABDURASHI		JETPL 105 753	J.N. Abdurashitov et al.	(Troitsk nu-mass	
ABE	17A	PRL 118 151801	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	,	Collab.)
ABE	17C	PR D96 011102	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>		Collab.)
ABE	17F	PR D96 092006	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	,	Collab.)
Also		PR D98 019902 (errat.)	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(T2K	Collab.)
ADAMSON	17A	PRL 118 151802	P. Adamson et al.	(NOvA	Collab.)
ADAMSON	17B	PRL 118 231801	P. Adamson et al.	(ΝΟνΑ	Collab.)
AN	17A	PR D95 072006	F.P. An et al.	(Daya Bay	
ESTEBAN	17	JHEP 1701 087	I. Esteban <i>et al.</i>	(.,)	,
KO	17	PRL 118 121802	Y.J. Ko <i>et al.</i>	(NEOS	Collab.)
VINYOLES	17	APJ 835 202	N. Vinyoles <i>et al.</i>	(200	
		5 000 202	viiiyolos et al.		

 $^{^{1}\,\}mathrm{ADAMSON}$ 13B quotes this difference as a negative of our convention.

² The quoted result is the single-parameter 90% C.L. interval determined from the 90% C.L. contour in the $(\Delta m^2, \Delta \overline{m}^2)$ plane, which is obtained by minimizing the four parameter log-likelihood function with respect to the other oscillation parameters.

AADTCEN	1.0	DDI 117 071001	NA C A	(1, 6.1	C)
AARTSEN	16	PRL 117 071801	M.G. Aartsen <i>et al.</i>	(IceCube	
ABE	16B	JHEP 1601 163	Y. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(Double Chooz	
ABE	16C	PR D94 052010	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(Super-Kamiokande	,
ABE	16D	PRL 116 181801	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>		Collab.)
ADAMSON	16	PRL 116 151806	P. Adamson <i>et al.</i>		Collab.)
ADAMSON	16A	PR D93 051104	P. Adamson <i>et al.</i>		Collab.)
ADAMSON	16B	PRL 117 151801		(Daya Bay and MINOS	
ADAMSON	16C	PRL 117 151803	P. Adamson <i>et al.</i>	(MINOS	
AN	16	PRL 116 061801	F.P. An et al.	(Daya Bay	
AN	16A	PR D93 072011	F.P. An et al.	(Daya Bay	
AN	16B	PRL 117 151802	F.P. An et al.	(Daya Bay	
CHOI	16	PRL 116 211801	J.H. Choi <i>et al.</i>	` .	Collab.)
PDG	16	CP C40 100001	C. Patrignani <i>et al.</i>	. `	Collab.)
AARTSEN	15A	PR D91 072004	M.G. Aartsen	(IceCube	(
ABE	15D	PR D91 072010	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>		Collab.)
AGAFONOVA	15A	PRL 115 121802	N. Agafonova et al.	(OPERA	
AN	15	PRL 115 111802	F.P. An et al.	(Daya Bay	
BERGSTROM	15	JHEP 1509 200	J. Bergstrom et al.	(BARC, STON, M	
GANDO	15	PR C92 055808	A. Gando <i>et al.</i>	(KamLAND	
ABE	14	PRL 112 181801	K. Abe et al.	3	Collab.)
Also		PR D91 072010	K. Abe et al.		Collab.)
ABE	14A	PL B735 51	Y. Abe et al.	(Double Chooz	
ABE	14B	PR D89 092003	K. Abe et al.		Collab.)
ABE	14C	PRL 112 061802	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>		Collab.)
ABE	14H	JHEP 1410 086	Y. Abe et al.	(Double Chooz	
Also		JHEP 1502 074 (errat.)		(Double Chooz	
ADAMSON	14	PRL 112 191801	P. Adamson et al.	(MINOS	
AN	14	PRL 112 061801	F.P. An et al.	(Daya Bay	
AN	14B	PR D90 071101	F.P. An et al.	(Daya Bay	
BELLINI	14A	NAT 512 383	G. Bellini et al.	(Borexino	Collab.)
FORERO	14	PR D90 093006	D.V. Forero, M. Tortola,		
GONZALEZ	14	JHEP 1411 052	M.C. Gonzalez-Garcia, M.	_	C !! ! \
PDG	14	CP C38 070001	K. Olive <i>et al.</i>		Collab.)
RENSHAW	14	PRL 112 091805	A. Renshaw et al.	(Super-Kamiokande	
AARTSEN	13B	PRL 111 081801	M.G. Aartsen <i>et al.</i>	(IceCube	
ABE	13C	PL B723 66	Y. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(Double Chooz	
ABE	13E	PR D88 032002	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	3	Collab.)
ABE	13G	PRL 111 211803	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>		Collab.)
ADAMSON	13A 13B	PRL 110 171801	P. Adamson et al.	(MINOS	
ADAMSON	136	PRL 110 251801	P. Adamson <i>et al.</i>	(MINOS	
AGAFONOVA AGUILAR-AR	-	JHEP 1307 004 PRL 110 161801	N. Agafonova <i>et al.</i>	(OPERA (MiniPooNE	
AHARMIM	13A 13	PR C88 025501	A.A. Aguilar-Arevalo <i>et a</i> B. Aharmim <i>et al.</i>		(
AN	13	CP C37 011001	F.P. An et al.	,_ ` _	Collab.)
ANTONELLO	13	EPJ C73 2345	M. Antonello <i>et al.</i>	(Daya Bay (ICARUS	
ANTONELLO	13A	EPJ C73 2599	M. Antonello <i>et al.</i>	(ICARUS	
GANDO	13	PR D88 033001	A. Gando et al.	(KamLAND	
ABE	12	PRL 108 131801	Y. Abe et al.	(Double Chooz	
ABE	12A	PR D85 031103	K. Abe et al.		Collab.)
ABE	12B	PR D86 052008	Y. Abe et al.	(Double Chooz	
ADAMSON	12	PRL 108 191801	P. Adamson <i>et al.</i>	(MINOS	Collab.)
ADAMSON	12B	PR D86 052007	P. Adamson et al.	(MINOS	
ADRIAN-MAR		PL B714 224	S. Adrian-Martinez <i>et al.</i>	(ANTARES	
AHN	12	PRL 108 191802	J.K. Ahn et al.	`	Collab.)
AN	12	PRL 108 171803	F.P. An et al.	(Daya Bay	
BELLINI	12A	PRL 108 051302	G. Bellini et al.	(Borexino	
CHENG	12	PR D86 052009	G. Cheng et al.	(MiniBooNE/SciBooNE	
MAHN	12	PR D85 032007	K.B.M. Mahn <i>et al.</i>	(MiniBooNE/SciBooNE	
ABE	11	PR D83 052010	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(Super-Kamiokande	
ABE	11A	PRL 107 041801	K. Abe et al.		Collab.)
ABE	11B	PR C84 035804	S. Abe et al.	(KamLAND	
ABE	11C	PRL 107 241801	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(Super-Kamiokande	
ADAMSON	11	PRL 106 181801	P. Adamson <i>et al.</i>	(MINOS	
ADAMSON	11B	PRL 107 021801	P. Adamson et al.	(MINOS	
ADAMSON	11C	PR D84 071103	P. Adamson et al.	(MINOS	
ADAMSON	11D	PRL 107 181802	P. Adamson et al.	(MINOS	
BELLINI	11	PL B696 191	G. Bellini et al.	(Borexino	
BELLINI	11A	PRL 107 141302	G. Bellini et al.	(Borexino	Collab.)
FOGLI	11	PR D84 053007	G.L. Fogli et al.	•	•
GANDO	11	PR D83 052002	A. Gando et al.	(KamLAND	Collab.)

HUBER Also	11	PR C84 024617	P. Huber P. Huber	(VPI)
MUELLER	11	PR C85 029901 (errat.) PR C83 054615	Th.A Mueller <i>et al.</i>	(VPI)
SERENELLI	11	APJ 743 24	A.M. Serenelli, W.C. Haxton	, C. Pena-Garay
ADAMSON	10A	PR D82 051102	P. Adamson et al.	(MINOS Collab.)
AGUILAR-AR		PRL 105 181801	A.A. Aguillar-Arevalo et al.	(MiniBooNE Collab.)
AHARMIM	10	PR C81 055504	B. Aharmim et al.	(SNO Collab.)
BELLINI	10A	PR D82 033006	G. Bellini <i>et al.</i>	(Borexino Collab.)
DENIZ KAETHER	10 10	PR D81 072001 PL B685 47	M. Deniz <i>et al.</i> F. Kaether <i>et al.</i>	(TEXONO Collab.)
WENDELL	10	PR D81 092004	R. Wendell <i>et al.</i>	(Super-Kamiokande Collab.)
ABDURASHI	09	PR C80 015807	J.N. Abdurashitov et al.	(SAGE Collab.)
ADAMSON	09	PRL 103 261802	P. Adamson et al.	(MINOS Collab.)
AGUILAR-AR		PRL 103 111801	A.A. Aguilar-Arevalo et al.	(MiniBooNE Collab.)
ABE	08A	PRL 100 221803	S. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(KamLAND Collab.)
Also ADAMSON	08	PRL 101 119904E PR D77 072002	S. Abe <i>et al.</i> P. Adamson <i>et al.</i>	(KamLAND Collab.) (MINOS Collab.)
ADAMSON	08A	PRL 101 131802	P. Adamson <i>et al.</i>	(MINOS Collab.)
AHARMIM	08	PRL 101 111301	B. Aharmim <i>et al.</i>	(SNO Collab.)
Also		PR C87 015502	B. Aharmim et al.	(SNO Collab.)
ARPESELLA	08A	PRL 101 091302	C. Arpesella et al.	(Borexino Collab.)
CRAVENS	08	PR D78 032002	J.P. Cravens <i>et al.</i>	(Super-Kamiokande Collab.)
FOGLI	08	PRL 101 141801	G.L. Fogli	(MINOS Callah)
ADAMSON AGUILAR-AR	07 . 07	PR D75 092003 PRL 98 231801	P. Adamson <i>et al.</i> A.A. Aguilar-Arevalo <i>et al.</i>	(MINOS Collab.) (MiniBooNE Collab.)
AHARMIM	07	PR C75 045502	B. Aharmim <i>et al.</i>	(SNO Collab.)
ADAMSON	06	PR D73 072002	P. Adamson <i>et al.</i>	(MINOS Collab.)
AHN	06A	PR D74 072003	M.H. Ahn <i>et al.</i>	`(K2K Collab.)
BALATA	06	EPJ C47 21	M. Balata <i>et al.</i>	(Borexino Collab.)
HOSAKA	06	PR D73 112001	J. Hosaka <i>et al.</i>	(Super-Kamiokande Collab.)
HOSAKA MICHAEL	06A 06	PR D74 032002 PRL 97 191801	J. Hosaka <i>et al.</i> D. Michael <i>et al.</i>	(Super-Kamiokande Collab.)
WINTER	06A	PR C73 025503	W.T. Winter <i>et al.</i>	(MINOS Collab.)
YAMAMOTO	06	PRL 96 181801	S. Yamamoto <i>et al.</i>	(K2K Collab.)
AHARMIM	05A	PR C72 055502	B. Aharmim et al.	(SNO Collab.)
ALIU	05	PRL 94 081802	E. Aliu <i>et al.</i>	(K2K Collab.)
ALLISON	05	PR D72 052005	W.W.M. Allison et al.	(SOUDAN-2 Collab.)
ALTMANN ARAKI	05 05	PL B616 174 PRL 94 081801	M. Altmann <i>et al.</i> T. Araki <i>et al.</i>	(GNO Collab.)
ASHIE	05	PR D71 112005	Y. Ashie <i>et al.</i>	(KamLAND Collab.) (Super-Kamiokande Collab.)
BAHCALL	05	APJ 621 L85	J.N. Bahcall, A.M. Serenelli,	
DEGOUVEA	05	PR D71 093002	A. de Gouvea, C. Pena-Gara	,
AHARMIM	04	PR D70 093014	B. Aharmim et al.	(SNO Collab.)
AHMED	04A	PRL 92 181301	S.N. Ahmed <i>et al.</i>	(SNO Collab.)
AMBROSIO	04	PRL 93 051801	M.H. Ahn et al.	(K2K Collab.)
AMBROSIO ASHIE	04 04	EPJ C36 323 PRL 93 101801	M. Ambrosio <i>et al.</i> Y. Ashie <i>et al.</i>	(MACRO Collab.) (Super-Kamiokande Collab.)
EGUCHI	04	PRL 92 071301	K. Eguchi <i>et al.</i>	(KamLAND Collab.)
SMY	04	PR D69 011104	M.B. Smy et al.	(Super-Kamiokande Collab.)
AHN	03	PRL 90 041801	M.H. Ahn et al.	(K2K Collab.)
AMBROSIO	03	PL B566 35	M. Ambrosio et al.	(MACRO Collab.)
APOLLONIO	03	EPJ C27 331	M. Apollonio et al.	(CHOOZ Collab.)
ASTIER EGUCHI	03 03	PL B570 19 PRL 90 021802	P. Astier <i>et al.</i> K. Eguchi <i>et al.</i>	(NOMAD Collab.) (KamLAND Collab.)
GANDO	03	PRL 90 171302	Y. Gando <i>et al.</i>	(Super-Kamiokande Collab.)
IANNI	03	JP G29 2107	A. lanni	(INFN Gran Sasso)
SANCHEZ	03	PR D68 113004	M. Sanchez et al.	(Soudan 2 Collab.)
ABDURASHI	02	JETP 95 181	J.N. Abdurashitov <i>et al.</i>	(SAGE Collab.)
AHMAD	02	Translated from ZETF 12 PRL 89 011301	22 211. Q.R. Ahmad <i>et al.</i>	(SNO Collab.)
AHMAD	02B	PRL 89 011302	Q.R. Ahmad et al.	(SNO Collab.)
ARMBRUSTER		PR D65 112001	B. Armbruster <i>et al.</i>	(KARMEN 2 Collab.)
AVVAKUMOV	02	PRL 89 011804	S. Avvakumov et al.	(NuTeV Collab.)
FUKUDA	02	PL B539 179	S. Fukuda <i>et al.</i>	(Super-Kamiokande Collab.)
AGUILAR	01	PR D64 112007	A. Aguilar <i>et al.</i>	(LSND Collab.)
AHMAD AMBROSIO	01 01	PRL 87 071301 PL B517 59	Q.R. Ahmad <i>et al.</i> M. Ambrosio <i>et al.</i>	(SNO Collab.) (MACRO Collab.)
BOEHM	01	PR D64 112001	F. Boehm <i>et al.</i>	(WINCHO CONAD.)
FUKUDA	01	PRL 86 5651	S. Fukuda <i>et al.</i>	(Super-Kamiokande Collab.)
AMBROSIO	00	PL B478 5	M. Ambrosio et al.	(MACRO Collab.)
BOEHM	00	PRL 84 3764	F. Boehm <i>et al.</i>	

FUKUDA ALLISON APOLLONIO Also FUKUDA FUKUDA HAMPEL AMBROSIO APOLLONIO ATHANASSO CLEVELAND FELDMAN	98B 98 98	PRL 85 3999 PL 8449 137 PL 8466 415 PL 8472 434 (errat.) PRL 82 2644 PL 8467 185 PL 8447 127 PL 8434 451 PL 8420 397 PRL 81 1774 PR C58 2489 APJ 496 505 PR D57 3873	S. Fukuda et al. W.W.M. Allison et al. M. Apollonio et al. M. Apollonio et al. Y. Fukuda et al. Y. Fukuda et al. W. Hampel et al. M. Ambrosio et al. M. Apollonio et al. C. Athanassopoulos et al. C. Athanassopoulos et al. B.T. Cleveland et al. G.J. Feldman, R.D. Cousins	(Super-Kamiokande Collab.) (Soudan 2 Collab.) (CHOOZ Collab.) (CHOOZ Collab.) (Super-Kamiokande Collab.) (Super-Kamiokande Collab.) (GALLEX Collab.) (MACRO Collab.) (CHOOZ Collab.) (LSND Collab.) (LSND Collab.) (Homestake Collab.)
FUKUDA HATAKEYAMA CLARK ROMOSAN AGLIETTA	98C 98 97 97 96	PRL 81 1562 PRL 81 2016 PRL 79 345 PRL 78 2912 JETPL 63 791 Translated from ZETFP	Y. Fukuda <i>et al.</i> S. Hatakeyama <i>et al.</i> R. Clark <i>et al.</i> A. Romosan <i>et al.</i> M. Aglietta <i>et al.</i> 63, 753	(Super-Kamiokande Collab.) (Kamiokande Collab.) (IMB Collab.) (CCFR Collab.) (LSD Collab.)
ATHANASSO ATHANASSO FUKUDA FUKUDA GREENWOOD HAMPEL LOVERRE	96B 96 96B	PR C54 2685 PRL 77 3082 PRL 77 1683 PL B388 397 PR D53 6054 PL B388 384 PL B370 156	C. Athanassopoulos et al. C. Athanassopoulos et al. Y. Fukuda et al. Y. Fukuda et al. Z.D. Greenwood et al. W. Hampel et al. P.F. Loverre	(LSND Collab.) (LSND Collab.) (Kamiokande Collab.) (Kamiokande Collab.) (UCI, SVR, SCUC) (GALLEX Collab.)
ACHKAR AHLEN ATHANASSO DAUM HILL	95 95	NP B434 503 PL B357 481 PRL 75 2650 ZPHY C66 417 PRL 75 2654		G, SACLD, CPPM, CDEF+) (MACRO Collab.) (LSND Collab.) (FREJUS Collab.) (PENN)
MCFARLAND DECLAIS FUKUDA VILAIN FREEDMAN BECKER-SZ	95 94 94 94C 93 92B	PRL 75 3993 PL B338 383 PL B335 237 ZPHY C64 539 PR D47 811 PR D46 3720	K.S. McFarland et al. Y. Declais et al. Y. Fukuda et al. P. Vilain et al. S.J. Freedman et al. R.A. Becker-Szendy et al.	(CCFR Collab.) (Kamiokande Collab.) (CHARM II Collab.) (LAMPF E645 Collab.) (IMB Collab.)
BEIER Also BORODOV HIRATA CASPER HIRATA	92 92 92 91 91	PL B283 446 PTRSL A346 63 PRL 68 274 PL B280 146 PRL 66 2561 PRL 66 9	E.W. Beier et al. E.W. Beier, E.D. Frank L. Borodovsky et al. K.S. Hirata et al. D. Casper et al. K.S. Hirata et al.	(KAM2 Collab.) (PENN) (COLU, JHU, ILL) (Kamiokande II Collab.) (IMB Collab.) (Kamiokande II Collab.)
KUVSHINN BERGER HIRATA AGLIETTA DAVIS OYAMA BIONTA	91 90B 90 89 89 89	JETPL 54 253 PL B245 305 PRL 65 1297 EPL 8 611 ARNPS 39 467 PR D39 1481 PR D38 768	A.A. Kuvshinnikov et al. C. Berger et al. K.S. Hirata et al. M. Aglietta et al. R. Davis, A.K. Mann, L. Wo Y. Oyama et al. R.M. Bionta et al.	(KIAE) (FREJUS Collab.) (Kamiokande II Collab.) (FREJUS Collab.) olfenstein (BNL, PENN+) (Kamiokande II Collab.) (IMB Collab.)
DURKIN ABRAMOWICZ ALLABY ANGELINI VUILLEUMIER BOLIEV	86 86	PRL 61 1811 PRL 57 298 PL B177 446 PL B179 307 PL 114B 298 SJNP 34 787 Translated from YAF 34	L.S. Durkin et al. H. Abramowicz et al. J.V. Allaby et al. C. Angelini et al. J.L. Vuilleumier et al. M.M. Boliev et al.	(OSU, ANL, CIT+) (CDHS Collab.) (CHARM Collab.) (PISA, ATHU, PADO+) (CIT, SIN, MUNI) (INRM)
KWON BOEHM CROUCH	81 80 78	PR D24 1097 PL 97B 310 PR D18 2239	H. Kwon et al. F. Boehm et al. M.F. Crouch et al.	(CIT, ISNG, MUNI) (ILLG, CIT, ISNG, MUNI) (CASE, UCI, WITW)